arXiv:1409.6128v2 [math.CA] 7 Apr 2015

# GORDON'S CONJECTURES: PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY AND FOURIER TRANSFORM IN HYPERFINITE AMBIENCE

Pavol Zlatoš

*Dedicated to the memory of Petr Vopěnka (1935–2015)*

Abstract. Using the ideas of E. I. Gordon we present and farther advance an approach, based on nonstandard analysis, to simultaneous approximations of locally compact abelian groups and their duals by (hyper)finite abelian groups, as well as to approximations of various types of Fourier transforms on them by the discrete Fourier transform. Combining some methods of nonstandard analysis and additive combinatorics we prove the three Gordon's Conjectures which were open since 1991 and are crucial both in the formulations and proofs of the LCA groups and Fourier transform approximation theorems.

Contents

0. Introduction 2
1. Nonstandard analysis 5
1.1. General setting 5
1.2. Bounded monadic spaces 6
1.3. Functional spaces 1: Continuous functions 11
1.4. Functional spaces 2: Loeb measures and Lebesgue spaces 14
1.5. Bounded monadic groups 19
2. Pontryagin-van Kampen duality in hyperfinite ambience 29
2.1. The dual triplet 29
2.2. Fourier transform, Bohr sets and spectral sets in finite abelian groups 34
2.3. The dual triplet continued: normal multipliers and proofs of Gordon's Conjectures 1 and 2 40
2.4. Some (mainly) standard equivalents: Hrushovski style theorems 43
2.5. Simultaneous approximation of an LCA group and its dual 47
3. Fourier transform in hyperfinite dimensional ambience 61
3.1. A characterization of liftings 61
3.2. The Smoothness-and-Decay Principle 65
3.3. Hyperfinite dimensional approximation of the Fourier transform: Generalized Gordon's Conjecture 3 68
3.4. Standard consequences: Simultaneous approximation of a function and its Fourier transform 70
References 80

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22B05, 43A25, 03H05; Secondary 26E35, 28E05, 46S20, 54J05, 43A10, 43A15, 43A20, 22B10, 46M07, 65T50.

*Key words and phrases.* Locally compact abelian group, Pontryagin-van Kampen duality, Fourier transform, nonstandard analysis, ultraproduct, hyperfinite, infinitesimal, approximation.

Research supported by the Scientific Grant Agency of Slovak Republic VEGA

## 0. Introduction

Locally compact abelian groups (briefly, LCA groups) and the celebrated Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem provide a general background on which all the particular instances of (commutative) Fourier transform can be treated in a uniform way. Among the most important cases they include Fourier series of periodic functions $f\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ with a fixed period $T > 0$, Fourier transforms of functions $f\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, the semidiscrete Fourier transform of sequences $f\colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$, and, of course, the discrete Fourier transform of functions ($n$-dimensional vectors) $f\colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ or, more generally, of functions $f\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ defined on an arbitrary finite abelian group $G$ (cf. [HR1], [HR2], [Rd2], [Tr]).

For a finite abelian group $G$ its dual group $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$, where $\mathbb{T}$ denotes the compact multiplicative group of complex units, is isomorphic (though not canonically) to $G$, the $|G|$-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}^G$ is endowed with the Hermitian inner product

$$\langle f,\, g\rangle_d = d \sum_{x\in G} f(x)\,\overline{g(x)}$$

where $d > 0$ is some scaling or normalizing coefficient, the characters $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ form an orthogonal basis in $\mathbb{C}^G$ and the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) $\mathcal{F}\colon \mathbb{C}^G \to \mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is defined as the inner (or scalar) product

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) = \langle f,\, \gamma\rangle_d\,,$$

for $f \in \mathbb{C}^G$, $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$. Once the inner product $\langle \varphi, \psi\rangle_{\hat{d}}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is defined using the adjoint scaling coefficient $\hat{d} = (d|G|)^{-1}$, we have the Fourier inversion formula

$$f = \hat{d} \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(\gamma)\,\gamma\,,$$

and the Plancherel identity

$$\langle f,\, g\rangle_d = \big\langle \widehat{f},\, \widehat{g}\big\rangle_{\hat{d}}\,,$$

turning the DFT $\mathcal{F}\colon \mathbb{C}^G \to \mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ into a linear isometry of unitary spaces.

For general LCA groups the picture is by far not so simple. The dual group $\widehat{G}$ of $G$ consists of all continuous homomorphisms (characters) $\gamma\colon G \to \mathbb{T}$, and the Fourier transform is primarily defined on the Lebesgue space $\mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, m)$, where $m = m_G$ is the Haar measure on $G$, as the bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}\colon \mathrm{L}^1(G) \to \mathrm{C}_0\big(\widehat{G}\big)$ given by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f\,\overline{\gamma}\,\mathrm{d}m\,,$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G)$, $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$. It can be extended to the so called Fourier-Stieltjes transform $\mathcal{F}\colon \mathrm{M}(G) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}\big(\widehat{G}\big)$ from the Banach space $\mathrm{M}(G) \supseteq \mathrm{L}^1(G)$ of all complex-valued regular Borel measures on $G$ with finite total variation to the Banach space $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}\big(\widehat{G}\big)$ of all bounded uniformly continuous functions $\widehat{G} \to \mathbb{C}$, defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu)(\gamma) = \widehat{\mu}(\gamma) = \int \overline{\gamma}\,\mathrm{d}\mu\,,$$

for $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(G)$.

Using the density of the intersection $\mathrm{L}^1(G) \cap \mathrm{L}^p(G)$ in the Lebesgue space $\mathrm{L}^p(G)$ with respect to its norm $\|\cdot\|_p$, the Fourier transform can also be extended to a bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}\colon \mathrm{L}^p(G) \to \mathrm{L}^q\big(\widehat{G}\big)$ for $p \in (1, 2\,]$ and the adjoint exponent $q = p/(p-1) \in [\,2, \infty)$. Under a proper normalization of the Haar measure $m_{\widehat{G}}$ on the dual group $\widehat{G}$ we have the Fourier inversion formula

$$f = \int \widehat{f}(\gamma)\, \gamma \, \mathrm{d}m_{\widehat{G}}$$

(both with respect to the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and the $\mathrm{L}^p$-norm $\|\cdot\|_p$) just for $f \in \mathrm{L}^p(G) \cap \mathcal{F}\big[\mathrm{M}\big(\widehat{G}\big)\big] \subseteq \mathrm{L}^p(G) \cap \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(G)$, with $\mathcal{F}$ denoting the Fourier-Stieltjes transform $\mathrm{M}\big(\widehat{G}\big) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(G)$, here.

For $p = q = 2$ we obtain the isometric linear isomorphism $\mathcal{F}\colon \mathrm{L}^2(G) \to \mathrm{L}^2\big(\widehat{G}\big)$ of Hilbert spaces, called the Fourier-Plancherel transform. Then we have the Plancherel identity

$$\langle f,\, g\rangle = \int f\, \overline{g}\, \mathrm{d}m_G = \int \widehat{f}\; \overline{\widehat{g}}\, \mathrm{d}m_{\widehat{G}} = \big\langle \widehat{f},\, \widehat{g}\big\rangle$$

(just) for $f, g \in \mathrm{L}^2(G)$. Unfortunately, unless $G$ is compact, $\widehat{G} \cap \mathrm{L}^2(G) = \emptyset$ and the inner product $\langle \gamma, \chi\rangle$ of characters $\gamma, \chi \in \widehat{G}$ is never defined, so that one can speak of the orthogonal basis formed by the characters at most in a metaphorical sense.

Taking additionally into account that the Fourier transform on finite abelian groups can be computed using the extremely fast and powerful algorithms of the Fast Fourier Transform, there naturally arises the following question:

*Given any LCA group $G$, isn't there some "universal extension", encompassing all the spaces $\mathrm{L}^p(G)$ and $\mathrm{M}(G)$, and a uniform scheme defining the Fourier transform on this extension, covering all the above mentioned particular Fourier transforms, like if $G$ were finite?*

The main goal of this paper is to provide arguments that namely Nonstandard Analysis offers not only a reasonable and satisfactory but also a fairly elegant solution to this question, as well as several additional insights. Our approach is based on the idea of an infinitesimal approximation of any LCA group $G$ by a hyperfinite abelian group, yielding infinitesimal approximations of all the above mentioned Fourier transforms by the hyperfinite dimensional DFT on (the hyperfinite dimensional vector space over) the approximating hyperfinite group. In standard terms this means that each LCA group $G$ admits an approximating system consisting of finite abelian groups, yielding approximations of the particular Fourier transforms on the $\mathrm{L}^p(G)$s and $\mathrm{M}(G)$ by the DFTs on (the finite dimensional vector spaces over) the finite approximating groups.

For the first time the methods of nonstandard analysis were applied in the study of Fourier series of functions $\mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ by Luxemburg [Lx3]. The key idea consisted in embedding the group of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ into the hyperfinite cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n$, where $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, and infinitesimal approximation of the group of complex units $\mathbb{T}$ by the hyperfinite subgroup $\big\{\mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} k/n};\, k \in \mathbb{Z}_n\big\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ of its nonstandard extension ${}^*\mathbb{T}$. The first treatment of abstract (commutative) harmonic analysis by nonstandard methods in full generality is due to Gordon. In a series of works culminating in [Go1], [Go2] he elaborated a nonstandard approach to approximations of LCA groups by hyperfinite abelian groups, formulated a version of Pontryagin-van Kampen duality for them and developed an approach to the approximation of the classical Fourier-Plancherel transform $\mathrm{L}^2(G) \to \mathrm{L}^2\big(\widehat{G}\big)$ by the DFT

on the approximating hyperfinite group. At the same time, he formulated three rather fundamental conjectures in [Go1] which remained open since 1991 until 2012.

In the present paper we will recapitulate Gordon's approach and results, introducing some conceptual and notational modifications, based mainly on some results from [ZZ], and prove the three Gordon's conjectures, generalizing the last one to the case of the classical Fourier transforms on all of the $\mathrm{L}^p(G)$ and $\mathrm{M}(G)$ spaces. The methods used in the proofs are mainly combinations of various methods of nonstandard analysis and harmonic analysis with the Fourier analytic methods of additive combinatorics by Green-Ruzsa [GR] and Tao-Vu [TV].

Finally, we will present classical (standard) equivalents to some of the obtained nonstandard results. Should we encapsulate their moral in a single sentence, the best we can do seems to be to phrase it as a response to the question formulated in the title of the paper by Epstein [Ep]:

*How well does the finite Fourier transform approximate the Fourier transform?*

The response there in the Abstract is *"very well indeed"*, and farther in the Conclusion also *"as well as it possibly could"*. We hope to convince the reader to agree finally with the following:

*Even better than one could ever hope.*[1]

Preliminarily, we can unfold the above slogan in the following imprecise and intuitive way:

1. for every LCA group $\mathbf{G}$ one can find an "arbitrarily good pair of adjoint approximations" of $\mathbf{G}$ by a finite abelian group $G$ and of its dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ by the dual $\widehat{G}$ of the finite group $G$;
2. any of the Fourier transforms $\mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$, $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}_0\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$, $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{L}^q\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$, for adjoint exponents $1 < p \leq 2 \leq q < \infty$, can be "arbitrarily well" approximated by the discrete Fourier transform $\mathbb{C}^G \to \mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, based on some adjoint approximations of $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ by finite abelian groups $G$, $\widehat{G}$, respectively.

Precise formulation of 1 is the Strongly Adjoint Finite LCA Group Approximation Theorem 2.5.8, while precise formulations of 2 are the three Finite Fourier Transform Approximation Theorems 3.4.4, 3.4.5 and 3.4.6. They are derived from their nonstandard counterparts: the Adjoint Hyperfnite LCA Group Approximation Theorem (Corollary 2.5.2), and the three Hyperfinite Dimensional Fourier Transform Approximation Theorems 3.3.1, 3.3.2 and 3.3.4, respectively. In their formulations as well as in their proofs Gordon's Conjectures 1 and 2 are crucial. These are stated and proved in Sections 2.1–2.3. Gordon's Conjecture 3 on hyperfinite dimensional approximation of the Fourier-Plancherel transform $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{L}^2\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$ is in fact a special case of Theorem 3.3.2 (Corollary 3.3.3).

**Acknowledgement.** In the end of this introductory part I would like to express my deep indebtedness and gratitude to Zhenya Gordon for having introduced me to the topic of nonstandard approach to Pontryagin-van Kampen duality and Fourier transform, as well as for many valuable discussions and permanent encouragement and support when my long year wrestling with his Conjectures seemed desperately hopeless.

[1] In fact, in [Ep] that question is asked for the Fourier transform of periodic functions $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, only. In our response we have in mind Fourier transforms on arbitrary LCA groups.

# 1. Nonstandard Analysis

The reader is assumed to have some basic acquaintance with nonstandard analysis, including the nonstandard approach to topology and continuity in terms of monads and equivalence relations of infinitesimal nearness, the Loeb measure construction and internal Banach spaces and their nonstandard hulls. Besides the original Robinson's book [Rb], the standard general references are, e.g., the monographs [AFHL], [ACH] (mainly the parts [Hn2] and [Lb2]), [Dv], and [Gb]. For Loeb measure also the survey [Ct] can be consulted. The canonic reference for nonstandard Banach space theory is the paper [HM].

## 1.1. General setting

Our exposition takes place in a nonstandard universe ${}^*\mathbb{V}$ which is an elementary extension of a superstructure $\mathbb{V}$ over some set of individuals containing at least all (classical) complex numbers and the elements of the topological space or topological group, as well as index sets, etc., dealt with. In particular, every standard mathematical (first-order) structure $A \in \mathbb{V}$ is embedded into its nonstandard extension ${}^*A \in {}^*\mathbb{V}$ via the mapping $a \mapsto {}^*a\colon A \to {}^*A$ such that, for any formula $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in the language of $A$ and elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, $\Phi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is satisfied in $A$ if and only if $\Phi({}^*a_1, \dots, {}^*a_n)$ is satisfied in ${}^*A$ (*transfer principle*). Whenever there threatens no confusion we tend to identify $a \in A$ with ${}^*a \in {}^*A$, and to denote the corresponding operations and relations in $A$ and ${}^*A$ by the same sign, dropping the ${}^*$ in the latter. Similarly, to a function $f\colon A \to B$ in $\mathbb{V}$ there (functorially) corresponds a function ${}^*f\colon {}^*A \to {}^*B$ in ${}^*\mathbb{V}$, etc.

In particular, we have the structures of *hypernatural numbers* ${}^*\mathbb{N}$, *hyperintegers* ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$, *hyperrational numbers* ${}^*\mathbb{Q}$, *hyperreal numbers* ${}^*\mathbb{R}$, and *hypercomplex numbers* ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ with the usual (and, possibly, some additional) operations and relations, extending the structures of natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$, integers $\mathbb{Z}$, rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$, real numbers $\mathbb{R}$, and complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$, respectively.

As the superstructure $\mathbb{V}$ is *transitive*, i.e., $X \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ for any set $X \in \mathbb{V}$, the same is true for ${}^*\mathbb{V}$. The sets belonging to ${}^*\mathbb{V}$ are called *internal*; other subsets of ${}^*\mathbb{V}$ are called *external*. Additionally, we assume that the nonstandard universe ${}^*\mathbb{V}$ is either $\kappa$-saturated for some uncountable cardinal $\kappa$ or even *polysaturated*, i.e., $\kappa$-saturated for some $\kappa$ bigger than the cardinality of any set in the original (standard) universe $\mathbb{V}$. However, for the sake of generality, we do not specify the saturation degree $\kappa$ explicitly. Instead we use the term a *set* or *system of admissible size* referring to (external) subsets of the nonstandard universe with the (external) cardinality $< \kappa$, and assume that the universe ${}^*\mathbb{V}$ is *sufficiently saturated*, meaning that $\bigcap \mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ for any system of internal sets $\mathcal{S} \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{V}$ of admissible size with the finite intersection property. For most applications an $\aleph_1$-saturated nonstandard universe (i.e., $\kappa = \aleph_1$) would be sufficient; in that case a system of admissible size is simply a countable one.

Internal sets $A$ which can be put into a one-to-one correspondence via an internal bijection with sets of the form $\{1, \dots, n\}$ for some $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$ are called *hyperfinite*; in that case $n = |A|$ is referred to as the *number of elements* of $A$. Hyperfinite sets (briefly, HF sets) behave within the internal context much like finite sets though, for $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, they are (externally) infinite.

Internal and, particularly, hyperfinite sets lie on the bottom of the descriptive hierarchy of some (still not too wild) external sets. We use them as mathematical models of crisp, sharply demarcated groupings of objects. Next to them in this hierarchy (but not less in importance) there are the *galactic* or $\Sigma^0_1(\kappa)$-sets and the *monadic* or $\Pi^0_1(\kappa)$-sets, defined as unions and intersections, respectively, of systems of internal sets of admissible size. Galactic sets serve as mathematical models of groupings demarcated by possibly hazy properties, gliding to a horizon toward which the property fades. Then the monadic sets are just complements of galactic sets with respect to internal sets; they model negations of properties demarcated by some observational horizon.

An example of a galactic set is the set $\mathbb{N}$ of all (standard) natural numbers, in other words, the set of all *finite* elements of the set of all hypernatural numbers ${}^*\mathbb{N}$: with growing $n \in \mathbb{N}$ "the finiteness of $n$ is fading". Then the *infinite* hypernatural numbers form the monadic set ${}^*\mathbb{N}_\infty = {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Further typical examples of monadic sets are the equivalence relations of indiscernibility or infinitesimal nearness, arising in nonstandard models of topological spaces: two objects are *indiscernible* within some method of comparison or observation if they are behind the horizon of its *discernibility*.

Every function $f\colon X \to Y$ is considered to be equal to the set of ordered pairs $\big\{(x, f(x));\, x \in X\big\}$. If $R \subseteq X \times Y$ is a relation, then a function $f$ is called a *choice function* from $R$ on a set $A \subseteq \operatorname{dom} R$ if $A \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f$ and $f \restriction A \subseteq R$, i.e., if $(a, f(a)) \in R$ for each $a \in A$.

**1.1.1. Internal Choice Lemma.** *Let $X$, $Y$ be internal sets in a sufficiently saturated nonstandard universe, and $R \subseteq X \times Y$ be a relation such that for every internal set $D \subseteq \operatorname{dom} R$ the restriction $R \restriction D$ is a monadic set. Then for every galactic set $A \subseteq \operatorname{dom} R$ there exists an internal choice function $f$ from $R$ on $A$.*

*Sketch of proof.* If $A$ is internal, then the monadic relation $R \restriction A$ can be written as the intersection $R \restriction A = \bigcap_{i\in I} R_i$ of admissibly many internal relations $R_i \subseteq X \times Y$ with common domain $A$. Then, for any nonempty finite set $J \subseteq I$, we readily obtain an internal choice function $f_J$ on $A$ from the relation $\bigcap_{i\in J} R_i$, by applying the *transfer principle* to the axiom of choice. (For hyperfinite $A$ the axiom of choice is even not needed in this point.) The existence of an internal choice function $f$ from $R$ on $A$ follows by the virtue of saturation.

If $A$ is a galactic set, then the desired conclusion follows from the internal case by applying the saturation argument once again.

### 1.2. Bounded monadic spaces

In view of [Lx2], [Hn1] and [Gn2] we accept as a bare fact that there is no canonical way how to define the *finite elements* in the nonstandard extension of a uniform space. Instead of looking for the adequate definition in terms of the uniform structure and standard elements we will treat the *infinitesimal nearness* or *indiscernibility* on one hand, and *finiteness* or *accessibility* on the other hand as related but different phenomena to which there correspond different primitive concepts. Our basic nonstandard objects, by means of which we will study (sufficiently regular) topological spaces, will be ordered triples of the form $(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$ where $X$ is an internal set, $E$ is a monadic equivalence relation on $X$ and $X_{\mathrm{f}}$ is a galactic subset of $X$ which is $E$-closed, i.e., $x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $(x, y) \in E$ imply $y \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$, for $x, y \in X$. We will call them alternatively *bounded monadic spaces*, or *IMG spaces* like in [ZZ], or *IMG triplets*, indicating that we do not consider this terminology as definitive.

Intuitively, $X$ is viewed as the underlying or ambient set of the triplet, $E$ is the relation of indiscernibility or infinitesimal nearness on $X$, and $X_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the set of elements of $X$ encompassed by some observational horizon. The elements of $X_{\mathrm{f}}$ will be briefly referred to as the *finite* or *accessible* ones. To stress the role of the equivalence $E$ we will preferably write $x \approx y$ instead of $(x, y) \in E$, for $x, y \in X$, and call the set

$$E[x] = \{y \in X;\, y \approx x\}$$

of points indiscernible from the point $x \in X$ the *$E$-monad* or just the *monad* of $x$. The $E$-closeness of $X_{\mathrm{f}}$ can be now expressed as the condition $E[x] \subseteq X_{\mathrm{f}}$ for any $x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$. The quotient

$$X_{\mathrm{f}}/E = X_{\mathrm{f}}/{\approx} = \{E[x];\, x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}\}$$

is called the *observable trace* of the triplet $(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$.

The restricted quotient mapping $X_{\mathrm{f}} \to X_{\mathrm{f}}/E$ reminds of the standard part mapping $\mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{X}) \to \mathbf{X}$ in nonstandard extensions of Hausdorff uniform spaces, sending every point $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{X})$ to its standard part, i.e., the unique element ${}^\circ\boldsymbol{x} = \mathrm{st}\,\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{X} \cong \mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{X})/{\approx}$ infinitesimally close to $\boldsymbol{x}$. In order to underline this analogy (especially when viewing the monads as individual points and forgetting about their "sethood") we introduce the notation $E[x] = x^\flat$ for the monad of $x \in X$, and

$$A^\flat = \left\{a^\flat;\, a \in A \cap X_{\mathrm{f}}\right\}$$

for the *observable trace* of any set $A \subseteq X$. In particular, the observable trace $X^\flat = X_{\mathrm{f}}^\flat = X_{\mathrm{f}}/E$ of the triplet $(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$ should not be confused with the full quotient $X/E \supseteq X^\flat$. Conversely, for any $\mathbf{Y} \subseteq X^\flat$, we call the following set the *pretrace* of $\mathbf{Y}$:

$$\mathbf{Y}^\sharp = \left\{x \in X_{\mathrm{f}};\, x^\flat \in \mathbf{Y}\right\}.$$

Given an IMG triplet $(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$, it is an easy exercise in *saturation* to show that for each internal relation $R \supseteq E$ on $X$ there is a symmetric internal relation $S \supseteq E$ on $X$ such that $S \circ S \subseteq R$. Similarly, for any internal set $A \subseteq X_{\mathrm{f}}$ there is an internal set $B \subseteq X_{\mathrm{f}}$ and a symmetric internal relation $S \supseteq E$ on $X$ such that $S[A] \subseteq B$. It follows that there is a downward directed system $\mathcal{R}$ of reflexive and symmetric internal relations on $X$, and an upward directed system $\mathcal{B}$ of internal subsets of $X$, both of admissible size, satisfying the following conditions:

$$(\forall R \in \mathcal{R})(\exists\, S \in \mathcal{R})(S \circ S \subseteq R)\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad E = \bigcap \mathcal{R}\,,$$

$$(\forall A \in \mathcal{B})(\exists\, B \in \mathcal{B})(\exists\, S \in \mathcal{R})(S[A] \subseteq B)\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad X_{\mathrm{f}} = \bigcup \mathcal{B}\,.$$

Then $\mathcal{R}$ becomes a base of a uniformity $\mathcal{U}_E$ on $X$ (non-Hausdorff, unless $E = \mathrm{Id}_X$). Another base for this uniformity (though not necessarily of admissible size) is formed by all the internal relations $R$ on $X$ such that $E \subseteq R$. A set $Y \subseteq X$ is open in the induced topology if and only if for each $y \in Y$ there is an internal set $A$ such that $E[y] \subseteq A \subseteq Y$. In particular, $X_{\mathrm{f}}$ is an open subset of $X$. The closure of any set $Y \subseteq X$ is $\bigcap_{R \in \mathcal{R}} R[Y]$; for internal $Y$ this is equal to $E[Y]$.

The observable traces

$$R^\flat = \left\{\left(x^\flat, y^\flat\right);\, (x, y) \in R \cap (X_{\mathrm{f}} \times X_{\mathrm{f}})\right\}$$

of internal relations $R \in \mathcal{U}_E$ (or just $R \in \mathcal{R}$) form a uniformity base on the observable trace $X^\flat = X_\mathrm{f}/E$, inducing a Hausdorff completely regular topology on it.

We are particularly interested in representing Hausdorff locally compact spaces as observable traces of IMG triplets $(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ with hyperfinite ambient set $X$. To this end we introduce some types of indices of internal sets $A \subseteq X$ with respect to reflexive and symmetric internal relations $S \subseteq X \times X$:

(a) the *covering index* or *entropy* of $A$ with respect to $S$, denoted by $\lfloor A : S \rfloor$, is the least $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$, such that $A \subseteq S[F]$ for some hyperfinite sets $F \subseteq X$ with $n$ elements, or the symbol $\infty$ if there is no such $n$;

(b) the *inner covering index* of $A$ with respect to $S$, denoted by $\lfloor A : S \rfloor_\mathrm{i}$, is the least $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$, such that $A \subseteq S[F]$ for some hyperfinite sets $F \subseteq A$ with $n$ elements, or the symbol $\infty$ if there is no such $n$;

(c) the *independence index* or the *capacity* of $A$ with respect to $S$ denoted by $\lceil A : S \rceil$, is the biggest $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$ such that there is an $n$-element set $F \subseteq A$ satisfying $(x, y) \notin S$ for any distinct $x, y \in F$, or the symbol $\infty$ if there is no biggest $n$ with that property.

Then we have the following obvious inequalities (cf. [Ro]):

$$\left\lceil A : (S \circ S) \right\rceil \leq \lfloor A : S \rfloor \leq \lfloor A : S \rfloor_\mathrm{i} \leq \lceil A : S \rceil \,.$$

If $G$ is an internal group then, instead of the symmetric and reflexive internal relation $S$ on $G$, we can take a symmetric internal subset $S \subseteq G$ containing the unit element $1 \in G$. The obvious modification of the above definitions and the last inequalities to this situation is left to the reader.

In the following Proposition the expression $[A : S]$ denotes any of the indices $\lfloor A : S \rfloor$, $\lfloor A : S \rfloor_\mathrm{i}$ or $\lceil A : S \rceil$. Its proof is left as an exercise to the reader.

**1.2.1. Proposition.** *Let $(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ be a bounded monadic space. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

*(i) all the internal subsets of $X_\mathrm{f}$ are relatively compact;*

*(ii) for any internal set $A \subseteq X_\mathrm{f}$ and every symmetric internal relation $S \supseteq E$ on $X$ the index $[A : S]$ is finite;*

*(iii) there is an external set $P \subseteq X_\mathrm{f}$ of admissible size such that $x \not\approx y$ for any distinct $x, y \in P$, and $X_\mathrm{f} \subseteq S[P]$ for every internal relation $S \supseteq E$;*

*(iv) for each $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N}_\infty$ there is a hyperfinite set $H \subseteq X$ with at most $n$ elements such that $X_\mathrm{f} \subseteq E[H]$;*

*(v) for every infinite hyperfinite set $H \subseteq X_\mathrm{f}$ there are at least two distinct elements $x, y \in H$ such that $x \approx y$.*

The last condition (v) suggests to call the IMG triplets satisfying any (hence all) of the above conditions *condensing* (cf. [ZZ]). Obviously, the observable trace of any condensing IMG space is locally compact, and the compact subsets of $X^\flat$ are exactly the observable traces $A^\flat$ of internal subsets $A \subseteq X_\mathrm{f}$. However, it should be kept in mind that this is a considerably stronger condition than just the local compactness of $X^\flat$. Nevertheless, we still have the following representation theorem.

**1.2.2. Proposition.** *Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a Hausdorff locally compact topological space. Then, in every sufficiently saturated nonstandard universe, there is a condensing IMG triplet $(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ such that $\mathbf{X}$ is homeomorphic to the observable trace $X^\flat$. If desirable, one can additionally arrange that the ambient space $X$ be hyperfinite.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathcal{U}$ be some uniformity inducing the topology of $\mathbf{X}$ and $\kappa$ be an uncountable cardinal bigger than the minimal cardinality of some base of $\mathcal{U}$ as well as of some open

cover of $\mathbf{X}$ by relatively compact sets. Let us embed $\mathbf{X}$ into its nonstandard extension ${}^*\mathbf{X}$ in some $\kappa$-saturated nonstandard universe. Put $E_{\mathcal{U}} = \bigcap_{\mathbf{U}\in\mathcal{U}} {}^*\mathbf{U}$. It can be easily verified that $\big({}^*\mathbf{X}, E_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{X})\big)$ is a condensing IMG space whose observable trace (nonstandard hull) $\mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{X})/E_{\mathcal{U}}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{X}$.

Let $n$ be an arbitrary infinite hypernatural number and $X = H \subseteq {}^*\mathbf{X}$ be the $n$-element hyperfinite set guaranteed by (iv) of 1.2.2. Now, it suffices to put $X_{\mathrm{f}} = \mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{X}) \cap H$, $E = E_{\mathcal{U}} \cap (H \times H)$, and we get another condensing IMG triplet $(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$ with the observable trace $X_{\mathrm{f}}/E \cong \mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{X})/E_{\mathcal{U}} \cong \mathbf{X}$ and hyperfinite ambient space $X$.

The crucial property of the internal inclusion mapping $\mathrm{Id}_X : X \to {}^*\mathbf{X}$ (under the identification ${}^*\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}$ for $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{X}$) is namely the following one:

$$(\forall\, \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{X})(\exists\, x \in X)(x \approx \boldsymbol{x})$$

to which we refer by the phrase that $\mathrm{Id}_X : X \to {}^*\mathbf{X}$ is a *hyperfinite infinitesimal approximation*, briefly *HFI approximation*, of the topological space $\mathbf{X}$. Its standard counterpart can be formulated in terms of approximating systems by finite sets.

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be any set, $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ be nonempty set and $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$ be a reflexive relation. A mapping $\eta\colon X \to \mathbf{X}$ is called a *finite* $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ *approximation* of $\mathbf{X}$ if $X$ is a finite set and

$$(\forall\, \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K})(\exists\, x \in X)\big((\eta(x), \boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbf{U}\big)\,.$$

It is called an *injective* $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ *approximation* if, additionally, $\eta$ is an injective mapping.

Let $(\mathbf{X},\mathcal{U})$ be a Hausdorff uniform space and $(I, \leq)$ be an upward directed partially ordered set. Then a system of mappings $(\eta_i\colon X_i \to \mathbf{X})_{i\in I}$ is called an *approximating system* of the space $(\mathbf{X},\mathcal{U})$ provided each $X_i$ is a finite set, and for any $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{U}$ and any compact set $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ there is an $i \in I$ such that for each $j \in I$, $j \geq i$, $\eta_j\colon X_j \to \mathbf{X}$ is a $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation of $\mathbf{X}$.

Now, it is almost obvious that every Hausdorff locally compact uniform space $(\mathbf{X},\mathcal{U})$ has some approximating system $(\eta_i\colon X_i \to \mathbf{X})_{i\in I}$ such that each $X_i$ is a finite subset of $\mathbf{X}$ and $\eta_i\colon X_i \to \mathbf{X}$ is the inclusion mapping. Assuming $\kappa$-saturation for some sufficiently big $\kappa$, we can take a ${}^*$compact set $\mathbf{K}_0 \subseteq {}^*\mathbf{X}$ and a $\mathbf{U}_0 \in {}^*\mathcal{U}$, such that ${}^*\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{K}_0$ and $\mathbf{U}_0 \subseteq {}^*\mathbf{U}$ for all compact $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there is an $i \in {}^*I$ such that the hyperfinite set $X_i \subseteq {}^*\mathbf{X}$ (together with the inclusion mapping $\eta_i\colon X_i \to {}^*\mathbf{X}$) is a $(\mathbf{K}_0, \mathbf{U}_0)$ approximation, hence an HFI approximation, of $\mathbf{X}$. Putting $X = X_i$, $E = E_{\mathcal{U}} \cap (X \times X)$, and $X_{\mathrm{f}} = \mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{X}) \cap X$, we get a condensing IMG triplet $(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$ with hyperfinite ambient space $X$ and observable trace $X^\flat = X_{\mathrm{f}}/E \cong \mathbf{X}$. This gives another proof of Proposition 1.2.2.

Given two IMG spaces $(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$, $(Y, F, Y_{\mathrm{f}})$, an internal mapping $f\colon D \to Y$ is called a *triplet morphism* if $X_{\mathrm{f}} \subseteq D \subseteq X$, it *preserves finiteness*, i.e., $f(x) \in Y_{\mathrm{f}}$ for any $x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$, and it is *$S$-continuous* on $X_{\mathrm{f}}$, i.e.,

$$x \approx y \;\Rightarrow\; f(x) \approx f(y)\,,$$

for any $x, y \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$. In such a case we write $f\colon (X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}}) \to (Y, F, Y_{\mathrm{f}})$. Note that every triplet morphism $f$ with domain $D$ can be formally extended to an everywhere defined triplet morphism $\widetilde{f}\colon X \to Y$ in an arbitrary way.

Every triplet morphism $f\colon (X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}}) \to (Y, F, Y_{\mathrm{f}})$ induces a continuous mapping $f^\flat\colon X^\flat \to Y^\flat$, called the *observable trace* of $f$, (correctly) defined by

$$f^\flat\big(x^\flat\big) = f(x)^\flat\,,$$

for $x \in X_\mathrm{f}$. Two triplet morphisms $f, g\colon (X, E, X_\mathrm{f}) \to (Y, F, Y_\mathrm{f})$ are called *equivalent* if they have the same observable trace $f^\flat = g^\flat$. However, we can put

$$f \approx_{X_\mathrm{f}} g \;\Leftrightarrow\; (\forall\, x \in X_\mathrm{f})(f(x) \approx g(x))$$

for any internal functions $f\colon D_1 \to Y$, $g\colon D_2 \to Y$ such that $X_\mathrm{f} \subseteq D_1 \cap D_2$. This *relation of infinitesimal nearness on finite elements* is a monadic equivalence on any set $Y^D$ of all internal functions $D \to Y$, where $X_\mathrm{f} \subseteq D$. For triplet morphisms $f, g\colon (X, E, X_\mathrm{f}) \to (Y, F, Y_\mathrm{f})$ we have

$$f \approx_{X_\mathrm{f}} g \;\Leftrightarrow\; f^\flat = g^\flat$$

indicating a fundamental role of this indiscernibility equivalence. Let us remark, without being precise, that for a condensing IMG space $(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ the equivalence $\approx_{X_\mathrm{f}}$ corresponds to the compact-open topology on the space $\mathrm{C}\big(X^\flat, Y^\flat\big)$ of all continuous functions $X^\flat \to Y^\flat$.

Another important feature of condensing IMG spaces is the lifting property. Given a mapping $\boldsymbol{f}\colon X^\flat \to Y^\flat$ between the observable traces, an internal mapping $f\colon D \to Y$ is called an *$S$-continuous lifting* of $\boldsymbol{f}$ if $X_\mathrm{f} \subseteq D \subseteq X$ and

$$\boldsymbol{f}\big(x^\flat\big) = f(x)^\flat\,,$$

for each $x \in X_\mathrm{f}$. Notice that an internal mapping $f\colon D \to Y$, satisfying the last equality necessarily is a triplet morphism, hence $S$-continuous on $X_\mathrm{f}$. In other words, a triplet morphism $f\colon (X, E, X_\mathrm{f}) \to (Y, F, Y_\mathrm{f})$ is a lifting of $\boldsymbol{f}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{f} = f^\flat$ is the observable trace of $f$. Then $\boldsymbol{f}$ necessarily is continuous, as well. Thus only continuous mappings between observable traces of IMG triplets have liftings $S$-continuous on $X_\mathrm{f}$. The point is that for a *condensing* IMG triplet $(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ this necessary continuity condition is already sufficient for the existence of liftings.

**1.2.3. Proposition.** *Let $(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$, $(Y, F, Y_\mathrm{f})$ be two IMG spaces. If $(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ is condensing, then a mapping $\boldsymbol{f}\colon X^\flat \to Y^\flat$ has an internal lifting $S$-continuous on $X_\mathrm{f}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{f}$ is continuous.*

*Sketch of proof.* Let's focus on the nontrivial implication, only. Assume that $\boldsymbol{f}$ is continuous and denote by

$$\boldsymbol{f}^\sharp = \big\{(x, y) \in X \times Y;\; \boldsymbol{f}\big(x^\flat\big) = y^\flat\big\}$$

its pretrace considered as a set $\boldsymbol{f}^\sharp \subseteq X_\mathrm{f} \times Y_\mathrm{f}$ in the IMG space $(X \times Y, E \times F, X_\mathrm{f} \times Y_\mathrm{f})$. It suffices to show that, for every internal set $D \subseteq X_\mathrm{f}$, the restriction $\boldsymbol{f}^\sharp \restriction D$ is monadic. Then, by the Internal Choice Lemma 1.1.1, there is an internal choice function $f$ from $\boldsymbol{f}^\sharp$ on its domain $X_\mathrm{f}$. Obviously, this $f$ is an $S$-continuous lifting of $\boldsymbol{f}$.

Preliminarily we can only assure that that there is some (necessarily not internal) function $\varphi\colon X_\mathrm{f} \to Y$, such that $\boldsymbol{f}\big(x^\flat\big) = \varphi(x)^\flat$ for each $x \in X_\mathrm{f}$.

Let us fix some nonempty internal set $D \subseteq X_\mathrm{f}$. Let further $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{S}$ be some systems of admissible size consisting of symmetric internal relations on $X$, $Y$ respectively, such that $E = \bigcap \mathcal{R}$, $F = \bigcap \mathcal{S}$, and $P \subseteq X_\mathrm{f}$ be a set of admissible size, such that $R[P] = X_\mathrm{f}$ for every $R \in \mathcal{R}$, whose existence is guaranteed in Proposition 1.2.1(iii). Denote by $\mathcal{I}_D$ the set of all ordered triples $(S, R, A)$ such that $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $R \in \mathcal{R}$, $A$ is a finite subset of $P$ subject to $D \subseteq R[A]$, and

$$\big(x^\flat, y^\flat\big) \in R^\flat \;\Rightarrow\; \big(\boldsymbol{f}\big(x^\flat\big), \boldsymbol{f}\big(y^\flat\big)\big) \in S^\flat$$

for all $x, y \in D$. Obviously, $\mathcal{I}_D$ is of admissible size. Moreover, $D^\flat \subseteq X^\flat$ is compact, hence $\boldsymbol{f}$ is uniformly continuous on $D^\flat$. Therefore, for any $S \in \mathcal{S}$ there is an $R \in \mathcal{R}$ and a finite $A \subseteq P$ such that $(S, R, A) \in \mathcal{I}_D$. For any triple $i = (S, R, A) \in \mathcal{I}_D$ we put

$$\Phi_i = \bigcup_{a \in A} \big(D \cap R[a]\big) \times S\big[\varphi(a)\big] \,.$$

As $A$ is finite, each $\Phi_i$ is an internal relation. Using the continuity of $\boldsymbol{f}$, the equality

$$\boldsymbol{f}^\sharp \restriction D = \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}_D} \Phi_i$$

can be checked in a routine way, resembling the standard proof of the closed graph theorem for a continuous function into a Hausdorff space.

**1.2.4. Corollary.** *Let $(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$, $(Y, F, Y_\mathrm{f})$ be bounded monadic spaces with homeomorphic observable traces $X^\flat \cong Y^\flat$. If one of them is condensing then so is the other, and there exist triplet morphisms $f\colon (X, E, X_\mathrm{f}) \to (Y, F, Y_\mathrm{f})$, $g\colon (Y, F, Y_\mathrm{f}) \to (X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ such that*

$$g(f(x)) \approx x \qquad \textit{and} \qquad f(g(y)) \approx y \,,$$

*for all $x \in X_\mathrm{f}$, $y \in Y_\mathrm{f}$.*

Naturally, a triplet morphism $f\colon (X, E, X_\mathrm{f}) \to (Y, F, Y_\mathrm{f})$ to which there is a triplet morphism $g\colon (Y, F, Y_\mathrm{f}) \to (X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ satisfying the above condition will be called a *triplet isomorphism*. Now, the last Corollary can be restated as follows: *Condensing* IMG triplets are isomorphic if and only if they have homeomorphic observable traces.

**1.2.5. Corollary.** *Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a Hausdorff locally compact uniform space and $(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ be a condensing IMG space with hyperfinite ambient set and the observable trace $X^\flat$ homeomorphic to $\mathbf{X}$. Then there is an HFI approximation $\eta\colon X \to {}^*\mathbf{X}$ which is a triplet isomorphism $\eta\colon (X, E, X_\mathrm{f}) \to \big({}^*\mathbf{X}, E_\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{X})\big)$.*

### 1.3. Functional spaces 1: Continuous functions

In this and the next following section $\mathbf{X}$ is a Hausdorff locally compact topological space, whose topology is induced by a uniformity $\mathcal{U}$, represented as the observable trace $\mathbf{X} \cong X^\flat$ of a condensing IMG triplet $(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ with a *hyperfinite* ambient set $X$ by means of a (not necessarily injective) HFI approximation $\eta\colon X \to {}^*\mathbf{X}$. Then $X_\mathrm{f} = \eta^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{X})\big]$ and we can assume, without loss of generality, that $x \approx y \;\Leftrightarrow\; \eta(x) \approx \eta(y)$ for all $x$, $y$ in $X$ and not just in $X_\mathrm{f}$. Identifying the observable trace $X^\flat = X_\mathrm{f}/E$ with $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{X})/{\approx}$ via the homeomorphism $\eta^\flat$, we regard each point $\eta^\flat\big(x^\flat\big) = {}^\circ\eta(x) \in \mathbf{X}$ as observable trace $x^\flat$ of $x \in X_\mathrm{f}$.

The hyperfiniteness of $X$ enables to represent various Banach spaces of functions $\mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ by means of the *hyperfinite dimensional* linear space ${}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ of all internal functions $X \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$. We will systematically employ the advantage of such an approach.

Let's start with the observation that the nonstandard extensions ${}^*\mathbb{R}$, ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ of real and complex numbers, respectively, give rise to the condensing IMG triplets $({}^*\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{I}{}^*\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{R})$ and $({}^*\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{I}{}^*\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C})$. Here $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{R} \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C} \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{C}$ denote the galactic subrings of finite (bounded) hyperreal or hypercomplex numbers, and the monadic ideals $\mathbb{I}{}^*\mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{I}{}^*\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C}$ of infinitesimal hyperreal or hypercomplex numbers are used instead of the equivalence relations $\approx$ of infinitesimal nearness on ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ or ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ in the notation of the

triplets. Then, obviously, $\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{I}{}^*\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{I}{}^*\mathbb{C}$ as topological fields. For $x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$, $x \geq 0$, we write $x < \infty$ instead of $x \in \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{R}$, and $x \sim \infty$ instead of $x \in {}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{R}$.

From now on we will focus on spaces of complex functions, leaving the reader the formulation of the real version.

The hyperfinite dimensional (HFD) linear space ${}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ admits several internal norms. For any internal norm $\mathsf{N}$ on ${}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ we denote by

$$\mathbb{I}_{\mathsf{N}}{}^*\mathbb{C}^X = \big\{f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X;\, \mathsf{N}(f) \approx 0\big\}\,,$$
$$\mathbb{F}_{\mathsf{N}}{}^*\mathbb{C}^X = \big\{f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X;\, \mathsf{N}(f) < \infty\big\}\,,$$

the $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C}$-linear subspaces (more precisely, $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C}$-submodules) of ${}^*\mathbb{C}^X$, consisting of functions which are infinitesimal or finite, respectively, with respect to the norm $\mathsf{N}$. Then the arising IMG triplet $\big({}^*\mathbb{C}^X, \mathbb{I}_{\mathsf{N}}{}^*\mathbb{C}^X, \mathbb{F}_{\mathsf{N}}{}^*\mathbb{C}^X\big)$ (with $\mathbb{I}_{\mathsf{N}}{}^*\mathbb{C}$ standing in place of the indiscernibility equivalence relation $f \approx_{\mathsf{N}} g \;\Leftrightarrow\; f - g \in \mathbb{I}_{\mathsf{N}}{}^*\mathbb{C}^X$) which (at least for a "reasonable" norm $\mathsf{N}$) is condensing if and only if $X$ is finite. Its observable trace (nonstandard hull)

$$\big({}^*\mathbb{C}^X\big)^{\flat}_{\mathsf{N}} = \mathbb{F}_{\mathsf{N}}{}^*\mathbb{C}^X/\,\mathbb{I}_{\mathsf{N}}{}^*\mathbb{C}^X$$

becomes a (standard) Banach space under the norm $\mathsf{N}^\flat$, given by

$$\mathsf{N}^\flat\big(f^\flat_{\mathsf{N}}\big) = {}^\circ\mathsf{N}(f) = \operatorname{st}\mathsf{N}(f)\,,$$

where $f^\flat_{\mathsf{N}} \in \big({}^*\mathbb{C}^X\big)^{\flat}_{\mathsf{N}}$ denotes the observable trace of the function $f \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathsf{N}}{}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ with respect to the norm $\mathsf{N}$. Typically, $\big({}^*\mathbb{C}^X\big)^{\flat}_{\mathsf{N}}$ is nonseparable unless $X$ is finite.

An internal function $f\colon D \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$, such that $X_{\mathrm{f}} \subseteq D \subseteq X$, is a triplet morphism $(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}}) \to ({}^*\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{I}{}^*\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C})$ if and only if $f$ is $S$-continuous on $X_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $f[X_{\mathrm{f}}] \subseteq \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C}$. Its observable trace is the function $f^\flat\colon X^\flat \cong \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$f^\flat\big(x^\flat\big) = {}^\circ f(x) = \operatorname{st} f(x)$$

for $x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$. However, unless $X_{\mathrm{f}} = X$, the monadic equivalence relation $\approx_{X_{\mathrm{f}}}$ on ${}^*\mathbb{C}^X$, corresponding to the compact-open topology on the space $\mathrm{C_b}(\mathbf{X})$ of all continuous functions $\mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$, is not of the form $\approx_{\mathsf{N}}$ for any internal norm $\mathsf{N}$ on ${}^*\mathbb{C}^X$.

When dealing with $S$-continuous functions, the *maximum norm*

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{x \in X} |f(x)|\,,$$

where $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X$, becomes rather important. Denoting by

$$\mathbb{I}_\infty{}^*\mathbb{C}^X = \big\{f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X;\, \|f\|_\infty \approx 0\big\}\,,$$
$$\mathbb{F}_\infty{}^*\mathbb{C}^X = \big\{f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X;\, \|f\|_\infty < \infty\big\}\,,$$

the $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C}$-linear subspaces of ${}^*\mathbb{C}^X$, consisting of internal functions which are infinitesimal or finite, respectively, with respect to the max-norm we get the IMG triplet $\big({}^*\mathbb{C}^X, \mathbb{I}_\infty{}^*\mathbb{C}^X, \mathbb{F}_\infty{}^*\mathbb{C}^X\big)$.

We are particularly interested in the Banach spaces $\mathrm{C_b}(\mathbf{X})$, $\mathrm{C_{bu}}(\mathbf{X})$, and $\mathrm{C_0}(\mathbf{X})$ of all bounded continuous, bounded uniformly continuous, and continuous vanishing at infinity

functions $\mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$, respectively, and also in the (non-Banach) normed linear space $\mathrm{C_c}(\mathbf{X})$ of all continuous functions $\mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ with compact support, all with the supremum norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, as well. Let us denote by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_\mathrm{b}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f}) &= \big\{f \in {\mathbb{F}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X;\ (\forall\, x,y \in X_\mathrm{f})(x \approx y \ \Rightarrow\ f(x) \approx f(y))\big\}\,,\\
\mathcal{C}_\mathrm{bu}(X,E) &= \big\{f \in {\mathbb{F}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X;\ (\forall\, x,y \in X)(x \approx y \ \Rightarrow\ f(x) \approx f(y))\big\}\,,\\
\mathcal{C}_0(X,E,X_\mathrm{f}) &= \big\{f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{b}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f});\ (\forall\, x \in X \smallsetminus X_\mathrm{f})(f(x) \approx 0)\big\}\,,\\
\mathcal{C}_\mathrm{c}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f}) &= \big\{f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{b}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f});\ (\forall\, x \in X \smallsetminus X_\mathrm{f})(f(x) = 0)\big\}
\end{aligned}$$

their intended nonstandard counterparts. Each $f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{b}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f})$ is an everywhere defined triplet morphism $(X,E,X_\mathrm{f}) \to ({}^*\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{I}{}^*\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C})$; (however there can be also such triplet morphisms not belonging to $\mathcal{C}_\mathrm{b}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f})$). Moreover, we have the obvious inclusions of $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C}$-linear subspaces of ${}^*\mathbb{C}^X$:

$$\mathcal{C}_\mathrm{c}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f}) + {\mathbb{I}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0(X,E,X_\mathrm{f}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{bu}(X,E) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{b}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f})\,.$$

**1.3.1. Proposition.** *Let $\boldsymbol{f}\colon \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ be any function. Then*
*(a) $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{C_b}(\mathbf{X})$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{f}$ has a lifting $f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{b}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f})$;*
*(b) $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{C_{bu}}(\mathbf{X})$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{f}$ has a lifting $f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{bu}(X,E)$;*
*(c) $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{C}_0(\mathbf{X})$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{f}$ has a lifting $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(X,E,X_\mathrm{f})$;*
*(d) $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{C_c}(\mathbf{X})$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{f}$ has a lifting $f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{c}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f})$.*
*Conversely, every internal function $f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{b}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f})$ is lifting of a function $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{C_b}(\mathbf{X})$, every internal function $f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{bu}(X,E)$ is lifting of a function $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{C_{bu}}(\mathbf{X})$, every internal function $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(X,E,X_\mathrm{f})$ is lifting of a function $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{C}_0(\mathbf{X})$, and every internal function $f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{c}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f})$ is lifting of a function $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{C_c}(\mathbf{X})$.*

*Proof.* Let us start with the observation that, under the identification $x^\flat = {}^\circ\eta(x)$ for $x \in X_\mathrm{f}$, we have $({}^*\boldsymbol{f} \circ \eta)^\flat = \boldsymbol{f}$ for any continuous function $\boldsymbol{f}\colon \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$. Next, we leave the reader to verify the following easy facts:

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{C_b}(\mathbf{X}) &\ \Rightarrow\ {}^*\boldsymbol{f} \circ \eta \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{b}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f})\,,\\
\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{C_{bu}}(\mathbf{X}) &\ \Rightarrow\ {}^*\boldsymbol{f} \circ \eta \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{bu}(X,E)\,,\\
\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{C}_0(\mathbf{X}) &\ \Rightarrow\ {}^*\boldsymbol{f} \circ \eta \in \mathcal{C}_0(X,E,X_\mathrm{f})\,,\\
\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{C_c}(\mathbf{X}) &\ \Rightarrow\ {}^*\boldsymbol{f} \circ \eta \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{c}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f})\,,\\
f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{b}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f}) &\ \Rightarrow\ f^\flat \in \mathrm{C_b}(\mathbf{X})\,,\\
f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{bu}(X,E) &\ \Rightarrow\ f^\flat \in \mathrm{C_{bu}}(\mathbf{X})\,,\\
f \in \mathcal{C}_0(X,E,X_\mathrm{f}) &\ \Rightarrow\ f^\flat \in \mathrm{C}_0(\mathbf{X})\,,\\
f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{c}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f}) &\ \Rightarrow\ f^\flat \in \mathrm{C_c}(\mathbf{X})\,,
\end{aligned}$$

for $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{X}}$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X$. Now, (a), (b), (c) and (d) follow from the first quadruple of implications (the additional property $E = \{(x,y) \in X \times X;\ \eta(x) \approx \eta(y)\}$ is needed for the second implication. The "conversely part" follows from the second quadruple of implications.

However, in general the observable traces $f^\flat$ and $f^\flat_\infty$ of $S$-continuous functions should not be confused. While for $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_0(X,E,X_\mathrm{f})$ (and the more for $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{c}(X,E,X_\mathrm{f})$) we have the equivalence

$$f^\flat = g^\flat \ \Leftrightarrow\ \|f - g\|_\infty \approx 0\,,$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(X, E)$, and the more for $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{b}}(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$, we have just the implication

$$\|f - g\|_\infty \approx 0 \;\Rightarrow\; f^\flat = g^\flat\,,$$

and, unless $X_{\mathrm{f}}$ is dense in $X$, there are functions $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(X, E)$ such that $f^\flat = g^\flat$ but $\|f - g\|_\infty \not\approx 0$. Summing up we have

**1.3.2 Corollary.** *The observable trace map $f \mapsto f^\flat$ induces*

(a) *a bounded surjective linear mapping of the subspace $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{b}}(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})/\,{\mathbb{I}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ of the nonstandard hull ${\mathbb{F}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X/\,{\mathbb{I}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ onto the Banach space $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{X})$;*

(b) *a bounded surjective linear mapping of the subspace $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(X, E)/\,{\mathbb{I}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ of the nonstandard hull ${\mathbb{F}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X/\,{\mathbb{I}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ onto the Banach space $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(\mathbf{X})$;*

(c) *a Banach space isomorphism of the subspace $\mathcal{C}_0(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})/\,{\mathbb{I}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ of the nonstandard hull ${\mathbb{F}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X/\,{\mathbb{I}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ onto the Banach space $\mathrm{C}_0(\mathbf{X})$;*

(d) *a normed space isomorphism of the subspace $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})/\,{\mathbb{I}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ of the nonstandard hull ${\mathbb{F}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X/\,{\mathbb{I}_\infty}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ onto the normed space $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbf{X})$.*

### 1.4. Functional spaces 2: Loeb measures and Lebesgue spaces

The natural way of getting functions $\boldsymbol{f}\colon \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ as observable traces $\boldsymbol{f} = f^\flat$ of internal functions $f\colon X \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ works just under the assumption that $f$ is finite and $S$-continuous on $X_{\mathrm{f}}$. In this way, however, just *continuous* functions $\boldsymbol{f}\colon \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ can be obtained. Therefore, by far not all internal functions $f\colon X \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ represent classical functions $\mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, they can be used to represent various objects of different nature: measures, distributions, etc. The class of that way representable functions $\boldsymbol{f}$ on $\mathbf{X} = X^\flat$ can be extended to encompass the Lebesgue spaces $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{X})$ by relaxing the equality $\boldsymbol{f}\big(x^\flat\big) = {}^\circ f(x)$ on $X_{\mathrm{f}}$ to the equality *almost everywhere* on $X_{\mathrm{f}}$ with respect to some measure. Strictly speaking, the elements of $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{X})$ themselves are not genuine functions but certain equivalence classes of functions. We are going to make this point more precise.

Let $d\colon X \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ be an internal function such that $d(x) \geq 0$ for each $x \in X$. Intuitively, $d(x)$ is viewed as the "weight" of the point $x$. Then $d$ induces the internal (hyper)finitely additive measure $\nu_d\colon \mathcal{P}(X) \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ on the internal Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of all internal subsets of $X$, given by $\nu_d(A) = \sum_{a \in A} d(a)$ for internal $A \subseteq X$. Putting

$$({}^\circ\nu_d)(A) = \begin{cases} {}^\circ(\nu_d(A)) & \text{if } \nu_d(A) < \infty, \\ \infty & \text{if } \nu_d(A) \sim \infty, \end{cases}$$

we get a finitely additive (non-negative) measure ${}^\circ\nu_d\colon \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ which has a unique extension to a $\sigma$-additive measure $\lambda_d\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(X) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ defined on the $\sigma$-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ of all subsets of $X$ generated by all monadic (or, equivalently, by all galactic) subsets of $X$. (If $\kappa = \aleph_1$, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is simply the $\sigma$-algebra generated by all internal subsets of $X$.) Then $\lambda_d$ is the *Loeb measure* induced by the internal function $d$ (cf. [Ct], [Lb1], [LR]).

Assume that the function $d$ satisfies additionally the condition $\nu_d(A) \in \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{R}$ for each internal set $A \subseteq X_{\mathrm{f}}$. Then the set $X_{\mathrm{f}}$ belongs to the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ and the system

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(X_{\mathrm{f}}) = \big\{A \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(X);\, A \subseteq X_{\mathrm{f}}\big\}$$

is again a $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of $X_{\mathrm{f}}$. Moreover, for each Borel set $\mathbf{Y} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ its pretrace $\mathbf{Y}^\sharp$ belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(X_{\mathrm{f}})$, hence the observable trace map ${}^\flat\colon X_{\mathrm{f}} \to \mathbf{X} = X^\flat$ is measurable. Pushing down the Loeb measure along this map, i.e., putting

$$\boldsymbol{m}_d(\mathbf{Y}) = \lambda_d\big(\mathbf{Y}^\sharp\big)$$

for Borel $\mathbf{Y} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$, we get a regular Borel measure $\boldsymbol{m}_d$ on $X_{\mathrm{f}}$ (which, if desirable, can be extended to a complete measure by the Carathéodory construction). Equally important is the converse.

**1.4.1. Proposition.** *Every nonnegative regular Borel measure $\boldsymbol{m}$ on $\mathbf{X}$ has the form $\boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{m}_d$ for some internal function $d\colon X \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$, such that $d(x) \geq 0$ for each $x \in X$, and $\nu_d(A) \in \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{R}$ for each internal set $A \subseteq X_{\mathrm{f}}$. Additionally, if $\boldsymbol{m}$ is not the identically zero measure, then it can be arranged that $d(x) > 0$ for each $x \in X$.*

*Sketch of proof.* There is a symmetric entourage $\mathbf{U}_0 \in {}^*\mathcal{U}$, *open in ${}^*\mathbf{X} \times {}^*\mathbf{X}$, and a *compact set $\mathbf{K}_0 \subseteq {}^*\mathbf{X}$ such that $\mathbf{U}_0 \subseteq E_{\mathcal{U}}$, $\mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{X}) \subseteq \mathbf{K}_0$, and $\eta$ is a $(\mathbf{U}_0, \mathbf{K}_0)$ approximation of ${}^*\mathbf{X}$. Since $\eta$ has hyperfinite range, there is an internal mapping $\sigma\colon {}^*\mathbf{X} \to X$ such that $(\eta \circ \sigma \circ \eta)(x) = \eta(x)$ and $\big((\eta \circ \sigma)(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}\big) \in \mathbf{U}_0$ for $x \in X$, $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}_0$, and each of the sets $\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}_0;\, (\eta \circ \sigma)(\boldsymbol{x}) = \eta(x)\}$ is *Borel in ${}^*\mathbf{X}$. We put

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{{}^*\boldsymbol{m}\big(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}_0;\, (\eta \circ \sigma)(\boldsymbol{x}) = \eta(x)\}\big)}{\big|\{y \in X;\, \eta(y) = \eta(x)\}\big|}\,, & \text{if } \eta(x) \in \mathbf{U}_0[\mathbf{K}_0], \\ 0\,, & \text{if } \eta(x) \notin \mathbf{U}_0[\mathbf{K}_0], \end{cases}$$

for $x \in X$.[2] The verification that the internal mapping $d$ has all the required properties is left to the reader. Replacing each value $d(x)$ by $d(x)+\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon$ is a positive infinitesimal such that $\varepsilon\,|X| \approx 0$, we can satisfy also the additional requirement.

Similarly, every internal function $g\colon X \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$, such that $\sum_{x \in X} |g(x)|$ is finite, gives rise to the finite complex Loeb measure $\lambda_g\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(X) \to \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$\lambda_g(A) = {}^\circ\Big(\sum_{x \in A} g(x)\Big)$$

for internal $A \subseteq X$, and to a complex regular Borel measure $\boldsymbol{\theta}_g$ on $\mathbf{X}$, given by

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_g(\mathbf{Y}) = \lambda_g\big(\mathbf{Y}^\sharp\big)$$

for Borel $\mathbf{Y} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$. Moreover, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_g$ has finite variation

$$\|\boldsymbol{\theta}_g\| \leq {}^\circ\Big(\sum_{x \in X} |g(x)|\Big) = \lambda_{|g|}(X)\,.$$

Essentially the same construction as used to obtain the function $d$ from the nonnegative measure $\boldsymbol{m}$ in the last Proposition works for every complex regular Borel measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ with finite variation, as well. One just has to take care that the hyperfinite *Borel partition of $\mathbf{K}_0$ formed by the sets $\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}_0;\, (\eta \circ \sigma)(\boldsymbol{x}) = \eta(x)\}$, where $x$ runs over some maximal internal set $X_0 \subseteq \eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}_0[\mathbf{K}_0]]$ such that the restriction $\eta \restriction X_0$ is injective, satisfies additionally

$$\sum_{x \in X_0} \big|{}^*\boldsymbol{\mu}\big(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}_0;\, (\eta \circ \sigma)(\boldsymbol{x}) = \eta(x)\}\big)\big| \leq \|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|\,,$$

which can be achieved by the virtue of *saturation*. A slightly different proof of the following result can be found in [ZZ].

[2] In fact, the values of $d(x)$ for $\eta(x) \notin \mathbf{U}_0[\mathbf{K}_0]$ can be chosen arbitrarily without affecting the resulting measure $\boldsymbol{m}_d$.

**1.4.2. Proposition.** *Every complex regular Borel measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on $\mathbf{X}$ with finite variation has the form $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_g$ for some internal function $g\colon X \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$, such that*

$$\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| = {}^\circ\Big(\sum_{x\in X} |g(x)|\Big) \quad \textit{and} \qquad \sum_{x\in Z} |g(x)| \approx 0$$

*for each internal set $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$.*

In the rest of this section $d\colon X \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ denotes a fixed internal function such that $d(x) > 0$ for each $x \in X$, $\nu_d(A) \not\approx 0$ at least for one, and $\nu_d(A) \in \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{R}$ for each internal set $A \subseteq X_{\mathrm{f}}$. The strict positivity of $d$ entails that the formula

$$\|f\|_{p,d} = \|f\|_p = \Big(\sum_{x\in X} |f(x)|^p\, d(x)\Big)^{1/p}$$

defines an internal norm on the linear space ${}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ for each real number $p \geq 1$. Particularly, for each internal set $A \subseteq X$, we have

$$\nu_d(A) = \sum_{a\in A} d(a) = \|1_A\|_1 = \|1_A\|_p^p\,,$$

where $1_A\colon X \to \{0,1\}$ is the *indicator* or *characteristic function* of the subset $A$ in $X$.

Suppressing $d$ in our notation, we denote by

$$\mathbb{I}_p{}^*\mathbb{C}^X = \big\{f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X;\ \|f\|_p \approx 0\big\}\,,$$
$$\mathbb{F}_p{}^*\mathbb{C}^X = \big\{f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X;\ \|f\|_p < \infty\big\}\,,$$

the $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C}$-linear subspaces of ${}^*\mathbb{C}^X$, consisting of internal functions which are infinitesimal or finite, respectively, with respect to the $p$-norm $\|\cdot\|_p$. Thus we get the IMG triplet $\big({}^*\mathbb{C}^X, \mathbb{I}_p{}^*\mathbb{C}^X, \mathbb{F}_p{}^*\mathbb{C}^X\big)$.

We also fix the notation $\boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{m}_d$ for the nonnegative (and not identically 0) regular Borel measure on $\mathbf{X} = X^\flat$ induced by $d$, and $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{X}) = \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$ for the corresponding Lebesgue spaces with the norms

$$\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_p = \Big(\int |\,\boldsymbol{f}\,|^p\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}\Big)^{1/p}.$$

We will relate them to some subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_p{}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ and of the observable trace (nonstandard hull) $\big({}^*\mathbb{C}^X\big)_p^\flat = \mathbb{F}_p{}^*\mathbb{C}^X/\,\mathbb{I}_p{}^*\mathbb{C}^X$.

Let $\mathrm{M}(\mathbf{X})$ denote the Banach space of all complex regular Borel measures $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on $\mathbf{X}$ with finite total variation $\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|$. According to the Riesz representation theorem, $\mathrm{M}(\mathbf{X})$ is isomorphic to the dual $\mathrm{C}_0(\mathbf{X})^\star$ of the Banach space $\mathrm{C}_0(\mathbf{X})$. By the Radon-Nikodym theorem, $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{X})$ can be identified with the closed subspace of all measures $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{X})$ absolutely continuous with respect to $\boldsymbol{m}$.

This, together with the representation of functions $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{C}_0(\mathbf{X})$ by their liftings, which are internal functions $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$ (see Proposition 1.3.1), justifies the following

notion. An internal function $g \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ is called a *weak lifting* of the measure $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{X})$, if

$$\int f^\flat \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mu} = {}^\circ\Big(\sum_{x\in X} f(x)\, g(x)\, d(x)\Big),$$

for every function $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$. This is obviously equivalent to the condition

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{gd}\,.$$

If $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{g}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}$, where $\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{X})$, then $g \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ is called a *weak lifting* of $\boldsymbol{g}$ if $g$ is a week lifting of the measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, i.e., if and only if

$$\int f^\flat \boldsymbol{g}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m} = {}^\circ\Big(\sum_{x\in X} f(x)\, g(x)\, d(x)\Big),$$

for every function $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$.

Before formulating what we have just proved, let us introduce some notation and terminology. Showing explicitly the weight function $d$ we denote by $\mathcal{M}(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$ the $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C}$-linear subspace of ${}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ consisting of all internal functions $g\colon X \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$\|g\|_1 < \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|g \cdot 1_Z\|_1 \approx 0$$

for each internal set $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_\mathrm{f}$. The last condition simply says that the Loeb measure $\lambda_{|g|d}$ is concentrated on the galaxy of accessible elements $X_\mathrm{f}$. Therefore, if $g \in \mathcal{M}(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$, then

$$\int f^\flat \boldsymbol{g}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m} = {}^\circ\Big(\sum_{x\in X} f(x)\, g(x)\, d(x)\Big),$$

holds even for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{b}(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$. Now, the $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C}$-linear subspace $\mathcal{S}(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$ of ${}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ consists of all functions $g \in \mathcal{M}(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$, satisfying additionally

$$\nu_d(A) \approx 0 \;\Rightarrow\; \|g \cdot 1_A\|_1 \approx 0$$

for each internal set $A \subseteq X$; functions $g \in \mathcal{S}(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$ are called *S-integrable*. Obviously, the last condition is equivalent to absolute continuity of the Loeb measure $\lambda_{|g|d}$ with respect to the Loeb measure $\lambda_d$, as well as to absolute continuity of $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{|g|d}$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{m}$. Summing up, we have

**1.4.3. Proposition.** *(a) Every measure $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{X})$ has a weak lifting $g \in \mathcal{M}(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$ such that $\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| = {}^\circ\|g\|_1$. Conversely, every function $g \in \mathbb{F}_1{}^*\mathbb{C}^X$, and the more $g \in \mathcal{M}(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$, is a weak lifting of the measure $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{gd} \in \mathcal{M}(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$.*

*(b) A measure $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{X})$ has a weak lifting $g \in \mathcal{S}(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure $\boldsymbol{m}$. Conversely, every function $g \in \mathcal{S}(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$ is a weak lifting of the measure $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{gd} \in \mathcal{M}(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$ (which is absolutely continuous with respect to $\boldsymbol{m}$).*

Now, there arises a natural question, namely what's the relation between the weak lifting $g \in \mathcal{S}(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$ of an absolutely continuous measure $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{X})$ and the (unique) function $\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{X})$ such that $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{g}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}$, i.e., between $\boldsymbol{g}$ and its weak lifting $g$. Unless $\boldsymbol{g}$ is continuous, we cannot have $\boldsymbol{g} = g^\flat$, and, unless $g$ is $S$-continuous on $X_\mathrm{f}$, the formula

for $g^\flat$ doesn't make sense. Nevertheless, we can still generalize the original notion of lifting of continuous functions in the following sense. An internal function $g\colon X \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ is called a *lifting* of a function $\boldsymbol{g}\colon \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ (with respect to the weight function $d$) if the equality

$$\boldsymbol{g}\big(x^\flat\big) = {}^\circ g(x)$$

holds for *almost all* $x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$ with respect to the Loeb measure $\lambda_d$. As the function $\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{X})$ is determined up to the equality almost everywhere with respect to the measure $\boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{m}_d$, only, this is the maximum one can expect.

**1.4.4. Proposition.** *(a) Let $\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{X})$ and $g \in \mathbb{F}_1{}^*\mathbb{C}^X$. Then $g$ is a week lifting of $\boldsymbol{g}$ if and only if $g$ is a lifting of $\boldsymbol{g}$.*

*(b) Let $\boldsymbol{g}\colon \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

*(i) $\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{X})$;*

*(ii) $\boldsymbol{g}$ has a weak lifting $g \in \mathcal{S}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$;*

*(iii) $\boldsymbol{g}$ has a lifting $g \in \mathcal{S}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$.*

*Sketch of proof.* (a) If $g \in \mathbb{F}_1{}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ is a lifting of $\boldsymbol{g}$, then, obviously, it is a week lifting of $\boldsymbol{g}$. The reversed implication follows from Proposition 1.3.1(c) and the uniqueness part of the Radon-Nikodym theorem.

(b) As the implications (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) are obvious, it suffices to prove (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). To this end denote by $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{X})$ the measure satisfying $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{g}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}$, and by $\widetilde{g} \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ the internal function guaranteed to $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ in Proposition 1.4.2. Then the function $g = \widetilde{g}/d$ has all the required properties, and ${}^\circ g(x) = \boldsymbol{g}\big(x^\flat\big)$ for $\lambda_d$-almost all $x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$, due to the uniqueness part of the Radon-Nikodym theorem, again.

*Remark.* It is worthwhile to notice that, for a "typical" $x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$,

$$g(x) \approx \frac{{}^*\boldsymbol{\mu}\big(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}_0;\, (\eta \circ \sigma)(\boldsymbol{x}) = \eta(x)\}\big)}{{}^*\boldsymbol{m}\big(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}_0;\, (\eta \circ \sigma)(\boldsymbol{x}) = \eta(x)\}\big)}\,,$$

where the right hand term is the mean value of the function ${}^*\boldsymbol{g} = {}^*(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mu}/\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m})$ on the set $\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}_0;\, (\eta \circ \sigma)(\boldsymbol{x}) = \eta(x)\} \subseteq \mathbf{U}_0[\eta(x)]$. This is in accord with the intuition that the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}) = (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mu}/\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m})(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the ratio $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{V})/\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{V})$ of measures of some "infinitesimal neighborhood" $\mathbf{V}$ of the point $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{X}$.

Unfortunately, not every $S$-integrable function is lifting of some function $\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{X})$. For instance, every function $g \in \mathbb{F}_\infty{}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ with internal support

$$\operatorname{supp} g = \{x \in X;\, g(x) \neq 0\}$$

contained in $X_{\mathrm{f}}$ is $S$-integrable, however, unless $E$ is internal, such a function need not be lifting of any function $\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{X})$. One can naturally expect that, in order to lift a function $\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{X})$, the function $g \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ has to display some "reasonable amount" of continuity, which is not clear for the moment. This leads us to define the external subspace $\mathcal{L}^1(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}}) \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ as the space of all internal functions $g \in \mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ which are liftings of functions $\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{X})$. Further we put

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}^p(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d) &= \big\{f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X;\, |f|^p \in \mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)\big\}\,,\\
\mathcal{S}^p(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d) &= \big\{f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X;\, |f|^p \in \mathcal{S}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)\big\}\,,\\
\mathcal{L}^p(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}}) &= \big\{f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X;\, |f|^p \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})\big\}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le p < \infty$. Obviously, all the functions in $\mathcal{L}^1(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ are $S$-integrable, hence $\mathcal{L}^p(X, E, X_\mathrm{f}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^p(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$, and the subspaces $\mathcal{L}^p(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ are formed by the liftings of functions $\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{X})$.

Presently we do not dispose of a more explicit description of the spaces $\mathcal{L}^p(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$. However, in case that the triplet $(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ corresponds to a locally compact abelian group $\mathbf{G}$ in a sense to be made precise in the next section and $\boldsymbol{m}_d$ is the Haar measure on $\mathbf{G}$, we will give a characterization of functions in $\mathcal{L}^p(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ as those belonging to $\mathcal{M}^p(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$ and satisfying certain natural continuity condition, indeed (see Theorem 3.1.4).

From the definition of $\mathcal{L}^p(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ and the last Proposition we readily obtain the following result, justifying our notation.

**1.4.5. Proposition.** *Let $1 \le p < \infty$. Then the Lebesgue space $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{X})$ is isomorphic to the closed subspace $\mathcal{L}^p(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})/\mathbb{I}_p{}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ of the nonstandard hull $\mathbb{F}_p{}^*\mathbb{C}^X/\mathbb{I}_p{}^*\mathbb{C}^X$.*

*Remark.* Though, in general, $\mathcal{L}^p(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ is a proper subspace of $\mathcal{S}^p(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$, from 1.4.3 and 1.4.4 it follows that $\mathcal{L}^p(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}^p(X, X_\mathrm{f}, d)$ with respect to some rather natural weak topology which we need not to describe precisely here.

### 1.5. Bounded monadic groups

A *bounded monadic group* is an ordered triple $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ consisting of an internal group $G$ (which means that $G$ is an internal set, endowed with internal operations of group multiplication and taking inverses), a monadic subgroup $G_0 \subseteq G$ and a galactic subgroup $G_\mathrm{f} \subseteq G$, such that $G_0 \lhd G_\mathrm{f}$ (i.e., $G_0$ is a normal subgroup of $G_\mathrm{f}$). Intuitively, $G_0$ is viewed as the subgroup of infinitesimals and $G_\mathrm{f}$ is viewed as the subgroup of finite elements in $G$. Bounded monadic groups will be alternatively referred to as *IMG group triplets* (cf. [ZZ]).

Every IMG group triplet gives rise to two IMG spaces: $(G, E_l, G_\mathrm{f})$ and $(G, E_r, G_\mathrm{f})$, where $E_l$, $E_r$ denote the left and the right equivalence relation on $G$ corresponding to $G_0$, respectively. Though they may differ and induce different uniformities on the ambient group $G$, they still induce the same uniformity on $G_\mathrm{f}$. In other words, the bounded monadic spaces $(G, E_l, G_\mathrm{f})$, $(G, E_r, G_\mathrm{f})$ are isomorphic via the identity mapping $\mathrm{Id}_G \colon G \to G$.

The group $G_\mathrm{f}$, as well as the observable trace $G^\flat = G_\mathrm{f}/G_0$, endowed with the topologies described in Section 1.2, become topological groups, and the observable trace map $x \mapsto x^\flat$ is a continuous surjective homomorphism of topological groups $G_\mathrm{f} \to G^\flat$. (On the other hand, unless $G_0 \lhd G$, that topology on $G$ does not turn it into a topological group.)

Now, the systems $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ from 1.2 can be replaced by a single system $\mathcal{Q}$ of admissible size, directed both upward and downward, consisting of symmetric internal subsets of $G$, such that

$$(\forall\, Q \in \mathcal{Q})(\exists\, R, S \in \mathcal{Q})\big(R^2 \subseteq Q \;\&\; Q^2 \subseteq S\big)$$

$$(\forall\, Q, R \in \mathcal{Q})(\exists\, S \in \mathcal{Q})\Big(\bigcup_{x \in R} xSx^{-1} \subseteq Q\Big),$$

$$G_0 = \bigcap \mathcal{Q}, \qquad G_\mathrm{f} = \bigcup \mathcal{Q}.$$

If $G_0$ is the intersection and $G_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the union of *countably many* internal sets, then we can assume that $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_n;\, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the symmetric internal sets $Q_n \subseteq G$ satisfy

$$(\forall\, n \in \mathbb{Z})\big(Q_n^2 \subseteq Q_{n+1}\big)\,,$$
$$(\forall\, n \in \mathbb{N})\Big(\bigcup_{x\in Q_n} x\, Q_{-n-1} x^{-1} \subseteq Q_{-n}\Big)\,,$$
$$G_0 = \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} Q_n = \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} Q_{-n}\,, \qquad G_{\mathrm{f}} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} Q_n = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} Q_n\,.$$

An internal function $\varrho\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is called a *valuation* on the internal group $G$ if

$$\varrho(x) = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; x = 1\,,$$
$$\varrho(x) = \rho\big(x^{-1}\big)\,,$$
$$\varrho(xy) \le \rho(x) + \varrho(y)\,,$$

for all $x, y, \in G$ ($\varrho(x) \ge 0$ already follows). If $\varrho$ is a valuation on $G$ then, of course, $\varrho\big(x^{-1}y\big)$ is a left invariant and $\varrho\big(xy^{-1}\big)$ is a right invariant metric on $G$. The set of all valuations on $G$ is partially ordered by the relation

$$\varrho \le \sigma \;\Leftrightarrow\; (\forall\, x \in G)(\varrho(x) \le \sigma(x))\,.$$

A set $\mathcal{V}$ of valuations on $G$ is called *downward directed* if for all $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in \mathcal{V}$ there is a $\sigma \in \mathcal{V}$ such that both $\sigma \le \varrho_1,\, \varrho_2$; it is called *upward directed* if for all $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in \mathcal{V}$ there is a $\tau \in \mathcal{V}$ such that both $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \le \tau$. Finally, $\mathcal{V}$ is *bidirected* if it is both downward and upward directed.

Using a slightly modified Birkhoff-Kakutani style argument, one can prove the following version of metrization theorem for bounded monadic group triplets.

**1.5.1. Proposition.** *Let $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ be an IMG group triplet. If $G_0$ is intersection and $G_{\mathrm{f}}$ is union of countably many internal sets, then there is a valuation $\varrho\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$G_0 = \{x \in G;\, \varrho(x) \approx 0\}\,,$$
$$G_{\mathrm{f}} = \{x \in G;\, \varrho(x) < \infty\}\,.$$

*In general, there is a bidirected set $\mathcal{V}$ of admissible size of valuations on $G$, such that*

$$G_0 = \big\{x \in G;\, (\forall\, \varrho \in \mathcal{V})(\varrho(x) \approx 0)\big\}\,,$$
$$G_{\mathrm{f}} = \big\{x \in G;\, (\exists\, \varrho \in \mathcal{V})(\varrho(x) < \infty)\big\}\,.$$

*Sketch of proof.* In the countable case we give just the formula for $\varrho$; the verification that it has all the required properties is just a matter of skill.

There is a sequence $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of symmetric internal subsets of $G$ such that

$$G_0 = \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} A_n\,, \qquad G_{\mathrm{f}} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} A_n\,,$$

and

$$A_n \cdot A_n \cdot A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By saturation, it can be extended to an internal sequence $(A_n)_{-m\le n\le m}$ for some $m \in {}^*\mathbb{N}_\infty$, such that $A_{-m} = \{0\}$, $A_m = G$, and the above inclusions hold for all $-m \le n < m$. Let us put

$$\mu(x) = \min\{n;\, -m \le n \le m \;\&\; x \in A_n\}\,,$$

and denote by

$$\mathbf{P}(G) = \bigcup_{n\in{}^*\mathbb{N}} G^n$$

the internal set of all hyperfinite internal progressions in $G$. For any progression $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{P}(G)$ we denote by $|\mathbf{x}| = n$ its length and put

$$\mathit{\Pi}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad w(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2^{\mu(x_i)}\,;$$

for the empty progression $() = \emptyset$ (i.e., in case $n = 0$) this means that $\mathit{\Pi}(\emptyset) = 1$ and $w(\emptyset) = 0$. Then, finally

$$\varrho(x) = {}^*\inf\big\{w(\mathbf{x});\, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{P}(G) \;\&\; \mathit{\Pi}(\mathbf{x}) = x\big\}$$

is the desired internal valuation.

In the general case let us invoke the system $\mathcal{Q}$ introduced in the beginning of this section. For each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ there is a sequence $(A_n^Q)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of sets from $\mathcal{Q}$ such that $A_0^Q = Q$,

$$G_0 \subseteq \bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} A_n^Q\,, \qquad \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} A_n^Q \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}\,,$$

and

$$A_n^Q \cdot A_n^Q \cdot A_n^Q \subseteq A_{n+1}^Q$$

for each $n$. Let $\varrho^Q$ be the valuation constructed from (some hyperfinite extension of) this sequence. Let us denote

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = \big\{\rho^Q;\, Q \in \mathcal{Q}\big\}\,.$$

Then $\mathcal{V}_0$ obviously has all the properties required for $\mathcal{V}$, except perhaps for the bidirectedness. To fix this issue, we define

$$(\rho_1 \wedge \rho_2)(x) = {}^*\inf\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{|\mathbf{x}|} \min\{\varrho_1(x_i), \varrho_2(x_i)\};\, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{P}(G) \;\&\; \mathit{\Pi}(\mathbf{x}) = x\right\},$$
$$(\rho_1 \vee \rho_2)(x) = \max\{\varrho_1(x), \varrho_2(x)\}\,,$$

for any valuations $\varrho_1$, $\varrho_2$ and $x \in G$. As easily seen, both $\varrho_1 \wedge \varrho_2$, $\varrho_1 \vee \varrho_2$ are valuations and

$$\varrho_1 \wedge \varrho_2 \le \varrho_1, \varrho_2 \le \varrho_1 \vee \varrho_2\,.$$

Taking for $\mathcal{V}$ the closure of $\mathcal{V}_0$ with respect to the operations $\wedge$ and $\vee$, we are done.

*Remark.* The last metrization theorem can be proved even for more general triplets $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$, consisting of an internal group $G$, a monadic subgroup $G_0$ and a galactic subgroup $G_{\mathrm{f}}$, such that $G_0 \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}} \subseteq G$, without the assumption that $G_0$ is normal in $G_{\mathrm{f}}$. Conversely, any single valuation $\varrho$, as well as any downward directed set $\mathcal{V}$ of admissible size of valuations on an internal group $G$ gives rise to a monadic subgroup $G_0$ and a galactic subgroup $G_{\mathrm{f}}$, *defined* by the formulas from 1.5.1, such that $G_0 \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}} \subseteq G$. However, one cannot prove $G_0 \lhd G_{\mathrm{f}}$, in general.

Topological groups $\mathbf{G}$ embeddable into observable traces $\mathbf{G} \cong G^\flat$ of IMG group triplets can be easily characterized.

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a topological group and $\mathbf{U}$ be a symmetric neighborhood of the unit element $1 \in \mathbf{G}$. Then $\mathbf{G}$ is called $\mathbf{U}$-*locally uniform* if the group multiplication in $\mathbf{G}$ restricted to the set $\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U}$ is uniformly continuous in the left (or, equivalently, in the right) uniformity on $\mathbf{G}$ (cf. [Gn2]). $\mathbf{G}$ is *locally uniform* if it is $\mathbf{U}$-locally uniform for some $\mathbf{U}$.

Obviously, any subgroup of a locally uniform topological group is itself locally uniform (in the subgroup topology).

The easy proof of the following nonstandard formulation of $\mathbf{U}$-local uniformity is left to the reader.

**1.5.2. Lemma.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a topological group and* $\mathbf{U}$ *be a symmetric neighborhood of the unit element* $1 \in \mathbf{G}$. *Denote by* $\mathbf{N}$ *the normalizer of the monad* $\mathrm{Mon}(1)$ *in* ${}^*\mathbf{G}$. *Then* $\mathbf{G}$ *is* $\mathbf{U}$*-locally uniform if and only if* ${}^*\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{N}$.

**1.5.3. Proposition.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a Hausdorff topological group. Then* $\mathbf{G}$ *can be embedded into the observable trace* $G^\flat = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ *of some bounded monadic group* $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ *if and only if* $\mathbf{G}$ *is locally uniform.*

*Proof.* Assume that $\mathbf{G}$ is isomorphic to the observable trace $G^\flat$ of some IMG group triplet $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$. Then, as $G_0 \lhd G_{\mathrm{f}}$, we have

$$(\forall\, x_1, x_2, y_2, y_2 \in G_{\mathrm{f}})(x_1 \approx y_1 \ \&\ x_2 \approx y_2 \ \Rightarrow\ x_1 x_2 \approx y_1 y_2)\,,$$

hence the multiplication in $G$ is $S$-continuous on $G_{\mathrm{f}} \times G_{\mathrm{f}}$, yielding uniform continuity of the multiplication in $\mathbf{G}$ on every set of the form $U^\flat \times U^\flat$, where $U$ is internal and $G_0 \subseteq U \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$. Since such sets $U^\flat$ form a neighborhood base of $1 \in \mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{G}$ is locally uniform. If $\mathbf{G}$ is just embedded into the observable trace $G^\flat$, then $\mathbf{G}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of a locally uniform group, hence it is locally uniform, as well.

Now, assume that $\mathbf{G}$ is locally uniform. Let $\kappa$ be the least uncountable cardinal, such that the topology of $\mathbf{G}$ has a base $\mathcal{B}$ of cardinality $< \kappa$, and ${}^*\mathbf{G}$ be a nonstandard extension of $\mathbf{G}$ in a $\kappa$-saturated nonstandard universe. Let $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{B}$ be a symmetric neighborhood of $1 \in \mathbf{G}$ such that the group multiplication is uniformly continuous on $\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U}$. Denote by $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbf{G}$ the monad of the unit element $1 \in {}^*\mathbf{G}$ and by $\mathbf{N}$ its normalizer in ${}^*\mathbf{G}$. Finally we put

$$\mathbf{S} = \bigcup \big\{ {}^*\mathbf{B};\, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}\ \&\ {}^*\mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathbf{N} \big\}\,,$$

and denote by $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{G} = \langle \mathbf{S} \rangle$ the subgroup of ${}^*\mathbf{G}$ generated by $\mathbf{S}$. Then, obviously, $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{G}$ is a union of admissibly many internal sets, and ${}^*\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbf{N}$, which means that $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbf{G} \lhd \mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{G}$. The inclusion $\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{G}$ follows from the fact that for each $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbf{G}$ the group operation is uniformly continuous on $\mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{a} \times \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{a}$, hence also on $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$ where $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}$ is a neighborhood of $\boldsymbol{a}$ such that $\mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{a}$.

Thus $({}^*\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{I}^*\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{G})$ is an IMG group triplet and the star map $\boldsymbol{a} \mapsto {}^*\boldsymbol{a}$ induces an embedding of $\mathbf{G}$ into its observable trace ${}^*\mathbf{G}^\flat = \mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{I}^*\mathbf{G}$.

For locally compact groups even more can be proved.

**1.5.4. Proposition.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a Hausdorff locally compact topological group. Then, in a sufficiently saturated nonstandard universe,* $\mathbf{G}$ *is isomorphic to the observable trace* $\mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{G})/\operatorname{Mon}(1)$ *of the condensing IMG group triplet* $\big({}^*\mathbf{G}, \operatorname{Mon}(1), \mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{G})\big)$.

*Sketch of proof.* It suffices to have the nonstandard universe $\kappa$-saturated where $\kappa$ is the least uncountable cardinal bigger than the cardinality of some neighborhood base of $1 \in \mathbf{G}$ and such that $\mathbf{G}$ can be covered by the interiors of less than $\kappa$ compact sets.

We are mainly interested in *condensing* IMG group triplets $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ with a *hyperfinite* ambient group $G$. Condensing IMG triplets can be characterized using Proposition 1.2.1. Below $[A : B]$ denotes any of the indices $\lfloor A : B \rfloor$, $\lfloor A : B \rfloor_\mathrm{i}$ or $\lceil A : B \rceil$. The simple proof of the following facts is left to the reader.

**1.5.5. Proposition.** *(a) An IMG group triplet* $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ *is condensing if and only if for any symmetric internal sets* $A$, $B$ *between* $G_0$ *and* $G_\mathrm{f}$ *the index* $[A : B]$ *is finite. If* $G$ *is hyperfinite, then this is equivalent to*

$$0 \not\approx \frac{|A|}{|B|} < \infty$$

*for any internal sets* $A$, $B$ *between* $G_0$ *and* $G_\mathrm{f}$.

*(b) The observable trace* $G^\flat$ *of an condensing group triplet* $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ *is discrete if and only if* $G_0$ *is an internal group;* $G^\flat$ *is compact if and only if* $G_\mathrm{f}$ *internal.*

Given a condensing IMG group triplet $(G, G_0 G_\mathrm{f})$ with a hyperfinite ambient group $G$, a positive number $d \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$, such that $d\,|A| \in \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (or, equivalently, for each) internal set $A$ between $G_0$ and $G_\mathrm{f}$, is called a *normalizing multiplier* or *normalizing coefficient* for $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$. According to 1.5.5, if $d$ is a normalizing multiplier for $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$, and $0 < d' \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$, then $d'$ is a normalizing multiplier if and only if $d/d' \in \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$. In particular, for any internal set $A$ between $G_0$ and $G_\mathrm{f}$, $d = 1/\,|A|$ is a normalizing coefficient for $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$.

From the results of Section 1.4 it follows directly

**1.5.6. Proposition.** *Let* $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ *be a condensing IMG group triplet with a hyperfinite ambient group* $G$ *and a normalizing multiplier* $d$. *Let* $\lambda_d$ *denote the Loeb measure induced by the constant function* $d(x) = d$ *on* $G$. *Then the measure* $\boldsymbol{m}_d$ *obtained by pushing down the Loeb measure* $\lambda_d$ *is both left and right invariant Haar measure on the observable trace* $G^\flat = G_\mathrm{f}/G_0$.

*Remark.* A reasonable characterization of locally compact topological groups isomorphic to observable traces of condensing IMG group triplets with hyperfinite ambience is still missing. According to Proposition 1.5.6, every locally compact group representable as an observable trace of such a group triplet is necessarily unimodular. On the other hand, there are even compact topological groups, as, e.g., $\mathrm{SO}(3)$, which do not admit any such representation (see [GG], [GGR]). Similarly, not even all finitely generated discrete groups admit such a representation (cf. [AGG], [GV]). Nevertheless, as we shall see latter on, locally compact *abelian* groups behave well.

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a group, $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be any set containing the unit $1 \in \mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathbf{G}$. A mapping $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ is called a *finite* $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ *approximation* of $\mathbf{G}$ if $G$ is a finite group, and $\eta$ satisfies the following two conditions:

$$(\forall\, \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K})(\exists\, x \in G)\big(\eta(x) \in \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{x}\big)\,,$$
$$(\forall\, x, y \in G)\big(\eta(x), \eta(y) \in \mathbf{K} \;\Rightarrow\; \eta(x)\eta(y) \in \mathbf{U}\eta(xy)\big)\,.$$

A $(\mathbf{K},\mathbf{U})$ approximation is called *injective* if $\eta$ is an injective mapping; it is called *strict* if $\eta(1)=1$, $\eta\bigl(x^{-1}\bigr)=\eta(x)^{-1}$ for all $x\in G$, and the second of the above conditions can be strengthened to

$$(\forall\, x,y\in G)\bigl(\eta(x),\eta(y)\in\mathbf{K}\ \Rightarrow\ \eta(xy)=\eta(x)\eta(y)\bigr)\,.$$

Notice that any $(\mathbf{K},\mathbf{U})$ approximation $\eta\colon G\to\mathbf{G}$ satisfies $\eta(1)\in\mathbf{U}$ if $1\in\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{K}]$, and $\eta\bigl(x^{-1}\bigr)\in\mathbf{U}\eta(x)^{-1}$ if $1,x,x^{-1}\in\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{K}]$. Similarly, the strengthened second condition implies $\eta(1)=1$ if $1\in\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{K}]$, and $\eta\bigl(x^{-1}\bigr)=\eta(x)^{-1}$ if $1,x,x^{-1}\in\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{K}]$. However, the convenient conditions $\eta(1)=1$, $\eta\bigl(x^{-1}\bigr)=\eta(x)^{-1}$ alone can always be assumed without loss of generality.

If $\mathbf{G}$ is a Hausdorff topological group and $(I,\leq)$ is a partially ordered upward directed set, then a system of mappings $(\eta_i\colon G_i\to\mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$, where each $G_i$ is a finite group, is called an *approximating system* of $\mathbf{G}$ if for every compact set $\mathbf{K}\subseteq\mathbf{G}$ and every neighborhood $\mathbf{U}$ of the unit in $\mathbf{G}$ there is an $i\in I$ such that, for each $j\in I$, $j\geq i$, $\eta_j\colon G_j\to\mathbf{G}$ is a $(\mathbf{K},\mathbf{U})$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}$.

A system $\bigl((\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)\bigr)_{i\in I}$ of pairs of subsets of $\mathbf{G}$ is called a *directed double base* of $\mathbf{G}$, briefly a *DD base*, if the sets $\mathbf{K}_i$ are compact and their interiors cover $\mathbf{G}$, the sets $\mathbf{U}_i$ form a neighborhood base of $1\in\mathbf{G}$, and, for all $i,j\in I$, $i\leq j$ implies

$$\mathbf{U}_j\subseteq\mathbf{U}_i\subseteq\mathbf{K}_i\subseteq\mathbf{K}_j\,.$$

An approximating system $(\eta_i\colon G_i\to\mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$ is called *well based* if there is a directed double base $\bigl((\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)\bigr)_{i\in I}$ such that each $\eta_i$ is a $(\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}$.

If $\mathbf{G}$ is discrete, then it is enough to deal with its DD bases consisting just of pairs $(\mathbf{K}_i,\{1\})$, where $\mathbf{K}_i$ are finite sets whose union is $\mathbf{G}$. Similarly, if $\mathbf{G}$ is compact, then it is enough to consider its DD bases with members of form $(\mathbf{G},\mathbf{U}_i)$ where $(\mathbf{U}_i)_{i\in I}$ is a neighborhood base of $1\in\mathbf{G}$.

An internal mapping $\eta\colon G\to{}^*\mathbf{G}$ is a *hyperfinite infinitesimal approximation*, briefly *HFI approximation*, of $\mathbf{G}$ if $G$ is a hyperfinite group and

$$(\forall\,\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbf{G})(\exists\, x\in G)\bigl(\eta(x)\approx\boldsymbol{x}\bigr)\,,$$
$$(\forall\, x,y\in G)\bigl(\eta(x),\eta(y)\in\mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{G})\ \Rightarrow\ \eta(xy)\approx\eta(x)\eta(y)\bigr)\,.$$

The notions of *injective* and *strict* HFI approximation, respectively, are defined in the obvious way.

Every hyperfinite infinitesimal approximation $\eta\colon G\to{}^*\mathbf{G}$ of a Hausdorff locally compact group $\mathbf{G}$ in a sufficiently saturated nonstandard universe gives rise to an IMG group triplet $(G,G_0,G_{\mathrm{f}})$ such that

$$G_0=\eta^{-1}\bigl[\mathrm{Mon}(1)\bigr]=\{x\in G;\,\eta(x)\approx 1\}\,,$$
$$G_{\mathrm{f}}=\eta^{-1}\bigl[\mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{G})\bigr]=\{x\in G;\,\eta(x)\in\mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{G})\}\,,$$

and $\mathbf{G}$ is isomorphic to its observable trace $G^\flat=G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$.

**1.5.7. Proposition.** *Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a Hausdorff locally compact group. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

*(i) $\mathbf{G}$ is isomorphic to the observable trace $G^\flat$ of a hyperfinite condensing IMG group triplet $(G,G_0,G_{\mathrm{f}})$;*

*(ii) there is an HFI approximation* $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$ *of* $\mathbf{G}$*;*
*(iii) for every compact set* $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ *and every neighborhood* $\mathbf{U}$ *of* $1 \in \mathbf{G}$ *there is a finite* $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ *approximation* $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$*;*
*(iv) there is a well based approximating system* $(\eta_i\colon G_i \to \mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$ *of* $\mathbf{G}$ *by finite groups* $G_i$*;*
*(v) there is an approximating system* $(\eta_i\colon G_i \to \mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$ *of* $\mathbf{G}$ *by finite groups* $G_i$*.*

*Proof.* (ii) ⇒ (i) was proved in advance, right before formulating the Proposition.

(i) ⇒ (ii) Assume that $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ is a hyperfinite condensing IMG group triplet and $\boldsymbol{\eta}\colon G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0 \to \mathbf{G}$ is an isomorphism of topological groups. By Proposition 1.2.3, $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ has a lifting $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$. Now, it is a routine to check that $\eta$ is an HFI approximation of $\mathbf{G}$.

(ii) ⇒ (iii) Let $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$ be an HFI approximation of $\mathbf{G}$. For any neighborhood $\mathbf{U}$ of $1 \in \mathbf{G}$ and a compact set $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ denote by $\Phi(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ the set of all finite $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximations $\phi\colon F \to \mathbf{G}$ of $\mathbf{G}$. Then $\eta \in {}^*\Phi(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$. By the *transfer principle*, $\Phi(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U}) \neq \emptyset$.

(iii) ⇒ (iv) Let $\mathcal{K}$ be an upward directed set of compacts in $\mathbf{G}$ such that the interiors of members of $\mathcal{K}$ cover $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ be a neighborhood base of $1 \in \mathbf{G}$. Denote by $I$ the set of all pairs $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U}) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$, and order it partially by the relation

$$(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U}) \leq (\mathbf{K}', \mathbf{U}') \;\Leftrightarrow\; \mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{K}' \;\&\; \mathbf{U}' \subseteq \mathbf{U}\,;$$

obviously, $(I, \leq)$ is upward directed. Let $\eta_i\colon G_i \to \mathbf{G}$ be a finite $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}$ for $i = (\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U}) \in I$. Clearly, the mappings $\eta_i$ form a well based approximating system of $\mathbf{G}$.

(iv) ⇒ (v) is trivial.

(v) ⇒ (ii) Let $(\eta_i\colon G_i \to \mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$ be an approximating system of $\mathbf{G}$ over $(I, \leq)$. Let us embed the situation into a $\kappa$-saturated nonstandard universe, where $(I, \leq)$ has a cofinal subset of cardinality $< \kappa$ and, at the same time, $\mathbf{G}$ has a neighborhood base of 1 of cardinality $< \kappa$. Then there is a $k \in {}^*I$ such that $i \leq k$ for all $i \in I$; it follows that $\eta_k\colon G_k \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$ is an HFI approximation of $\mathbf{G}$.

*Remark.* From the above proof it is clear that one can strengthen conditions (ii)–(v) of Proposition 1.5.7 by requiring injectivity of the corresponding approximation(s), and the modified conditions will be equivalent, again. What is not clear is the way how one should modify condition (i) to make it equivalent with them (maybe even no modification is needed at all).

One could expect that a tighter connection than the merely existential one stated in the last Proposition can be established by means of the ultraproduct construction. To this end consider an upward directed partially ordered set $(I, \leq)$. An *ultrafilter* $\mathcal{D}$ on $I$ is called *upward directed* if it contains all the sets $(i] = \{j \in I;\, i \leq j\}$ for $i \in I$. It can be easily seen that any set $J \subseteq I$ belonging to an upward directed ultrafilter $\mathcal{D}$ on $I$ is cofinal in $(I, \leq)$.

We denote by ${}^*\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}^I/\mathcal{D}$ the ultrapower of a group $\mathbf{G}$ and, for any set $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, we denote by ${}^*\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}^I/\mathcal{D}$ its ultrapower viewed as a subset of ${}^*\mathbf{G}$. Given a system of finite groups $(G_i)_{i\in I}$, their ultraproduct $G = \prod_{i\in I} G_i/\mathcal{D}$ is a hyperfinite group. Finally, if $(\eta_i\colon G_i \to \mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$ is a system of mappings, then $\eta = (\eta_i)_{i\in I}/\mathcal{D}\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$ denotes the internal mapping given by $\eta(a) = \big(\eta_i(a_i)\big)_{i\in I}/\mathcal{D}$ for $a = (a_i)_{i\in I}/\mathcal{D} \in G$.

The following statement, making use of the above ultraproduct notation, is a more detailed version of the equivalence (ii) ⇔ (iii) from Proposition 1.5.7.

**1.5.8. Proposition.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a Hausdorff locally compact group,* $(I,\leq)$ *be an upward directed partially ordered set,* $\big((\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$ *be a directed double base of* $\mathbf{G}$ *and* $\mathcal{D}$ *be an upward directed ultrafilter on* $I$*. Assume that* $(G_i)_{i\in I}$ *is a system of finite groups endowed with mappings* $\eta_i\colon G_i\to\mathbf{G}$*. Then the following hold true:*

*(a) If* $(\eta_i\colon G_i\to\mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$ *is a well based approximating system of* $\mathbf{G}$ *with respect to the DD base* $\big((\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$*, then* $\eta\colon G\to{}^*\mathbf{G}$ *is an HFI approximation of* $\mathbf{G}$*. In such a case,* $\eta$ *is an injective HFI approximation if and only if* $\{i\in I;\ \eta_i \text{ is injective}\}\in\mathcal{D}$*.*

*(b) Let* $\eta\colon G\to{}^*\mathbf{G}$ *be an HFI approximation of* $\mathbf{G}$*. Then there is a function* $\tau\colon I\to I$ *such that* $i\leq\tau(i)$ *for each* $i\in I$*, and* $\big(\eta_{\tau(i)}\colon G_{\tau(i)}\to\mathbf{G}\big)_{i\in I}$ *is a well based approximating system of* $\mathbf{G}$ *with respect to the DD base* $\big((\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$*. If* $\eta$ *is injective, then the system* $\big(\eta_{\tau(i)}\big)_{i\in I}$ *can be chosen to consist of injective mappings, as well.*

*Proof.* (a) Let $(\eta_i\colon G_i\to\mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$ be a well based approximating system of $\mathbf{G}$ with respect to the DD base $\big((\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$.

First we show that, for each $\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbf{G}$, there is an $a=(a_i)_{i\in I}/\mathcal{D}\in G$ such that $\eta(a)\approx\boldsymbol{x}$, i.e., $\eta(a)\in{}^*\mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{x}$ for each neighborhood $\mathbf{U}$ of $1\in\mathbf{G}$. Taking arbitrary $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\mathbf{U}$, there is an $i\in I$ such that $\mathbf{U}_i\boldsymbol{x}\subseteq\mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{x}\cap\mathbf{K}_i$. As $\eta_j$ is a $(\mathbf{K}_j,\mathbf{U}_j)$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}$ for any $j\in I$, for $j\geq i$ there is an $a_j\in G_j$ such that $\eta_j(a_j)\in\mathbf{U}_j\boldsymbol{x}\subseteq\mathbf{U}_i\boldsymbol{x}$. Picking arbitrary elements $a_j\in G_j$ for $j\not\geq i$, we have $[i)\subseteq\{j\in I;\ \eta_j(a_j)\in\mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{x}\}\in\mathcal{D}$. Then $\eta(a)\in{}^*\mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{x}$ by Łos' theorem.

The almost homomorphy condition can be expressed in form of the implication

$$(\forall\, a,b\in G)(\forall\,\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in\mathbf{G})\big(\eta(a)\approx\boldsymbol{x}\ \&\ \eta(b)\approx\boldsymbol{y}\ \Rightarrow\ \eta(ab)\approx\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{y}\big)\,.$$

Its straightforward verification does not even rely on the well baseness of the approximating system, and is left to the reader. The characterization of injectivity of $\eta$ is obvious, too.

(b) Let $\eta\colon G\to{}^*\mathbf{G}$ be an HFI approximation of $\mathbf{G}$. Then $\eta$ is a $({}^*\mathbf{K}_i,{}^*\mathbf{U}_i)$ approximation of ${}^*\mathbf{G}$, for each $i\in I$. By Łos' theorem there is a set $J\in\mathcal{D}$ such that $\eta_j$ is a $(\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}$ for each $j\in J$. As $J$ is cofinal, there is a $\tau(i)\in J\cap(i]$. Now, it is clear that the mappings $\eta_{\tau(i)}\colon G_{\tau(i)}\to\mathbf{G}$ form an approximating system of $\mathbf{G}$ over $(I,\leq)$, well based with respect to its DD base $\big((\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$. The supplement on injectivity is plain.

*Remark.* It is not true that an arbitrary approximating system $(\eta_i\colon G_i\to\mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$ of a Hausdorff locally compact group $\mathbf{G}$ by finite groups $G_i$ gives rise to some HFI approximation $\eta\colon G\to{}^*\mathbf{G}$ for an ultraproduct $G=\prod_{i\in I}G_i/\mathcal{D}$ and an ultrapower ${}^*\mathbf{G}=\mathbf{G}^I/\mathcal{D}$ with respect to some upward directed ultrafilter $\mathcal{D}$ on $(I,\leq)$. The reason is that, roughly speaking, the existence of an $I$-indexed approximating system of $\mathbf{G}$ does not imply the existence of an $I$-indexed neighborhood base of the unit in $\mathbf{G}$. Similarly, such an ultraproduct HFI approximation $\eta\colon G\to{}^*\mathbf{G}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ does not automatically yield an approximating system $(\eta_i\colon G_i\to\mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$. Thus it is not possible to avoid mentioning the $I$-indexed DD base $\big((\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$ and the function $\tau\colon I\to I$ in the formulation of the last proposition. A more detailed analysis of this issue will be published separately.

The following examples (a), (b), (c), as well as some special cases of (d) are essentially taken from [Go2]; (b) in fact (without using the present terminology) can be found already in [Lx3].

**1.5.9. Example.** Let $1\leq n\in{}^*\mathbb{N}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_n=\big\{-\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\rfloor,\dots,-1,0,1,\dots,\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\rceil\big\}$ be the (hyper)finite cyclic group of order $n$, represented as the set of absolutely smallest remainders modulo $n$.

(a) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq k < n/4$, then the identity mapping $\mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a strict injective $\big(K, \{0\}\big)$ approximation of the group $\mathbb{Z}$, where $K = \{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm k\}$.

If $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N}_\infty$, then the identity mapping $\mathbb{Z}_n \to {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ is a strict HFI approximation of $\mathbb{Z}$. The IMG group triplet arising form it has the form $\big(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{0\}, \mathbb{Z}\big)$.

(b) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > \pi/n$, then the homomorphism $a \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} a/n} \colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{T}$ is a strict injective $(\mathbb{T}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation of the group $\mathbb{T}$, where $\mathbf{U} = \{u \in \mathbb{T};\, |\arg u| \leq \varepsilon\}$.

If $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N}_\infty$, then the internal homomorphism $a \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} a/n} \colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$ is a strict injective HFI approximation of $\mathbb{T}$. The corresponding IMG group triplet is $(\mathbb{Z}_n, G_0, \mathbb{Z}_n)$, where

$$G_0 = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n;\, a/n \approx 0\}\,.$$

(c) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq k < n/4 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d$ and $\varepsilon > d/2$ are positive real numbers, then the mapping $a \mapsto ad \colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a strict injective $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation of the group $\mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbf{K} = [-kd, kd]$, $\mathbf{U} = [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$.

If $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N}_\infty$, and $d$ is a positive infinitesimal such that $nd \sim \infty$, then the internal mapping $a \mapsto ad \colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is a strict injective HFI approximation of $\mathbb{R}$, inducing the IMG group triplet $(\mathbb{Z}_n, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$, where

$$G_0 = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n;\, ad \approx 0\}\,, \qquad\qquad G_{\mathrm{f}} = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n;\, |ad| < \infty\}\,.$$

(d) Let $\mathbf{G}$ be any Hausdorff locally compact group (written multiplicatively) and $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be its compact open subgroups such that $\mathbf{U}$ is normal in $\mathbf{K}$. Then the quotient $G = \mathbf{K}/\mathbf{U}$ is a finite group and any (necessarily injective) mapping $\eta \colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ such that $\mathbf{U}\eta(x) = x$ for each $x \in G$ is an injective $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}$.

If $\mathbf{G}$ has a DD base $\big((\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i \in I}$ consisting of compact open subgroups $\mathbf{U}_i \lhd \mathbf{K}_i$ of $\mathbf{G}$, then there are ${}^*$compact ${}^*$open subgroups $\mathbf{U} \lhd \mathbf{K}$ of ${}^*\mathbf{G}$, such that $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathrm{Mon}(1)$, $\mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{G}) \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ and the quotient $\mathbf{K}/\mathbf{U}$ is a hyperfinite group. Any internal mapping $\eta \colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$ such that $\mathbf{U}\eta(x) = x$ for each $x \in G$ is an injective HFI approximation of $\mathbf{G}$. The IMG group triplet $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ obtained from $\eta$ satisfies

$$G_0 = \bigcap_{i \in I} \eta^{-1}[{}^*\mathbf{U}_i] = \{\mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{x};\, (\forall\, i \in I)(\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{U}_i)\}\,,$$
$$G_{\mathrm{f}} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \eta^{-1}[{}^*\mathbf{K}_i] = \{\mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{x};\, (\exists\, i \in I)(\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}_i)\}\,.$$

Both in the finite and the hyperfinite case, $\eta$ is not a strict approximation, unless it is a genuine homomorphism.

Obviously, if $\eta_1 \colon G_1 \to \mathbf{G}_1$ is a finite $(\mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{U}_1)$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}_1$ and $\eta_2 \colon G_2 \to \mathbf{G}_2$ is a finite $(\mathbf{K}_2, \mathbf{U}_2)$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}_2$, then the mapping $\eta_1 \times \eta_2 \colon G_1 \times G_2 \to \mathbf{G}_1 \times \mathbf{G}_2$ is a finite $(\mathbf{K}_1 \times \mathbf{K}_2, \mathbf{U}_1 \times \mathbf{U}_2)$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}_1 \times \mathbf{G}_2$. Analogous observation applies to HFI approximations, as well. Thus the items (a), (b), (c) of the last example enable to construct approximating systems and HFI approximations for all elementary groups.

Example 1.5.9(d) gives a direct hint how to construct both approximating systems and HFI approximations of any LCA group $\mathbf{G}$ with a DD base $\big((\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i \in I}$ consisting of pairs of subgroups $\mathbf{U}_i \subseteq \mathbf{K}_i$ of $\mathbf{G}$. The following LCA groups are included as special cases:

1. the compact additive groups of $\tau$-adic integers $\Delta_\tau$, where $\tau = (\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is any increasing sequence of positive integers such that $\tau_n \,|\, \tau_{n+1}$ for each $n$;

2. the additive LCA groups of $\tau$-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_\tau$, where $\tau = (\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is any sequence of positive integers, such that $\tau_n < \tau_{n+1}$, $\tau_n \,|\, \tau_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 0$, and $\tau_n < \tau_{n-1}$, $\tau_n \,|\, \tau_{n-1}$ for $n \leq 0$;
3. torsion (discrete abelian) groups, as they are direct (inductive) limits of finite abelian groups;
4. profinite (compact abelian) groups, i.e., inverse (projective) limits of finite abelian groups.[3]

In [Go2] also HFI approximations of $\tau$-adic solenoids

$$\Sigma_\tau = (\mathbb{R} \times \Delta_\tau)/\{(a,a);\, a \in \mathbb{Z}\}\,,$$

not falling within the scope of 1.5.9(d), are described.

In fact any LCA group admits arbitrarily good finite approximations and, henceforth, HFI approximations, too.

**1.5.10. Finite LCA Group Approximation Theorem.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a Hausdorff LCA group. Then, for any compact set* $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ *and any neighborhood* $\mathbf{U}$ *of* $0 \in \mathbf{G}$*, there is a finite* abelian *group* $G$ *and an injective* $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ *approximation* $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ *of* $\mathbf{G}$*, such that* $\eta(0) = 0$*, and* $\eta(-a) = -\eta(a)$ *for each* $a \in G$*. Equivalently,* $\mathbf{G}$ *admits some well based approximating system* $(\eta_i\colon G_i \to \mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$ *by finite groups, such that all the mappings* $\eta_i$ *are injective and preserve* 0 *and inverses.*

*Proof.* As $\mathbf{G}$ is locally compact, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$, and pick a compact symmetric neighborhood $\mathbf{V}$ of 0 such that $\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{U}$. One of the basic structure theorems for LCA groups states that $\mathbf{V}$ contains a closed subgroup $\mathbf{H}$ such that the quotient $\mathbf{E} = \langle\mathbf{K}\rangle/\mathbf{H}$ of the subgroup $\langle\mathbf{K}\rangle$ of $\mathbf{G}$ generated by the compact $\mathbf{K}$ is an elementary group [Pn, Theorem 50]. Denote by $\mathbf{V}'$ and $\mathbf{K}'$ the images of the sets $\mathbf{V}$, $\mathbf{K}$, respectively, under the canonic projection $\psi\colon \langle\mathbf{K}\rangle \to \mathbf{E}$. Then $\mathbf{V}'$ is a symmetric neighborhood of 0 and $\mathbf{K}'$ is a compact set in $\mathbf{E}$. According to the last example, there is a finite group $G$ and a strict injective $(\mathbf{K}', \mathbf{V}')$ approximation $\zeta\colon G \to \mathbf{E}$. Let $\sigma\colon \mathbf{E} \to \langle\mathbf{K}\rangle$ be any (necessarily injective) mapping such that $\sigma(0) = 0$, $\sigma(-x) = -\sigma(x)$ and $\psi(\sigma(x)) = x$ for $x \in \mathbf{E}$. Then $\eta = \sigma \circ \zeta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ is an injective mapping, satisfying $\eta(0) = 0$, and $\eta(-a) = -\eta(a)$ for $a \in G$. Straightforward arguments show that

$$\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{H} \subseteq \eta[G] + \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{H} \subseteq \eta[G] + \mathbf{U}\,,$$

and

$$\eta(a) + \eta(b) - \eta(a+b) \in \mathbf{H} \subseteq \mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{U}\,,$$

for $a, b \in G$ whenever $\eta(a), \eta(b), \eta(a+b) \in \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{H}$. Hence, $\eta$ is a $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}$. The equivalence of the first and the second formulation is obvious in view of Proposition 1.5.7.

**1.5.11. Corollary. [Hyperfinite LCA Group Approximation Theorem]** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a Hausdorff LCA group. Then there is an internal hyperfinite abelian group* $G$ *and an injective HFI approximation* $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$ *of* $\mathbf{G}$*, such that* $\eta(0) = 0$ *and* $\eta(-a) = -\eta(a)$ *for* $a \in G$*. It follows that* $\mathbf{G}$ *is isomorphic to the observable trace* $G^\flat = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ *of the IMG group triplet* $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ *with hyperfinite abelian ambient group* $G$*, where* $G_0 = \eta^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Mon}(0)\big]$*, and* $G_{\mathrm{f}} = \eta^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{G})\big]$*.*

[3] Obviously, 1. is a special case of 4.

## 2. Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality in Hyperfinite Ambience

In this chapter we finally come to one of the central topics of our paper which is the study of condensing IMG group triplets with hyperfinite abelian ambient group with regard to the celebrated Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem. We formulate and prove the first and second of the three Gordon's conjectures concerning them.

### 2.1. The dual triplet

From now on (unless we explicitly say something else), $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ denotes a fixed but arbitrary condensing IMG group triplet with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$ (which is tacitly assumed to be externally infinite).[4] We also fix a system $\mathcal{Q}$ of admissible size, directed both downward and upward, consisting of symmetric internal sets such that $G_0 = \bigcap \mathcal{Q}$ and $G_\mathrm{f} = \bigcup \mathcal{Q}$.

Let us denote by $\mathbf{G} = G^\flat = G_\mathrm{f}/G_0$ the observable trace of the triplet. The LCA group $\mathbf{G}$ gives rise to the dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ of all continuous homomorphisms (characters) $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\colon \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, endowed with the compact-open topology. Then $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, as an LCA group, can itself be represented as the observable trace of some condensing IMG group triplet $(H, H_0, H_\mathrm{f})$ with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $H$. One can naturally expect that the triplet representing the dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ can be constructed from the original triplet $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ in some canonic way.

Let $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{Hom}(G, {}^*\mathbb{T})$ denote the set of all internal homomorphisms (characters) $\gamma\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$; then $\widehat{G}$ with the pointwise multiplication is a hyperfinite abelian group internally isomorphic to $G$ (though not in a canonic way). For any sets $A \subseteq G$, $\mathit{\Gamma} \subseteq \widehat{G}$ we define their *infinitesimal annihilators* by

$$A^{\perp} = \big\{\gamma \in \widehat{G};\ (\forall\, a \in A)(\gamma(a) \approx 1)\big\}\,,$$
$$\mathit{\Gamma}^{\perp} = \big\{a \in G;\ (\forall\, \gamma \in \mathit{\Gamma})(\gamma(a) \approx 1)\big\}\,.$$

Obviously, $A^{\perp}$ is a subgroup of $\widehat{G}$ and $\mathit{\Gamma}^{\perp}$ is a subgroup of $G$. For any $A, B \subseteq G$, $\mathit{\Gamma}, \mathit{\Delta} \subseteq \widehat{G}$ we have

$$A \subseteq \mathit{\Gamma}^{\perp} \;\Leftrightarrow\; \mathit{\Gamma} \subseteq A^{\perp}\,,$$

as well as

$$A \subseteq B \;\Rightarrow\; B^{\perp} \subseteq A^{\perp}\,, \qquad A \subseteq A^{\perp\perp}\,,$$
$$\mathit{\Gamma} \subseteq \mathit{\Delta} \;\Rightarrow\; \mathit{\Delta}^{\perp} \subseteq \mathit{\Gamma}^{\perp}\,, \qquad \mathit{\Gamma} \subseteq \mathit{\Gamma}^{\perp\perp}\,,$$

showing that the assignments $A \mapsto A^{\perp}$, $\mathit{\Gamma} \mapsto \mathit{\Gamma}^{\perp}$ form a Galois connection.

We are particularly interested in the subgroups

$$G_0^{\perp} = \big\{\gamma \in \widehat{G};\ (\forall\, x \in G_0)(\gamma(x) \approx 1)\big\}\,,$$
$$G_\mathrm{f}^{\perp} = \big\{\gamma \in \widehat{G};\ (\forall\, x \in G_\mathrm{f})(\gamma(x) \approx 1)\big\}$$

[4] However, the reader should keep in mind that some of our accounts remain valid for general internal abelian ambient group $G$, as well, or require just some minor modification.

of the dual group $\widehat{G}$. As every $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ is a group homomorphism, it belongs to $G_0^{\perp\!\!\!\perp}$ if and only if it is $S$-continuous as a mapping $\gamma\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$ with respect to the monadic equivalence $E_l = E_r$ on $G$ and the usual equivalence of infinitesimal nearness $\approx$ on ${}^*\mathbb{T}$, inherited from the hypercomplex plane ${}^*\mathbb{C}$. The elements of $G_0^{\perp\!\!\!\perp}$ will play the role of *finite* or *accessible characters*. The characters $\gamma \in G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\!\!\!\perp}$ are infinitesimally close to 1 on the whole subgroup $G_{\mathrm{f}}$ of finite elements of $G$, i.e., in front of the horizon they are indistinguishable from the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$. They will play the role of *infinitesimal characters*. This intuition, however, calls for some justification.

To this end we introduce the *Bohr sets* (cf. [TV])

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(A) &= \big\{\gamma \in \widehat{G};\, (\forall\, a \in A)\big(|\arg\gamma(a)| \le \alpha\big)\big\}\,,\\ \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\Gamma) &= \big\{a \in G;\, (\forall\, \gamma \in \Gamma)\big(|\arg\gamma(a)| \le \alpha\big)\big\}\,,\end{aligned}$$

defined for any $\alpha \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$, $0 \le \alpha \le \pi$, and $A \subseteq G$, $\Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G}$. Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(A) &= \big\{\gamma \in \widehat{G};\, (\forall\, a \in A)\big(|\gamma(a) - 1| \le 2\sin(\alpha/2)\big)\big\}\\ &= \big\{\gamma \in \widehat{G};\, (\forall\, a \in A)\big(\mathrm{Re}\,\gamma(a) \ge \cos\alpha\big)\big\}\,,\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\Gamma)$. We also have

$$\mathrm{Bohr}_\beta(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(A)\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{Bohr}_\beta(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\Gamma)\,,$$

for $0 \le \beta \le \alpha \le \pi$. For any fixed $\alpha$, the assignments $A \mapsto \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(A)$, $\Gamma \mapsto \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\Gamma)$ satisfy analogous Galois type relations like the infinitesimal annihilators $A^{\perp\!\!\!\perp}$, $\Gamma^{\perp\!\!\!\perp}$.

For $A \subseteq G$ and any subset $T$ of the interval $(0, \pi] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, such that $\inf T = 0$, we obviously have

$$A^{\perp\!\!\!\perp} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in T} \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(A)\,.$$

The point is that for a *subgroup* $A$ of $G$ a single $\alpha$ suffices.

**2.1.1. Lemma.** *Let $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$. If $A$ is a subgroup of $G$, then $A^{\perp\!\!\!\perp} = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(A)$.*

*Proof.* As each $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ is a homomorphism, for a subgroup $A \subseteq G$ and $\gamma \in \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(A)$, the image $\gamma[A]$ must be a subgroup of ${}^*\mathbb{T}$ contained in the arc $\{c \in {}^*\mathbb{T};\, |\arg c| \le \alpha\}$. For $\alpha < 2\pi/3$, however, the biggest subgroup of ${}^*\mathbb{T}$ contained there is namely the monad $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{T} = \{c \in {}^*\mathbb{T};\, c \approx 1\}$ of $1 \in {}^*\mathbb{T}$. Thus $\gamma[A] \subseteq \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{T}$, hence $\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(A) \subseteq A^{\perp\!\!\!\perp}$, while the reversed inclusion is trivial.

If $A$ is an *internal* subgroup of $G$ and $0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3$, then a similar argument shows that both the sets coincide with the (strict) annihilator of $A$, more precisely,

$$A^{\perp\!\!\!\perp} = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(A) = \mathrm{Bohr}_0(A) = \big\{\gamma \in \widehat{G};\, (\forall\, a \in A)(\gamma(a) = 1)\big\}\,.$$

**2.1.2. Proposition.** *$\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\!\!\!\perp}, G_0^{\perp\!\!\!\perp}\big)$ is a condensing IMG group triplet with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $\widehat{G}$.*

*Proof.* Let us pick any (standard) $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$. As $G_0$, $G_{\mathrm{f}}$ are subgroups of $G$, according to the last Lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned}G_0^{\perp\!\!\!\perp} &= \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(G_0) = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\Big(\bigcap \mathcal{Q}\Big) = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(Q)\,,\\ G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\!\!\!\perp} &= \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\Big(\bigcup \mathcal{Q}\Big) = \bigcap_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(Q)\,.\end{aligned}$$

While the last equation in the second line is trivial, the last equation in the first one follows by a straightforward *saturation* argument. As all the sets $\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(Q)$ are internal, $G_0^\curlywedge$ is a galactic set and $G_\mathrm{f}^\curlywedge$ is a monadic one. It remains to show that the IMG group triplet $\big(\widehat{G}, G_\mathrm{f}^\curlywedge, G_0^\curlywedge\big)$ is condensing.

To this end consider any symmetric internal sets $\mathit{\Gamma}$, $\mathit{\Delta}$ such that $G_\mathrm{f}^\curlywedge \subseteq \mathit{\Gamma} \subseteq \mathit{\Delta} \subseteq G_0^\curlywedge$. Then there are $P, Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $G_0 \subseteq P \subseteq Q \subseteq G_\mathrm{f}$ and

$$G_\mathrm{f}^\curlywedge \subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(Q) \subseteq \mathit{\Gamma} \subseteq \mathit{\Delta} \subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha/4}(P) \subseteq G_0^\curlywedge\,.$$

An elementary combinatorial argument shows that

$$\lceil \mathit{\Delta} : \mathit{\Gamma} \rceil \le \big\lceil \mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha/4}(P) : \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(Q) \big\rceil \le \left\lceil \frac{4\pi}{\alpha} \right\rceil^{\lfloor Q:P \rfloor} < \infty\,,$$

where the upper integer part $\lceil 4\pi/\alpha \rceil$ equals the covering index $\lfloor \mathbb{T} : S \rfloor$ of the circle $\mathbb{T}$ with respect to the arc $S = \{c \in \mathbb{T};\, |\arg c| \le \alpha/4\}$. Indeed, let $k = \lfloor \mathbb{T} : S \rfloor$ and $q = \lfloor Q : P \rfloor$. Then there are $k$ points $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{T}$ and $q$ points $x_1, \dots x_q \in G$ such that $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k Sc_i$ and $Q \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^q P + x_i$. Let $h\colon \mathbb{T} \to \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ be any function such that $c \in Sh(c)$ for $c \in \mathbb{T}$. As there are only $k^q$ functions $\{x_1, \dots, x_q\} \to \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$, given more then $k^q$ functions in $\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha/4}(P)$, there are at least two, $\gamma$ and $\chi$, say, such that $(h \circ \gamma)(x_j) = (h \circ \chi)(x_j)$ for $1 \le j \le q$. Let's choose any $x \in Q$ and a $j \le q$ such that $x \in P + x_j$. Then $\gamma(x)\gamma(x_j)^{-1}, \chi(x)\chi(x_j)^{-1} \in S$ and, as $h(\gamma(x_j)) = h(\chi(x_j))$, we have

$$\gamma(x_j)\chi(x_j)^{-1} = \gamma(x_j)h(\gamma(x_j))^{-1}h(\chi(x_j))\chi(x_j)^{-1} \in S^2\,.$$

Consequently,

$$\gamma(x)\chi(x)^{-1} = \gamma(x)\gamma(x_j)^{-1}\gamma(x_j)\chi(x_j)^{-1}\chi(x_j)\chi(x)^{-1} \in S^4\,,$$

i.e., $\gamma\chi^{-1} \in \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(Q)$. It follows that $\big\lceil \mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha/4}(P) : \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(Q) \big\rceil \le k^q$.

The condensing IMG group triplet $\big(\widehat{G}, G_\mathrm{f}^\curlywedge, G_0^\curlywedge\big)$ will be called the *dual triplet* of the IMG group triplet $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$.

For an internal character $\gamma \in G_0^\curlywedge$ there are potentially two interpretations of its observable trace $\gamma^\flat$. First, it is simply the element $G_\mathrm{f}^\curlywedge\gamma$ of the quotient $G_0^\curlywedge/G_\mathrm{f}^\curlywedge = \widehat{G^\flat}$. Second, $\gamma^\flat$ is the observable trace of the $S$-continuous mapping $\gamma\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$, i.e.,

$$\gamma^\flat\big(x^\flat\big) = {}^\circ\gamma(x)$$

for $x \in G_\mathrm{f}$. That way $\gamma^\flat\colon G^\flat \to \mathbb{T}$ is a continuous character of the LCA group $G^\flat$ (cf. Section 1.3). The assignment $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^\flat$, depicted in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Id}_{G_\mathrm{f}}} & G_\mathrm{f} & \xrightarrow{\ \flat\ } & G^\flat \\ {\scriptstyle\gamma}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\gamma\restriction G_\mathrm{f}} & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\gamma^\flat} \\ {}^*\mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{Id}_{{}^*\mathbb{T}}]{} & {}^*\mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow[\circ]{} & \mathbb{T} \end{array}$$

is a group homomorphism $G_0^\curlywedge \to \widehat{G^\flat}$. Its kernel is the subgroup $G_\mathrm{f}^\curlywedge \subseteq \widehat{G}$ of all infinitesimal characters in $\widehat{G}$. Thus the assignment $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^\flat$ induces an injective group homomorphism $\widehat{G}^\flat \to \widehat{G^\flat}$ from the observable trace $\widehat{G}^\flat = G_0^\curlywedge/G_\mathrm{f}^\curlywedge$ of the dual triplet $\big(\widehat{G}, G_\mathrm{f}^\curlywedge, G_0^\curlywedge\big)$ into the dual group $\widehat{G^\flat} = \widehat{G_\mathrm{f}/G_0}$ of the observable trace $G^\flat = G_\mathrm{f}/G_0$ of the original triplet $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$. The canonic injective homomorphism $G_0^\curlywedge/G_\mathrm{f}^\curlywedge \to \widehat{G_\mathrm{f}/G_0}$ justifies the identification of the "two observable traces" $G_\mathrm{f}^\curlywedge\gamma$ and $\gamma^\flat$. As proved by Gordon in [Go1] (see also [Go2]), even more is true.

**2.1.3. Proposition.** *The canonic mapping $G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is an isomorphism of the topological group $\widehat{G^{\flat}} = G_0^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}$ onto a closed subgroup of the topological group $\widehat{G^{\flat}} = \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0}$.*

*Proof.* Denote $\mathbf{G} = G^{\flat}$ and pick some $0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3$. On one hand, the images of the Bohr sets $\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha}(Q)$, where $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, under the quotient mapping $G_0^{\perp} \to G_0^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}$ form a neighborhood base of the unit character in $\widehat{G^{\flat}}$. On the other hand, the Bohr sets

$$\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha}\big(Q^{\flat}\big) = \big\{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}};\ \big(\forall\, \boldsymbol{x} \in Q^{\flat}\big)\big(|\arg \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{x})| \le \alpha\big)\big\}\,,$$

where $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, form a neighborhood base of the unit character in $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$. It follows that the canonic injective group homomorphism $G_0^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is also a homeomorphism of $G_0^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}$ onto the subgroup $\big\{\gamma^{\flat};\, \gamma \in G_0^{\perp}\big\}$ of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$. As a continuous image of an LCA (hence complete) topological group, it is necessarily closed.

It is both natural and tempting to conjecture that the canonic mapping $\widehat{G^{\flat}} \to \widehat{G^{\flat}}$ is also surjective, i.e., that it is an isomorphisms of topological groups. This is indeed the first of Gordon's Conjectures from [Go1] (see also [Go2, page 132]).

**2.1.4. Theorem. [Gordon's Conjecture 1]** *Let $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ be a condensing IMG group triplet with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$. Then the canonic homomorphism $G_0^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp} \to \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0}$ is an isomorphism of topological groups.*

The proof of Gordon's Conjecture 1 is the first main result of the present paper. In view of 2.2.3, this amounts just to show that every continuous character $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ of the LCA group $G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is indeed of the form $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \gamma^{\flat}$ for some internal $S$-continuous character $\gamma \in G_0^{\perp}$. However, we will approach the proof of the above Theorem indirectly, by investigating the dual triplet of the dual triplet of the original IMG group triplet $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$.

The second dual $\widehat{\widehat{G}}$ of the hyperfinite abelian group $G$ can be naturally identified with the original group $G$. Then the second dual of the original triplet $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ is defined as the condensing IMG triplet $\big(G, G_0^{\perp\perp}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\perp}\big)$.

**2.1.5. Triplet Duality Theorem.** *Let $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ be a condensing IMG group triplet with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$. Then*

$$G_0^{\perp\perp} = G_0\,, \qquad \textit{and} \qquad G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\perp} = G_{\mathrm{f}}\,;$$

*in other words, the dual triplet $\big(G, G_0^{\perp\perp}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\perp}\big)$ of the dual triplet $\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp}\big)$ equals the original group triplet $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$.*

The proof of Theorem 2.1.5 is postponed into the next two sections. At this place we will just show how Gordon's Conjecture 1, i.e., Theorem 2.1.4, can be derived from Theorem 2.1.5.

*Proof of* 2.1.5 $\Rightarrow$ 2.1.4. By Proposition 2.1.3, the observable trace $\widehat{G^{\flat}} = G_0^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}$ of the dual triplet $\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp}\big)$ can be identified with the closed subgroup of the dual group $\widehat{G^{\flat}}$ formed by the observable traces $\gamma^{\flat}$ of the internal characters $\gamma \in G_0^{\perp}$. Therefore, in order to show that $\widehat{G^{\flat}} = \widehat{G^{\flat}}$ it suffices to prove that $\widehat{G^{\flat}}$ is dense in $\widehat{G^{\flat}}$. As a consequence of the Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem, to this end it is enough to show that the observable traces $\gamma^{\flat}$, where $\gamma \in G_0^{\perp}$, separate points in $G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$, or, which is the

same, to show that for any $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}} \smallsetminus G_0$ there is a $\gamma \in G_0^{\perp}$ such that $\gamma(x) \not\approx 1$. Otherwise, there would be an $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}} \smallsetminus G_0$, such that $\gamma(x) \approx 1$ for every $\gamma \in G_0^{\perp}$. Then

$$x \in G_{\mathrm{f}} \cap G_0^{\perp\perp} = G_{\mathrm{f}} \cap G_0 = G_0 \,,$$

which is a contradiction.

*Remark.* Notice that, in order to derive 2.1.4, just a weaker version of the first equality in 2.1.5 would be sufficient, namely $G_0 = G_0^{\perp\perp} \cap G_{\mathrm{f}}$, which, of course, trivially follows from $G_0^{\perp\perp} = G_0$ and $G_0 \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$. The second equality $G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\perp} = G_{\mathrm{f}}$ is not needed to this end. Moreover, it is already a consequence of the first one.

**2.1.7. Lemma.** *Let $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ be as above. Then*

$$G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\perp} = G_{\mathrm{f}} + G_0^{\perp\perp} \,.$$

*Therefore, $G_0^{\perp\perp} = G_0$ implies $G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\perp} = G_{\mathrm{f}}$.*

*Proof.* Let us denote $(H, H_0, H_{\mathrm{f}}) = \big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp}\big)$ the dual triplet of $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$. According to the properties of Galois correspondences, three $\perp$'s reduce to one, hence the group triplet $(H, H_0, H_{\mathrm{f}})$ satisfies the conditions

$$H_0^{\perp\perp} = H_0 \,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad H_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\perp} = H_{\mathrm{f}} \,,$$

so that the dual triplet of $\big(\widehat{H}, H_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, H_0^{\perp}\big)$ is indeed $(H, H_0, H_{\mathrm{f}})$ and the canonic mapping $H_0^{\perp}/H_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp} \to \widehat{H_{\mathrm{f}}/H_0}$ is an isomorphism of topological groups.

Now, consider the canonic embedding $G_0^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp} \to \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0}$ and apply the (external) duality functor to it. Identifying the dual of the LCA group $\widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0}$ with $G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$, and the dual of the LCA group $H_{\mathrm{f}}/H_0 = G_0^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}$ with $H_0^{\perp}/H_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp} = G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\perp}/G_0^{\perp\perp}$, we obtain a surjective continuous homomorphism

$$G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0 \to G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\perp}/G_0^{\perp\perp} \,,$$

sending $\gamma + G_0 \in G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ to $\gamma + G_0^{\perp\perp} \in G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\perp}/G_0^{\perp\perp}$. Its surjectivity simply means that $G_{\mathrm{f}}$, $G_0^{\perp\perp}$, $G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\perp}$, as subgroups of $G$, satisfy $G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp\perp} = G_{\mathrm{f}} + G_0^{\perp\perp}$.

**2.1.8. Example.** An *additive cut* on ${}^*\mathbb{N}$ is any nonempty subset $C \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{N}$, such that

$$(\forall\, a \in {}^*\mathbb{N})(\forall\, b \in C)(a \le b \;\Rightarrow\; a \in C) \,,$$
$$(\forall\, a, b \in C)(a + b \in C) \,.$$

Assume that $C$ is an additive cut on ${}^*\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{N} \subseteq C$, and $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \smallsetminus C$.

(a) Let $Z$ be any nontrivial finite abelian group, e.g., $Z = \mathbb{Z}_d$ for some $2 \le d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $G = Z^n$ be the hyperfinite abelian group of all internal sequences $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ of elements from $Z$. Denote

$$\operatorname{supp} z = \{k;\, 1 \le k \le n \;\&\; z_k \neq 0\} \,,$$

for $z \in G$, and put

$$S(C) = \{z \in G;\, |\operatorname{supp} z| \in C\} \,.$$

As $C \neq \{0\}$ and $n \notin C$, as well as $\operatorname{supp}(-z) = \operatorname{supp} z$ and $\operatorname{supp}(y+z) \subseteq \operatorname{supp} y \cup \operatorname{supp} y$ for any $y, z \in G$, $S(C)$ is a nontrivial proper subgroup of $G$. Moreover, if $C$ is a monadic (galactic) set, then so is $S(C)$. On the other hand, all the internal subgroups

$$G_k = \big\{z \in G;\, \operatorname{supp} z \subseteq \{k\}\big\} = \big\{z \in G;\, (\forall\, i \neq k)(z_i = 0)\big\} \cong Z\,,$$

where $1 \leq k \leq n$, satisfy $G_k \subseteq S(C)$. Identifying the internal dual $\widehat{G}$ with the hyperfinite abelian group $\widehat{Z}^n$ in the obvious way, each character $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ is represented as the ordered $n$-tuple $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$, where $\gamma_k \in \widehat{Z}$. Then

$$G_k^{\perp} = \big\{\gamma \in \widehat{G};\, \gamma_k = 1_Z\big\}\,,$$

hence,

$$S(C)^{\perp} \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^{n} G_k^{\perp} = \{1_G\}\,,$$

and, finally, $S(C)^{\perp\perp} = G$.

Now, if we take a monadic additive cut $A$ and a galactic additive cut $B$, such that $\mathbb{N} \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{N}$ and $n \notin B$, then $S(A)$ is a proper subgroup of $S(B)$ and $\big(G, S(A), S(B)\big)$ is an (of course, non-condensing) IMG group triplet with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G = Z^n$ and nontrivial observable trace $S(B)/S(A)$. However, its first and second dual triplets are $\big(\widehat{G}, \{1_G\}, \{1_G\}\big)$ and $(G, G, G)$, respectively; both have trivial observable traces.

(b) Denote $A = C \cup (-C) = \{a \in {}^*\mathbb{Z};\, |a| \in C\}$. Then $A$ is a subgroup of the hyperfinite cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{2n+1} = \{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm n\}$ modulo $2n+1$. We leave the reader as an exercise to verify that $A^{\perp\perp} = A$, regardless of any further properties of $C$.

### 2.2. Fourier transform, Bohr sets and spectral sets in finite abelian groups

In this section we make a necessary digression in order to establish some inclusions between certain types of (internal) subsets of (hyper)finite abelian groups and some estimates of their size. However, in view of the *transfer principle*, it is sufficient to deal with the finite case, only. To this end we will make use of the discrete Fourier transform. The results thus obtained will not depend on the scaling coefficients occurring in it. Just as a matter of convenience we choose $d = 1/|G|$ and $\hat{d} = 1$.

In what follows $G$ denotes a finite abelian group with the addition operation. The set $\mathbb{C}^G$ of all functions $G \to \mathbb{C}$ becomes a unitary space endowed with the Hermitian inner product given as the expectation

$$\langle f, g\rangle_G = \mathsf{E}(f \cdot \overline{g}) = \mathsf{E}_{x \in G}\, f(x)\, \overline{g}(x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} f(x)\, \overline{g(x)}\,,$$

for $f, g \in \mathbb{C}^G$, and the corresponding $\mathrm{L}^2$-norm

$$\|f\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle f, f\rangle_G} = \sqrt{\mathsf{E}(f \cdot \overline{f})}\,.$$

The dual group $\widehat{G} = \operatorname{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$, considered as a subset of $\mathbb{C}^G$, forms an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^G$. Thus for the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}\colon \mathbb{C}^G \to \mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$

$$\mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) = \langle f, \gamma\rangle_G = \mathsf{E}(f \cdot \overline{\gamma})$$

the Fourier inversion formula takes the form

$$f = \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(\gamma)\,\gamma\,.$$

The Hermitian inner product on $\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$

$$\langle\varphi,\psi\rangle_{\widehat{G}} = \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}} \varphi(\gamma)\,\overline{\psi}(\gamma)$$

ensures the Plancherel identity

$$\langle f,g\rangle_G = \langle\widehat{f},\widehat{g}\rangle_{\widehat{G}}\,.$$

The convolution on $\mathbb{C}^G$ is also defined as the expectation

$$(f*g)(x) = \mathsf{E}_{y\in G}\, f(x-y)\,g(y)\,;$$

for its Fourier transform we have

$$\widehat{f*g} = \widehat{f}\cdot\widehat{g}\,.$$

Additionally, we will make use of the $\mathrm{L}^p$-norms on $\mathbb{C}^G$ and the $\ell^p$-norms on $\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$

$$\|f\|_p = \Big(\mathsf{E}\,|f|^p\Big)^{1/p} = \Big(\mathsf{E}_{x\in G}|f(x)|^p\Big)^{1/p} = \left(\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{x\in G}|f(x)|^p\right)^{1/p},$$

$$\|\varphi\|_p = \left(\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{G}}|\varphi(\chi)|^p\right)^{1/p},$$

for $1\le p<\infty$, as well as of the $\mathrm{L}^\infty$-norm on $\mathbb{C}^G$ and the $\ell^\infty$-norm on $\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{x\in G}|f(x)| \qquad\qquad \|\varphi\|_\infty = \max_{\chi\in\widehat{G}}|\varphi(\chi)|\,,$$

for $f\in\mathbb{C}^G$, $\varphi\in\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$.

Let us list some well known and/or obvious relations:

$$\big\|\widehat{f}\,\big\|_2 = \|f\|_2\,, \qquad\qquad \big\|\widehat{f}\,\big\|_\infty \le \|f\|_1\,,$$

which are special cases of the Hausdorff-Young inequality

$$\big\|\widehat{f}\,\big\|_q \le \|f\|_p,$$

for $1\le p\le 2$ and $q$ being the dual exponent of $p$, i.e., $1/p+1/q=1$.

We denote by $f_a$ the shift of the function $f\colon G\to\mathbb{C}$ by the element $a\in G$, i.e.,

$$f_a(x) = f(x-a)$$

for $x \in G$. Then

$$\widehat{f_a}\,(\gamma) = \overline{\gamma}(a)\,\widehat{f}(\gamma) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widehat{f}_\gamma = \widehat{\gamma f}$$

for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, as well as

$$f * g = \mathsf{E}_{a\in G}\, f_a\, g(a) = \mathsf{E}_{a\in G}\, f(a)\, g_a$$

and

$$(f * g)_a = f * g_a = f_a * g\,,$$

for $f, g \in \mathbb{C}^G$.

A norm $\mathsf{N}$ on the linear space $\mathbb{C}^G$ is called *translation invariant* if $\mathsf{N}(f_a) = \mathsf{N}(f)$ for all $f \in \mathbb{C}^G$, $a \in G$. For any translation invariant norm $\mathsf{N}$ we have

$$\mathsf{N}(f * g) \le \mathsf{N}(f)\, \|g\|_1\,.$$

A norm $\mathsf{N}$ on $\mathbb{C}^G$ is called *absolute* if $\mathsf{N}(f) \le \mathsf{N}(g)$ for any functions $f, g \in \mathbb{C}^G$ such that $|f(x)| \le |g(x)|$ for all $x \in G$. For any absolute norm we have

$$\mathsf{N}(f\, g) \le \mathsf{N}(f)\, \|g\|_\infty\,.$$

As all the norms $\|\cdot\|_p$ are obviously translation invariant and absolute,

$$\|f * g\|_p \le \|f\|_p\, \|g\|_1\,, \qquad\qquad \|f\, g\|_p \le \|f\|_p\, \|g\|_\infty$$

for $f, g\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$, $1 \le p \le \infty$. If $f, g\colon G \to \mathbb{R}$ are both nonnegative on $G$, then even

$$\|f * g\|_1 = \|f\|_1\, \|g\|_1\,.$$

For the characteristic function (indicator) $1_A$ of a set $A \subseteq G$ and $1 \le p < \infty$ we have

$$\|1_A\|_p^p = \|1_A\|_2^2 = \|1_A\|_1 = \frac{|A|}{|G|}\,.$$

If $f\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ is even, i.e., $f(-x) = f(x)$ for $x \in G$, then so is $\widehat{f}\colon \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ and we have

$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \mathsf{E}_{x\in G}\, f(x)\, \mathrm{Re}\,\gamma(x)\,, \qquad\qquad f(x) = \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(\gamma)\, \mathrm{Re}\,\gamma(x)\,.$$

The *support* of a function $g\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined to be the set

$$\operatorname{supp} g = \{x \in G;\, g(x) \ne 0\}\,.$$

The following estimate generalizes an inequality in [GR], which was part of the proof of Proposition 3.1 there, from indicators to arbitrary nonnegative functions.

**2.2.1 Lemma.** *Let $f\colon G \to \mathbb{R}$ be nonnegative, and $D \subseteq G$ be a nonempty set such that* $\operatorname{supp}(f * f) \subseteq D$. *Then*

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}} \big|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big|^4 \ge \frac{\|f\|_1^4}{\|1_D\|_1}\,.$$

*Proof.* By Plancherel identity and the relation between the Fourier transform and convolution,

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}} \bigl|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\bigr|^4 = \bigl\langle \widehat{f}^{\,2}, \widehat{f}^{\,2}\bigr\rangle_{\widehat{G}} = \langle f*f, f*f\rangle_G = \|f*f\|_2^2$$

for any function $f\colon G\to\mathbb{C}$. Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, the fact that $f*f$ is supported on $D$, and nonnegativity of $f$ we get

$$\|f*f\|_2^2\,\|1_D\|_2^2 \ge \bigl|\langle f*f, 1_D\rangle_G\bigr|^2 = \bigl|\mathsf{E}(f*f)\bigr|^2 = \|f*f\|_1^2 = \|f\|_1^4 .$$

The claim immediately follows.

The *spectral set* or *spectrum at threshold* $t\in\mathbb{R}$ of a function $f\colon G\to\mathbb{C}$ is the set

$$\mathrm{Spec}_t(f) = \bigl\{\gamma\in\widehat{G};\, \bigl|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\bigr| \ge t\,\|f\|_1\bigr\} \subseteq \widehat{G}\,.$$

This is a slight generalization of a definition from [TV, §4.6] were spectral sets of subsets $A\subseteq G$ were defined by

$$\mathrm{Spec}_t(A) = \mathrm{Spec}_t(1_A) = \bigl\{\gamma\in\widehat{G};\, \bigl|\widehat{1_A}(\gamma)\bigr| \ge t\,\|1_A\|_1\bigr\}\,.$$

As $\bigl|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\bigr| \le \bigl\|\widehat{f}\,\bigr\|_\infty \le \|f\|_1$, $\mathrm{Spec}_t(f)=\emptyset$ whenever $t>1$ and $f$ is not identically 0; similarly, $\mathrm{Spec}_t(f)=\widehat{G}$ for $t\le 0$. Thus it makes sense to consider the spectral sets just for the threshold values $0\le t\le 1$. Also the following implication is trivial

$$s\le t \;\Rightarrow\; \mathrm{Spec}_t(f)\subseteq\mathrm{Spec}_s(f)\,.$$

Let us recall that the *Bohr sets* $\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(A)$, $\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\Gamma)$, for $A\subseteq G$, $\Gamma\subseteq\widehat{G}$, were defined in Section 2.1.

**2.2.2. Lemma.** *Let $f\colon G\to\mathbb{R}$ be an even nonnegative function, $D\subseteq G$ be a nonempty set such that* $\mathrm{supp}\, f\subseteq D$, *and $0\le\alpha\le\pi/2$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(D)\subseteq\mathrm{Spec}_t(f)\,,$$

*whenever $0\le t\le\cos\alpha$.*

*Proof.* Take any $\gamma\in\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(D)$. According to the assumptions on $f$, $t$ and $\alpha$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bigl|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\bigr| &= \bigl|\mathsf{E}_{x\in G}\, f(x)\,\overline{\gamma}(x)\bigr| = \bigl|\mathsf{E}_{x\in G}\, 1_D(x)\, f(x)\,\mathrm{Re}\,\gamma(x)\bigr| \\ &\ge \mathsf{E}_{x\in G}\, f(x)\cos\alpha = \|f\|_1\cos\alpha \ge t\,\|f\|_1\,,\end{aligned}$$

thus $\gamma\in\mathrm{Spec}_t(f)$.

The following result generalizes an inclusion proved in [GR] during the proof of Proposition 3.1, as well.

**2.2.3. Proposition.** *Let $f\colon G \to \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative function, not identically equal to 0, $D \subseteq G$ be a nonempty set such that $\operatorname{supp}(f*f) \subseteq D$, and $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$, $0 \le t \le 1$. Then*

$$\operatorname{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\operatorname{Spec}_t(f)\big) \subseteq D - D\,,$$

*whenever*

$$t \le \frac{\|f\|_1}{\|f\|_2\,\|1_D\|_2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\cos\alpha}{1+\cos\alpha}}\,.$$

*Proof.* Let $f_-$ denote the function given by $f_-(x) = f(-x)$; since $f\colon G \to \mathbb{R}$, we have $\widehat{f_-}(\gamma) = \overline{\widehat{f}(\gamma)}$ and $\big|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big|^2 = \widehat{f * f_-}(\gamma)$. Then both the functions $f * f_-$, $f * f * f_- * f_-$ are even, and

$$\operatorname{supp}(f * f * f_- * f_-) \subseteq D - D\,.$$

Let $x \in \operatorname{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\operatorname{Spec}_t(f)\big)$. It suffices to prove that $(f * f * f_- * f_-)(x) > 0$. Putting $\Gamma = \operatorname{Spec}_t(f)$, we have $\operatorname{Re}\gamma(x) \ge \cos\alpha$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By the Fourier inversion formula, Lemma 2.2.1 and Plancherel identity we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(f * f * f_- * f_-)(x) &= \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}} \big|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big|^4\,\gamma(x) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \big|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big|^4 \operatorname{Re}\gamma(x) + \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}\setminus\Gamma} \big|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big|^4 \operatorname{Re}\gamma(x) \\
&> \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \big|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big|^4 \cos\alpha - \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}\setminus\Gamma} \big|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big|^4 \\
&= \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}} \big|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big|^4 \cos\alpha - \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}\setminus\Gamma} \big|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big|^4 (1+\cos\alpha) \\
&\ge \frac{\|f\|_1^4}{\|1_D\|_1} \cos\alpha - \sup_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}\setminus\Gamma} \big|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big|^2 \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}} \big|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big|^2 (1+\cos\alpha) \\
&\ge \frac{\|f\|_1^4}{\|1_D\|_1} \cos\alpha - t^2 \|f\|_1^2\,\|f\|_2^2 (1+\cos\alpha) \\
&= \|f\|_1^2 \bigg( \frac{\|f\|_1^2}{\|1_D\|_2^2} \cos\alpha - t^2 \|f\|_2^2 (1+\cos\alpha) \bigg)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The strict inequality in the third line is due to the fact that for the trivial character $1_G \in \Gamma$ we have $\operatorname{Re} 1_G(x) = 1 > \cos\alpha$ as $\alpha > 0$. According to the assumption on $t$, the expression in the last line is $\ge 0$.

*Remark.* (a) It would be enough to have the above result for a single fixed value $\alpha$. The authors in [GR], [TV] take $\alpha = \pi/3$, which, in our case, would result in the estimate

$$t \le \frac{\|f\|_1}{\|f\|_2\,\|1_D\|_2 \sqrt{3}}\,.$$

(b) It is worthwhile to notice that in the special case of $f$ being the indicator of a nonempty set $A \subseteq G$ and $D = A + A$ we have

$$\frac{\|f\|_1}{\|f\|_2\,\|1_D\|_2} = \frac{\|1_A\|_1}{\|1_A\|_2\,\|1_{A+A}\|_2} = \sqrt{\frac{|A|}{|A+A|}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma(A)}}\,,$$

where $\sigma(A) = |A+A|/|A|$ is the *doubling constant* of $A$.

We will need also some lower and upper bounds of the size of spectral sets of some functions.

**2.2.4. Proposition.** *Let $f\colon G \to \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative function, not identically equal to 0, $D \subseteq G$ be a nonempty set such that $\operatorname{supp}(f*f) \subseteq D$, and $0 < t \le 1$. Then*

$$\frac{|G|}{|D|} - t^2\,\frac{\|f\|_2^2}{\|f\|_1^2} \le |\operatorname{Spec}_t(f)| \le \frac{1}{t^2}\,\frac{\|f\|_2^2}{\|f\|_1^2}\,.$$

*Proof.* Let us denote $\Gamma = \operatorname{Spec}_t(f)$. According to Lemma 2.2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\|f\|_1^4}{\|1_D\|_1} \le \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}} \bigl|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\bigr|^4 &= \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \bigl|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\bigr|^4 + \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}\smallsetminus\Gamma} \bigl|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\bigr|^4 \\ &\le |\Gamma|\,\bigl\|\widehat{f}\,\bigr\|_\infty^4 + t^2\|f\|_1^2 \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}\smallsetminus\Gamma} \bigl|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\bigr|^2 \\ &\le |\Gamma|\,\|f\|_1^4 + t^2\|f\|_1^2\,\|f\|_2^2\,,\end{aligned}$$

using Plancherel formula to pass to the last line. This gives the lower bound.

The upper bound readily follows from the following computation:

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \bigl\|\widehat{f}\,\bigr\|_2^2 = \sum_{\gamma\in\widehat{G}} \bigl|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\bigr|^2 \ge \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \bigl|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\bigr|^2 \ge t^2\|f\|_1^2\,|\Gamma|\,.$$

*Remark.* Notice that the lower bound is relevant just in case

$$t < \frac{\|f\|_1}{\|f\|_2\,\|1_D\|_2}\,,$$

otherwise it is trivial. One of its consequences can be stated as

$$\frac{|\operatorname{supp}(f*f)|\,|\operatorname{Spec}_t(f)|}{|G|} \ge 1 - t^2\,\frac{|\operatorname{supp}(f*f)|}{|G|}\,\frac{\|f\|_2^2}{\|f\|_1^2} \ge 1 - t^2\,\frac{\|f\|_2^2}{\|f\|_1^2}\,,$$

in which form it can be regarded as a kind of the *Uncertainty Principle*. For $f = 1_A$ being the indicator of a nonempty set $A \subseteq G$ the first inequality gives

$$\frac{|A+A|\,|\operatorname{Spec}_t(A)|}{|G|} \ge 1 - t^2\sigma(A)\,.$$

Let us close this technical section with a kind of the *Smoothness-and-Decay Principle* indicating that spectral sets of functions continuous in some sense tend to avoid discontinuous characters. We will return to this topic in Section 3.2.

**2.2.5. Proposition.** *Let $f\colon G\to\mathbb{C}$ be not identically equal to 0 and $C,D\subseteq G$ satisfy $\operatorname{supp} f\cup(\operatorname{supp} f+C)\subseteq D\neq\emptyset$. Let $0<t\leq 1$, $0<\alpha<\pi$, and $\varepsilon>0$ be real numbers. Assume that*

$$\varepsilon\leq 2t\,\frac{\|f\|_1}{\|1_D\|_1}\,\sin\frac{\alpha}{2}\,,$$

*and $\|f_a-f\|_\infty\leq\varepsilon$ for $a\in C$. Then $\operatorname{Spec}_t(f)\subseteq\operatorname{Bohr}_\alpha(C)$.*

*Proof.* If $a\in C$, then $\operatorname{supp}(f_a-f)\subseteq(\operatorname{supp} f+C)\cup\operatorname{supp} f\subseteq D$, hence

$$\big\|\widehat{f_a}-\widehat{f}\,\big\|_\infty\leq\|f_a-f\|_1=\mathsf{E}_{x\in G}\big|f_a(x)-f(x)\big|\leq\varepsilon\,\|1_D\|_1\,.$$

Now, take any $\gamma\in\operatorname{Spec}_t(f)$ and assume that $\gamma\notin\operatorname{Bohr}_\alpha(C)$. Then there is an $a\in C$ such that $|\gamma(a)-1|>2\sin(\alpha/2)$. Thus we have

$$\varepsilon\,\|1_D\|_1\geq\big\|\widehat{f_a}-\widehat{f}\,\big\|_\infty\geq\big|\widehat{f_a}(\gamma)-\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big|=|\,\overline{\gamma}(a)-1|\,\big|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big|>2t\,\|f\|_1\sin\tfrac{\alpha}{2}\,,$$

contradicting the assumed upper bound for $\varepsilon$.

A brief inspection of the proof yields the following modification of the last result.

**2.2.6. Corollary.** *Let $f\colon G\to\mathbb{C}$ be not identically equal to 0, $C\subseteq G$, and $0<t\leq 1$, $0<\alpha<\pi$, $\varepsilon>0$ be real numbers. Assume that $\varepsilon\leq 2t\sin\frac{\alpha}{2}$, and $\|f_a-f\|_1\leq\varepsilon\,\|f\|_1$ for $a\in C$. Then $\operatorname{Spec}_t(f)\subseteq\operatorname{Bohr}_\alpha(C)$.*

### 2.3. The dual triplet continued: normal multipliers and proofs of Gordon's Conjectures 1 and 2

Now, we are back dealing with a condensing IMG group triplet $(G,G_0,G_{\mathrm{f}})$ with a hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$. Let us recall that a *normalizing multiplier* of the triplet is any positive hyperreal number $d$ such that $0\not\approx d\,|A|<\infty$ for every (or, equivalently, for some) internal set $A$ between $G_0$, $G_{\mathrm{f}}$. Then $\boldsymbol{m}_d$ denotes the Haar measure on the observable trace $G^\flat=G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$, obtained by pushing down the Loeb measure $\lambda_d$ from $G$ to $G^\flat$ (see Sections 1.4 and 1.5). The Hermitian inner product, Fourier transform, $\mathrm{L}^p$-norms and convolution on the space $\mathbb{C}^G$ of all internal functions $\mathbb{C}\to{}^*\mathbb{C}$ are normalized by the scaling coefficient $d$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f,g\rangle&=d\sum_{x\in G}f(x)\,\overline{g}(x)\,,\\
\mathcal{F}(f)(\gamma)=\widehat{f}(\gamma)&=\langle f,\gamma\rangle=d\sum_{x\in G}f(x)\,\overline{\gamma}(x)\,,\\
\|f\|_p&=\Big(d\sum_{x\in G}|f(x)|^p\Big)^{1/p},\\
(f*g)(x)&=d\sum_{a\in G}f(x-a)\,g(a)=d\sum_{a\in G}f_a(x)\,g(a)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

for $f,g\in{}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $\gamma\in\widehat{G}$, $1\leq p<\infty$, $x\in G$.

In the proof of Triplet Duality Theorem 2.1.5 we will need the following preliminary qualitative version of the *Smoothness-and-Decay Principle*.

**2.3.1. Proposition.** *Let $f\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ be an $S$-continuous internal function such that* $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$. *Then $\widehat{f}(\gamma) \approx 0$ for each $\gamma \in \widehat{G} \smallsetminus G_0^{\downarrow}$.*

*Proof.* We will proceed in a similar way as in the proof of Proposition 2.2.5.

By the $S$-continuity of $f$, $f_a(x) \approx f(x)$ for each $x \in G$, whenever $a \in G_0$; moreover $f(x) = f_a(x) = 0$ once $x \in G \smallsetminus D$ for some (in fact for each) internal set $D$ such that $\operatorname{supp} f + G_0 \subseteq D \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$. Then

$$\left\|\widehat{f_a} - \widehat{f}\,\right\|_\infty \leq \|f_a - f\|_1 = d \sum_{x\in D} |f_a(x) - f(x)| \leq d\,|D| \max_{x\in D} |f_a(x) - f(x)| \approx 0\,,$$

as $d\,|D| < \infty$. Now, for any $\gamma \in \widehat{G} \smallsetminus G_0^{\downarrow}$ there is some $a \in G_0$ such that $\gamma(a) \not\approx 1$. Then

$$0 \approx \left\|\widehat{f_a} - \widehat{f}\,\right\|_\infty = \max_{\chi\in\widehat{G}} |\,\overline{\chi}(a) - 1|\;\left|\widehat{f}(\chi)\right| \geq |\gamma(a) - 1|\,\left|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\right|.$$

As $\gamma(a) - 1 \not\approx 0$, the conclusion $\widehat{f}(\gamma) \approx 0$ follows immediately.

**2.3.2. Corollary.** *Let $f\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ be an $S$-continuous internal function such that* $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$ *and* $\|f\|_1 \not\approx 0$. *Assume that $t \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ and $0 < t \leq 1$, $t \not\approx 0$. Then* $\operatorname{Spec}_t(f) \subseteq G_0^{\downarrow}$.

*Remark.* Both Proposition 2.3.1 and its Corollary 2.3.2 are "soft" statements in the sense of Tao's blogs [Ta1], [Ta2]. Their "hard" version is Proposition 2.2.5, providing us with the additional information how one has to choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and the internal set $C$, such that $G_0 \subseteq C \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$, in order to get $\operatorname{Spec}_t(f) \subseteq \operatorname{Bohr}_\alpha(C) \subseteq G_0^{\downarrow}$. On the other hand, the last Corollary is fully sufficient for our purpose. We hope that at least some readers will appreciate the advantage of nonstandard arguments, allowing one to dispense with lots of meticulous estimates and "epsilontics".

As made clear in Section 2.2, in order to finish the proof of the Triplet Duality Theorem 2.1.5, as well as of Gordon's Conjecture 1 (Theorem 2.1.4), it is enough to prove the following:

**2.3.3. Proposition.** *Let $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ be a condensing IMG group triplet with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$. Then*

$$G_0^{\downarrow\widehat{\ }\downarrow} = G_0\,.$$

*Proof.* Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the set of internal valuations on $G$ such that

$$G_0 = \left\{x \in G; (\forall\, \varrho \in \mathcal{V})(\varrho(x) \approx 0)\right\}.$$

For any $\varrho \in \mathcal{V}$ and a positive $r \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ we denote the internal closed ball of radius $r$

$$B_\varrho(r) = \{x \in G;\ \varrho(x) \leq r\}\,,$$

and define the internal function $h_{\varrho,r}\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ by

$$h_{\varrho,r}(x) = \max\left\{1 - \frac{\varrho(x)}{r},\, 0\right\}.$$

Obviously, $h_{\varrho,r}$ is even, nonnegative, and $\operatorname{supp} h_{\varrho,r} \subseteq B_\varrho(r)$. For $0 \not\approx r < \infty$, both the sets $\operatorname{supp} h_{\varrho,r}$ and $B_\varrho(r)$ are between $G_0$ and $G_{\mathrm{f}}$. Moreover, for $r$ noninfinitesimal, $h_{\varrho,r}$ is also $S$-continuous and $\|h_{\varrho,r}\|_1 \geq d\,|B_\varrho(r/2)|/2 \not\approx 0$. By Corollary 2.3.2,

$$\operatorname{Spec}_t(h_{\varrho,r}) \subseteq G_0^{\downarrow}\,,$$

for $0 < t \leq 1$ once $t$ is noninfinitesimal, too. Further on, $\operatorname{supp}(h_{\varrho,r} * h_{\varrho,r}) \subseteq B_\varrho(2r)$. In order to apply Proposition 2.2.3 we need the estimate

$$\frac{\|h_{\varrho,r}\|_1}{\|h_{\varrho,r}\|_2\,\|1_{B_\varrho(2r)}\|_2} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{2}|B_\varrho(r/2)|}{\sqrt{|B_\varrho(r)|\,|B_\varrho(2r)|}} \not\approx 0\,.$$

Thus picking some $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$, $\alpha \not\approx 0$, $\pi/2$, there is a noninfinitesimal positive

$$t \leq \frac{\|h_{\varrho,r}\|_1}{\|h_{\varrho,r}\|_2\,\|1_{B_\varrho(2r)}\|_2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\cos\alpha}{1+\cos\alpha}}\,.$$

For such $\alpha$ and $t$ we have

$$\operatorname{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\operatorname{Spec}_t(h_{\varrho,r})\big) \subseteq B_\varrho(2r) - B_\varrho(2r) \subseteq B_\varrho(4r)\,.$$

Consequently,

$$G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} = \operatorname{Bohr}_\alpha\big(G_0^{\downarrow}\big) \subseteq \operatorname{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\operatorname{Spec}_t(h_{\varrho,r})\big) \subseteq B_\varrho(4r)\,.$$

As $\varrho$ and $r$ were arbitrary, this is enough to establish the statement.

*Remark.* In fact the subgroup $G_{\mathrm{f}}$ plays no role in the above statement nor in its proof. The only thing we need to assume is the existence of an internal set $A$ subject to $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G$ such that $|A|/|B| < \infty$ for each internal $B$ such that $G_0 \subseteq B \subseteq A$.

Having $d$ as a normalizing multiplier for the triplet $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$, then, in order to have the Fourier inversion formula and Plancherel identity, we have to normalize the inner product, Fourier transform, etc., on the space ${}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ of internal functions $\widehat{G} \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$, defined on the internal dual group $\widehat{G}$, by means of the scaling coefficient

$$\hat{d} = \frac{1}{d\,|G|}\,.$$

In view of the canonic isomorphism of the observable trace $\widehat{G}^\flat = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}$ and the dual group $\widehat{G^\flat}$, there naturally arises the question whether $\hat{d}$ is a normalizing multiplier for the dual triplet $\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow}\big)$. In that case (and only in that case) the measure $\boldsymbol{m}_{\hat{d}}$, obtained by pushing down the Loeb measure $\lambda_{\hat{d}}$ from $\widehat{G}$ to the observable trace $\widehat{G}^\flat \cong \widehat{G^\flat}$, will be a Haar measure on $\widehat{G}^\flat$. The second of Gordon's conjectures states that the response to the above question is affirmative.

As a typical normalizing multiplier for $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ has the form $d = 1/\,|D|$ for some internal set $D$ between $G_0$, $G_{\mathrm{f}}$, in order to establish Gordon's Conjecture 2 it suffices to find such a $D$ and an internal set $\Gamma$ between $G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}$ and $G_0^{\downarrow}$ such that the quotient $|D|\,|\Gamma|\,/|G|$ is neither infinite nor infinitesimal.

Starting with any internal valuation $\varrho \in \mathcal{V}$, we have $G_0 \subseteq B_\varrho(r) \subseteq G_\mathrm{f}$ whenever $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, we can take arbitrary standard $\alpha$ and $t$ subject to $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$, $0 < t \le \cos\alpha$. Then the spectral set $\mathit{\Gamma} = \mathrm{Spec}_t(h_{\varrho,r})$ is internal and, by Lemma 2.2.2 and Corollary 2.3.2, it satisfies the inclusions

$$G_\mathrm{f}^{\scriptscriptstyle\downarrow} \subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(B_\varrho(r)\big) \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}_t(h_{\varrho,r}) \subseteq G_0^{\scriptscriptstyle\downarrow}\,.$$

Denoting $f = h_{\varrho,r}$, $D = B_\varrho(2r)$, we have $\mathrm{supp}(f * f) \subseteq D \subseteq G_\mathrm{f}$, and multiplying the inequalities in Proposition 2.2.4 by the factor $\|1_D\|_2^2 = |D|/|G|$ we get

$$1 - t^2\,\frac{\|f\|_2^2\,\|1_D\|_2^2}{\|f\|_1^2} \le \frac{|D|\,|\mathrm{Spec}_t(f)|}{|G|} \le \frac{1}{t^2}\,\frac{\|f\|_2^2\,\|1_D\|_2^2}{\|f\|_1^2}\,.$$

As

$$\frac{\|f\|_2^2\,\|1_D\|_2^2}{\|f\|_1^2} \le \frac{|B_\varrho(r)|\,|B_\varrho(2r)|}{\big(\frac{1}{2}|B_\varrho(r/2)|\big)^2} < \infty\,,$$

the upper bound is finite for any standard $t > 0$. For the same reason, it is possible to find a standard $t$, such that $0 < t \le \cos\alpha$, and making the lower bound positive and noninfinitesimal. Thus we have proved the following:

**2.3.4. Theorem. [Gordon's Conjecture 2]** *Let $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ be a condensing IMG group triplet with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$. If $d$ is a normalizing multiplier for $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$, then $\hat{d} = 1/d\,|G|$ is a normalizing multiplier for the dual triplet $\big(\widehat{G}, G_\mathrm{f}^{\scriptscriptstyle\downarrow}, G_0^{\scriptscriptstyle\downarrow}\big)$. In particular, if $D$ is an internal set such that $G_0 \subseteq D \subseteq G_\mathrm{f}$, then $1/|D|$ is a normalizing multiplier for $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ and $|D|/|G|$ is a normalizing multiplier for $\big(\widehat{G}, G_\mathrm{f}^{\scriptscriptstyle\downarrow}, G_0^{\scriptscriptstyle\downarrow}\big)$.*

*Remark.* Gordon's Conjectures 1 and 2 were proved in [Go1] for condensing IMG group triplets $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$ in case that $G_0$ is an intersection and $G_\mathrm{f}$ is a union of countably many internal sets, and there is an internal subgroup $K \subseteq G$ such that $G_0 \subseteq K \subseteq G_\mathrm{f}$. These assumptions mean that the observable trace $\mathbf{G} = G_\mathrm{f}/G_0$ is metrizable and $\sigma$-compact and contains a compact open subgroup. They particularly cover the cases of internal $G_0$ or $G_\mathrm{f}$, corresponding to discrete countable or metrizable compact $\mathbf{G}$, respectively. Using these results the *existence* of a triplet $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ satisfying both the Conjectures with observable trace $G_\mathrm{f}/G_0 \cong \mathbf{G}$ was proved in [Go2] for any metrizable $\sigma$-compact abelian group $\mathbf{G}$.

## 2.4. Some (mainly) standard equivalents: Hrushovski style theorems

In this section we list some direct standard consequences (in fact, equivalents) of Theorem 2.1.4 and Proposition 2.3.3. The formulations of 2.4.2 and 2.4.3 below, to some extent, remind of the formulation of Hrushovski's structure theorem [Hr, Theorem 1.1, Corollaries 4.13 and 4.15] (see also [BGT, Theorem 6.18]). As both the implications 2.1.4 $\Rightarrow$ 2.4.2 and 2.3.3 $\Rightarrow$ 2.4.3 can be proved using rather a similar way of argumentation, we give just the proof of the former (which is technically more complicated), introducing an additional nonstandard equivalent 2.4.1.

In what follows the expression $[A : B]$ denotes any of the indices $\lfloor A : B \rfloor$, $\lfloor A : B \rfloor_\mathrm{i}$, $\lceil A : B \rceil$, introduced in Section 1.2, or the quotient $|A|/|B|$ (see also Proposition 1.5.5).

As we will show soon (and some perhaps will see right away), Gordon's Conjecture 1 (Theorem 2.1.4) is equivalent to a kind of *stability principle* for characters of hyperfinite abelian groups.

Let $G$ be an internal abelian group and $X$ be a (not necessarily internal) subset of $G$. Two internal mappings $f\colon C \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$, $g\colon D \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$, defined on sets $C, D \subseteq G$, are said to be *infinitesimally close on the set* $X$ if $X \subseteq C \cap D$ and $f(x) \approx g(x)$ for all $x \in X$. We say that $g$ is *almost homomorphic on the set* $X$ if $X \subseteq D$ and, for all $x, y \in X$, $x + y \in X$ implies

$$g(x + y) \approx g(x)\, g(y)\,.$$

**2.4.1. Theorem.** *Let $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ be a condensing IMG group triplet with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$, and $g\colon D \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$ be an internal mapping, where $G_{\mathrm{f}} \subseteq D \subseteq G$. If $g$ is $S$-continuous and almost homomorphic on $G_{\mathrm{f}}$, then there exists an internal $S$-continuous character $\gamma\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$, i.e., $\gamma \in G_0^{\perp}$, such that $g$ and $\gamma$ are infinitesimally close on $G_{\mathrm{f}}$.*

Due to *saturation*, if $g$ is almost homomorphic on $G_{\mathrm{f}}$, then it must be almost homomorphic on some symmetric internal set $C$ such that $G_{\mathrm{f}} \subseteq C \subseteq D$; similarly, if $g$ and $\gamma$ are infinitesimally close on $G_{\mathrm{f}}$, then they must be infinitesimally close on some symmetric internal set between $G_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $D$.

The reformulation of 2.4.1 in standard terms is a highly uniform, but rather cumbersome, *stability principle* for characters of finite abelian groups. For the sake of its formulation, as well as of the proof of the equivalence of 2.1.4, 2.4.1 and 2.4.2, we have to introduce some further notions.

Let $G$ be an abelian group, and $\varepsilon > 0$ be a (standard) real. Two mappings $f\colon C \to \mathbb{T}$, $g\colon D \to \mathbb{T}$, defined on subsets $C, D \subseteq G$, are said to be *$\varepsilon$-close on the set* $X \subseteq C \cap D$ if

$$\left|\arg \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right| \leq \varepsilon\,,$$

for all $x \in X$. We say that $g$ is *$\varepsilon$-homomorphic on the set* $X \subseteq D$ if for all $x, y \in X$ the condition $x + y \in X$ implies

$$\left|\arg \frac{g(x)\, g(y)}{g(x + y)}\right| \leq \varepsilon\,.$$

Finally, $g$ is called a *partial $\varepsilon$-homomorphism* if it is $\varepsilon$-homomorphic on its domain $D$.

**2.4.2. Theorem.** *Let $\alpha, \varepsilon \in (0, 2\pi/3)$, $k \geq 1$ and $(q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be any sequence of reals $q_j \geq 1$. Then there exist $m \geq 1$, $n \geq k$ and a $\delta > 0$, all depending just on $\alpha, \varepsilon$, $k$ and the sequence $(q_j)$, such that the following holds:*

*Let $G$ be a finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ be symmetric sets such that*

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad [A_{j-1} : A_j] \leq q_j\,,$$

*for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then, for every partial $\delta$-homomorphism $g\colon mA_0 \to \mathbb{T}$ such that $|\arg g(x)| \leq \alpha$ for $x \in A_k$, there exists a homomorphism $\gamma\colon G \to \mathbb{T}$ such that $g$ and $\gamma$ are $\varepsilon$-close on $A_0$.*

*Proof of* 2.1.4 $\Rightarrow$ 2.4.2. Assume that 2.1.4 holds and 2.4.2 is not true. Then we can fix some $\alpha$, $\varepsilon$, $k$ and a sequence $(q_j)$ witnessing a counterexample. Let $(\delta_n)$ be any strictly decreasing sequence such that $\delta_n \to 0$. Then for all $m = n \geq k$ there exist a finite abelian group $G_n$ and symmetric sets $0 \in A_{n,n} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_{n,1} \subseteq A_{n,0} \subseteq G_n$ such that

$$A_{n,j} + A_{n,j} \subseteq A_{n,j-1}\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad [A_{n,j-1} : A_{n,j}] \leq q_j\,,$$

for $1 \le j \le n$, as well as a partial $\delta_n$-homomorphism $g_n\colon nA_{n,0} \to \mathbb{T}$ such that $|\arg g_n(x)| \le \alpha$ for $x \in A_{n,k}$. On the other hand, for every genuine homomorphism $\gamma_n\colon G_n \to \mathbb{T}$, there is an $x_n \in A_{n,0}$ such that

$$\left|\arg \frac{\gamma_n(x_n)}{g_n(x_n)}\right| > \varepsilon\,.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be any nontrivial ultrafilter on the set $I = \{n \in \mathbb{N};\, n \ge k\}$. Then the ultraproduct $G = \prod_{n\in I} G_n/\mathcal{D}$ of the finite groups $G_n$ is a hyperfinite abelian group. For each $n \in I$, $n < j$, we put $A_{n,j} = \{0\}$ and take the ultraproduct $A_j = \prod_{n\in I} A_{n,j}/\mathcal{D}$ considered as an internal subset of $G$. Finally we put

$$G_0 = \bigcap_{j\in\mathbb{N}} A_j\,, \qquad\qquad G_{\mathrm{f}} = \bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} jA_0\,.$$

Then $G_0$, as an intersection of countably many internal sets, is a monadic subgroup of $G$ and $G_{\mathrm{f}}$, as a union of countably many internal sets, is a galactic subgroup of $G$. Obviously, $G_0 \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$. By Łos' theorem, $[A_{j-1} : A_j] \le q_j$, as well as $[jA_0 : A_1] \le q_1^j$ for each $j \ge 1$. It follows that $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ is a condensing IMG triplet with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$.

Let us form the internal mapping $g = (g_n)_{n\in I}/\mathcal{D}$. Then, for each $n$, $g$ is a partial $\delta_n$-homomorphisms from the internal set $\prod_{n\in I} nA_{n,0}/\mathcal{D} \supseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$ to the ultrapower group ${}^*\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}^I/\mathcal{D}$, which maps the set $A_k$ into the arc $\{c \in {}^*\mathbb{T};\, |\arg c| \le \alpha\}$. Thus $g \restriction G_{\mathrm{f}}$ is an $S$-continuous almost homomorphism and $g^\flat = {}^\circ(f \restriction G_{\mathrm{f}})$ is a continuous character of the LCA group $G^\flat = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$. By Theorem 2.1.4, there is an internal character $\gamma = (\gamma_n)/\mathcal{D} \in G_0^{\downarrow}$ such that $g(x) \approx \gamma(x)$ for each $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}$. On the other hand, there is a set $J \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\gamma_n \in \widehat{G}_n$ for all $n \in J$. By our assumptions, for each $n \in J$, there is an $x_n \in A_{n,0}$ such that $\bigl|\arg\bigl(\gamma_n(x_n)/g_n(x_n)\bigr)\bigr| > \varepsilon$. Let $x_n = 0$ for $n \in I \smallsetminus J$. Then, for $x = (x_n)/\mathcal{D} \in A_0 \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$, we have $g(x) \not\approx \gamma(x)$ — a contradiction.

*Remark.* Concerning $m$, $n$ the result is purely existential, giving no upper bound for them (though we can always have $m = n$). On the other hand, it seems rather probable that even a more uniform version of Theorem 2.4.2 is true. We conjecture that, similarly as in [MZ, Theorem 4.1], one can take any $\delta > 0$, such that $\delta < \min\{\varepsilon, \pi/2, 2\pi/3 - \alpha\}$, and choose $m$, $n$ depending additionally on $\delta$.

*Proof of* 2.4.2 $\Rightarrow$ 2.4.1. Let $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ be a condensing IMG group triplet with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$, $D$ be an internal set such that $G_{\mathrm{f}} \subseteq D \subseteq G$ and $g\colon D \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$ be an internal mapping, $S$-continuous and almost homomorphic on $G_{\mathrm{f}}$. We can also assume that $g(0) = 1$. In particular, $g$ is continuous in 0, hence fixing a standard $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$, there is a symmetric internal set $V$ between $G_0$ and $G_{\mathrm{f}}$ such that $|\arg g(x)| \le \alpha$ for $x \in V$. Let us choose, additionally, a $\beta \in (\alpha, 2\pi/3)$.

Now, it is enough to show that, for each symmetric internal set $A$ such that $V + V \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$, and each standard $\varepsilon > 0$, such that $\alpha + \varepsilon \le \beta$, there is a $\gamma \in \mathrm{Bohr}_\beta(V)$ such that

$$\left|\arg \frac{g(x)}{\gamma(x)}\right| \le \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in A$. Due to *saturation*, there is then a $\gamma \in \mathrm{Bohr}_\beta(V) \subseteq G_0^{\downarrow}$ such that $\gamma(x) \approx g(x)$ for all $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}$, i.e., $\gamma$ and $g$ will be infinitesimally close on $G_{\mathrm{f}}$.

So let us fix some $A$, $V$, $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\varepsilon$, satisfying the above assumptions. Then there is a sequence of symmetric internal sets $(A_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $A_0 = A$, $A_1 = V$ and $A_{j+1} + A_{j+1} \subseteq A_j \supseteq G_0$ for each $j$. Put $q_j = [A_{j-1} : A_j]$ for $1 \leq j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m$, $n$ and $\delta$ be the numbers guaranteed to $\alpha$, $\varepsilon$, $k = 1$ and the sequence $(q_j)$ by Theorem 2.4.2. By the *transfer principle* they have to work for the hyperfinite abelian group $G$ and the internal sets $A_0, \ldots, A_n$, as well. Since $g$ is almost homomorphic on $G_{\mathrm{f}}$, the more it is $\delta$-homomorphic on $mA_0 \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$. It follows that there is an internal character $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ such that $\bigl|\arg g(x)\gamma(x)^{-1}\bigr| \leq \varepsilon$ for $x \in A_0 = A$. Obviously, $|\arg\gamma(x)| \leq \alpha + \varepsilon \leq \beta$ for $x \in A_1 = V$, hence $\gamma \in \mathrm{Bohr}_\beta(V) \subseteq G_0^{\curlywedge}$.

Let us close the circle by proving that Theorem 2.4.1 implies Gordon's Conjecture 1 (Theorem 2.1.4), i.e., the surjectivity of the canonic embedding $\widehat{G^\flat} \to \widehat{G^\flat}$. In view of Proposition 1.2.3, this implication is plain.

*Proof of* 2.4.1 $\Rightarrow$ 2.1.4. Let $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ be a condensing IMG group triplet with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$; let $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ denote its observable trace. Assume that $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\colon \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{T}$ is a continuous character of $\mathbf{G}$. By Proposition 1.2.3, there is an internal mapping $g\colon D \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$ such that $G_{\mathrm{f}} \subseteq D \subseteq G$, and

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}\bigl(x^\flat\bigr) = {}^\circ g(x)\,,$$

for all $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}$. Then

$$g(x+y) \approx \boldsymbol{\gamma}\bigl((x+y)^\flat\bigr) = \boldsymbol{\gamma}\bigl(x^\flat + y^\flat\bigr) = \boldsymbol{\gamma}\bigl(x^\flat\bigr)\,\boldsymbol{\gamma}\bigl(y^\flat\bigr) \approx g(x)\,g(y)\,,$$

for all $x, y \in G_{\mathrm{f}}$, i.e., $g$ is almost homomorphic on $G_{\mathrm{f}}$. As $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is continuous, $g$ is $S$-continuous on $G_{\mathrm{f}}$. By 2.4.1, there is a $\gamma \in G_0^{\curlywedge}$ such that

$$\gamma(x) \approx g(x) \approx \boldsymbol{\gamma}\bigl(x^\flat\bigr)\,,$$

for each $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}$, i.e., $\gamma^\flat = \boldsymbol{\gamma}$.

A brief inspection of the above proofs is instructive. In order to derive 2.4.2 from 2.1.4 it suffices to assume that Gordon's Conjecture 1 is true just for condensing IMG group triplets $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ with hyperfinite $G$ (in some $\omega_1$-saturated nonstandard universe), such that $G_0$ is an intersection and $G_{\mathrm{f}}$ is a union of *countably many* internal sets. This was indeed Gordon's original formulation of his conjecture in [Go1]. Conversely, in order to prove 2.4.1 (from which 2.1.4 easily follows by the virtue of 1.2.3) as a consequence of 2.4.2, it is enough to suppose that the latter is true for a single fixed $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $k = 1$, only.

Proposition 2.3.3, forming the essential part of the Triplet Duality Theorem 2.1.5, can be restated in standard terms as follows:

**2.4.3. Theorem.** *Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $(q_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ be any sequence of reals $q_j \geq 1$. Then there exist an $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on $\alpha$, $\beta$ and the sequence $(q_j)$, such that the following holds:*

*Let $G$ be a finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ be symmetric sets such that*

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad [A_{j-1} : A_j] \leq q_j\,,$$

*for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then $\mathrm{Bohr}_\beta(\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(A_n)) \subseteq A_0$.*

Theorem 2.4.3 can be derived from Proposition 2.3.3 using the ultraproduct construction, similarly as (and more easily than) the implication 2.1.4 $\Rightarrow$ 2.4.2. To this end it would be enough to assume that 2.3.3 is true just in case when $G_0$ is an intersection of a countable family of internal subsets of $G$, again. On the other hand, in order to derive 2.3.3 from 2.4.3, it would suffice to suppose that the latter is true for one fixed couple $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$, only.

*Remark.* Theorem 2.4.1 still holds for condensing IMG group triplets $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ with an arbitrary *internal* abelian ambient group $G$, and not just a hyperfinite one. Moreover, most of the results of Sections 2.1 and 2.3 admit analogous generalizations. Similarly, both Theorems 2.4.2 and 2.4.3 (and maybe even the strengthening of 2.4.2 mentioned in the Remark following the proof of 2.4.1 $\Rightarrow$ 2.4.2) remain true when replacing *"Let $G$ be a finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ be symmetric sets ... "* by *"Let $G$ be a Hausdorff LCA group and $A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0$ be compact symmetric neighborhoods of $0$ in $G$ ... "* (without changing the rest) in their formulation.

In order to prove all that, it would be necessary (and sufficient) to deal with condensing IMG triplets of the form $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$, where $G$ is an arbitrary internal Hausdorff LCA group (i.e., a $^*$(Hausdorff LCA) group), and define their dual triplets $\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow}\big)$, with $\widehat{G}$ denoting the internal dual group of $G$, as well as to generalize the results of Section 2.2 from finite abelian groups to arbitrary Hausdorff LCA groups. That, however, though possible and — as we shall see in the next section — unavoidable to some extent, would be at odds with the leading intentions of the present paper, namely to study the LCA groups and the Fourier transform on functional spaces over them by means of their approximations by (hyper)finite abelian groups and the discrete Fourier transform on them.

That way generalized Theorem 2.4.3 can be schematically written as follows:

$$(\forall\, \alpha, \beta)(\forall\, q_1, \ldots, q_j, \ldots)(\exists\, n)(\forall\, G)(\forall\, A_0, A_1 \ldots, A_n)\big[(\forall\, j \leq n)(\ldots) \;\Rightarrow\; \ldots\big]\,.$$

However, from the Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality Theorem it follows, only,

$$(\forall\, \alpha, \beta)(\forall\, q_1, \ldots, q_j, \ldots)(\forall\, G)(\forall\, A_0, A_1 \ldots, A_j, \ldots)\big[(\forall\, j)(\ldots) \;\Rightarrow\; (\exists\, n)(\ldots)\big]\,.$$

In other words, such a generalization of 2.4.3 adds a considerable uniformness to the above consequence of the Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality Theorem.

Analogous remarks could be made on behalf of the indicated generalizations of Theorems 2.4.1 and 2.4.2 and their relation to some standardly formulated stability results for characters of LCA groups with respect to the compact-open topology from [MZ] and [Zl2]. However, the big amount of technicalities needed for their formulation and comparison would take us too far from the main lines and goals of the present paper.

### 2.5. Simultaneous approximation of an LCA group and its dual

Now, we are going to apply the results of the previous sections to triplets arising from HFI approximations of LCA groups. One can expect that an HFI approximation $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ of an LCA group $\mathbf{G}$ gives rise to an HFI approximation $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ of the dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$. This is true in some sense, however, in general, the connection between these two approximations is not as straightforward as one wished. Some of the material of this section is partly covered in the Introduction and §2.4 of Gordon's book [Go2].

As we will be dealing with plenty of more or less canonical isomorphisms of topological groups, first we have to make clear which of them we intend to exploit for identification of the isomorphic objects, and which of them we still view as isomorphisms of different objects.

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a Hausdorff LCA group, viewed as a subgroup of its nonstandard extension ${}^*\mathbf{G}$. Let us denote

$$\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Mon}(0) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Ns}({}^*\mathbf{G})\,.$$

Then $\big({}^*\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}\big)$ is a condensing IMG group triplet with internal abelian ambient group ${}^*\mathbf{G}$. We identify the canonically isomorphic LCA groups $\mathbf{G}$ and the observable trace $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}$ of this triplet, as well as the standard part map $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto {}^\circ\boldsymbol{x}\colon \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{G}$ and the observable trace map $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \boldsymbol{x}^\flat\colon \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}$.

Similar but less straightforward identification applies also to the dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$. More specifically, due to the *transfer principle*, the nonstandard extension ${}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ of the dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ coincides with the internal dual group ${}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ of ${}^*\mathbf{G}$, consisting of all internal *continuous characters $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\colon {}^*\mathbf{G} \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$. However, the elementary embedding $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ sends a character $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\colon \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{T}$ not to itself but to its nonstandard extension ${}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma}\colon {}^*\mathbf{G} \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$. Putting

$$\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \mathrm{Mon}(1_{{}^*\mathbf{G}})\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \mathrm{Ns}\big({}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)\,,$$

one can easily realize that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}^{\perp} &= \big\{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}};\ (\forall\, \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G})(\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx 1)\big\}\,,\\
\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}^{\perp} &= \big\{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}};\ (\forall\, \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbf{G})(\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx 1)\big\}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

so that the condensing IMG group triplet $\big({}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}, \mathbb{I}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}, \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$ coincides with the dual triplet $\big({}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}, \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}^{\perp}, \mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}^{\perp}\big)$ of $({}^*\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G})$. We identify the isomorphic groups $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ and $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}/\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, as well as the standard part map $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mapsto \mathrm{st} \circ \boldsymbol{\gamma} \restriction \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}\colon \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ and the observable trace map $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\gamma}^\flat\colon \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}} \to \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}/\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, again.

Now assume that $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$ is an HFI approximation of $\mathbf{G}$ by a hyperfinite abelian group $G$ and $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ is the condensing IMG group triplet arising from this approximation, i.e.,

$$G_0 = \eta^{-1}[\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}] \qquad \text{and} \qquad G_{\mathrm{f}} = \eta^{-1}[\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}]\,.$$

Then $\eta\colon (G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}}) \to \big({}^*\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}\big)$ is a triplet isomorphism, and its observable trace $\boldsymbol{\eta} = \eta^\flat\colon G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0 \to \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}$ becomes an isomorphism between the two representations of the original LCA group $\mathbf{G}$ as the observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ and the nonstandard hull $\mathbf{G} \cong \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}$.

Let us form also the dual triplet $\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp}\big)$. Making use of the canonical isomorphism $G_0^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp} \to \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0}$ from Theorem 2.1.4 we identify the dual group $\widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0}$ of the observable trace $G^\flat = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ and the observable trace $\widehat{G}^\flat = G_0^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}$ of the dual triplet $\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp}\big)$.

Keeping in mind the just introduced identifications and applying the duality functor to the isomorphism $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{-1}\colon \mathbf{G} \to G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of LCA groups, we obtain the isomorphism $\boldsymbol{\phi}\colon G_0^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ between their duals, given by

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \boldsymbol{\eta}^{-1}\,,$$

for $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}$.

By Corollary 1.2.4, any isomorphism $\boldsymbol{\phi}\colon G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow} \to \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}/\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ between the two representations of the dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} \cong G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} \cong \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}/\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is the observable trace of some internal mapping $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$. This is to say that $\phi\colon \big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow}\big) \to \big({}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}, \mathbb{I}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}, \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$ is a triplet isomorphism and

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}\big(\gamma^{\flat}\big) = \gamma^{\flat} \circ \boldsymbol{\eta}^{-1} = \phi(\gamma)^{\flat}\,,$$

for $\gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}$. Then $\phi$ necessarily is almost homomorphic on $G_0^{\downarrow}$, and we have

$$G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow} = \phi^{-1}\big[\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big] \qquad \text{and} \qquad G_0^{\downarrow} = \phi^{-1}\big[\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big]\,,$$

so that $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is an HFI approximation of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ and $\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow}\big)$ is the IMG group triplet arising from this approximation. In the particular case of $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ given by the assignment $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \boldsymbol{\eta}^{-1}$, as above, we finally have

$$(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,x) \approx \big(\boldsymbol{\phi}\,\gamma^{\flat}\big)\big(\boldsymbol{\eta}\,x^{\flat}\big) = \big(\gamma^{\flat} \circ \boldsymbol{\eta}^{-1}\big)\big(\boldsymbol{\eta}\,x^{\flat}\big) = \gamma^{\flat}\big(x^{\flat}\big) \approx \gamma(x)\,,$$

for any $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}$, $\gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}$.

*Remark.* Though this is not an essential point, in view of Corollary 1.5.11 we can assume, for convenience' sake, that the approximation $\eta$ is injective and preserves 0 and inverses. Then there is some internal, necessarily surjective mapping $\eta'\colon {}^*\mathbf{G} \to G$ such that $\eta' \circ \eta = \mathrm{Id}_G$, $\boldsymbol{x} \approx (\eta \circ \eta')(\boldsymbol{x})$, for $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}$, and $\eta'$ preserves 0 and inverses, as well. Now, it is natural to define the mapping $\phi$ by the assignment $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \circ \eta'$, for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$. Such a $\phi$ would be injective and strictly preserving the pointwise multiplication of functions (hence the trivial character $1_G$ and pointwise inverses, too). Unfortunately, this natural attempt does not work. The reason is that $\eta$, $\eta'$, in spite of being almost homomorphic on $G_{\mathrm{f}}$, $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}$, respectively, are not genuine homomorphisms, in general. Hence the mapping $\gamma \circ \eta'$ (though preserving 0 and inverses) would be just almost homomorphic on $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}$, again, and one cannot assure that $\gamma \circ \eta' \in {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$. Thus there seems to be no canonic way how to determine the HFI approximation $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ right away from the HFI approximation $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$ of $\mathbf{G}$.

On the other hand, for each $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, the composition ${}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$ is almost homomorphic on $G_{\mathrm{f}}$ (in fact, it is an $S$-continuous lifting of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$). By Theorem 2.1.4, there is a genuine homomorphism $\gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow} \subseteq \widehat{G}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = ({}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \eta)^{\flat} = \gamma^{\flat}$, more precisely,

$$({}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \eta)(x) \approx \gamma(x)$$

for each $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}$. Though ${}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \eta \notin \widehat{G}$, in general, we shall see in Section 3.3 that it can be used directly instead of the genuine homomorphism $\gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}$ in approximation of the Fourier transform on $\mathbf{G}$ by means of the inner product on the hyperfinite dimensional unitary space ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$.

Now assume that $G$ and $H$ are hyperfinite abelian groups, $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$ is an HFI approximation of the Hausdorff LCA group $\mathbf{G}$ and $\phi\colon H \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is an HFI approximation of its dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$. Let $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ and $(H, H_0, H_{\mathrm{f}})$ be the condensing IMG triplets arising from these approximations, i.e.,

$$G_0 = \eta^{-1}[\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}]\,, \qquad G_{\mathrm{f}} = \eta^{-1}[\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}]\,,$$
$$H_0 = \phi^{-1}\big[\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big]\,, \qquad H_{\mathrm{f}} = \phi^{-1}\big[\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big]\,.$$

Following Gordon [Go2, p. 148] we say that the approximations $\eta$ and $\phi$ are *dual* to each other or that they form an *adjoint pair* if $H = \widehat{G}$, $H_0 = G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}$, $H_{\mathrm{f}} = G_0^{\perp}$, i.e., if the triplet $(H, H_0, H_{\mathrm{f}})$ coincides with the dual triplet $\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp}\big)$ of $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$, and

$$(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,x) \approx \gamma(x)$$

holds for all $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}$, $\gamma \in H_{\mathrm{f}}$. Inspecting our accounts and making use of the notions just introduced, we find that we have proved the following results.

**2.5.1. Theorem.** *Let $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ be an IMG group triplet with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$, arising from an HFI approximation $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$ of the Hausdorff LCA group $\mathbf{G}$, and the isomorphism $\boldsymbol{\eta} : G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0 \to \mathbf{G}$ of LCA groups be the observable trace of $\eta$. Let further $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ be an HFI approximation of the dual LCA group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ such that its observable trace $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \phi^{\flat}\colon G_0^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is the dual isomorphism corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{-1}\colon \mathbf{G} \to G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$. Then the HFI approximations $\eta$ and $\phi$ are dual to each other.*

**2.5.2. Corollary. [Adjoint Hyperfnite LCA Group Approximation Theorem]** *To each HFI approximation $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$ of a Hausdorff LCA group $\mathbf{G}$ by a hyperfinite abelian group $G$ there exists a dual HFI approximation $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ of the dual LCA group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ by the dual hyperfinite abelian group $\widehat{G}$.*

On the other hand, even if $\eta$ is injective, our accounts, so far, are not sufficient to establish the analogous property for $\phi$.

It is worthwhile to realize that the conditions defining the notion of an adjoint pair of HFI approximations are redundant to some extent. Here is a more detailed account of their relation.

**2.5.3. Lemma.** *Let $G$ be a hyperfinite abelian group, $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ be HFI approximations of the Hausdorff LCA groups $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively, and $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$, $\big(\widehat{G}, H_0, H_{\mathrm{f}}\big)$ be the condensing IMG triplets arising from these approximations, such that*

$$(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,x) \approx \gamma(x)$$

*for all $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}$, $\gamma \in H_{\mathrm{f}}$. Then, as consequence,*

*(a) the inclusions $H_{\mathrm{f}} \subseteq G_0^{\perp}$, $G_{\mathrm{f}} \subseteq H_0^{\perp}$, $G_0 \subseteq H_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}$, and $H_0 \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}$ are satisfied;*

*(b) any two of the reverse inclusions $G_0^{\perp} \subseteq H_{\mathrm{f}}$, $H_0^{\perp} \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$, $H_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp} \subseteq G_0$, and $G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp} \subseteq H_0$ are equivalent.*

*Proof.* (a) In order to prove the first inclusion, take any $\gamma \in H_{\mathrm{f}}$. As $H_{\mathrm{f}} = \phi^{-1}\big[\mathbb{F}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big]$ and $\mathbb{F}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \mathbb{I}^*\mathbf{G}^{\perp}$, we have $(\phi\,\gamma)(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx 1$ for each $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{I}^*\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Mon}(0)$. Since $G_0 = \eta^{-1}[\mathbb{I}^*\mathbf{G}]$, this implies $(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,x) \approx 1$ for each $x \in G_0$. From $G_0 \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$ we obtain

$$\gamma(x) \approx (\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,x) \approx 1\,,$$

hence $\gamma \in G_0^{\perp}$. From $H_{\mathrm{f}} \subseteq G_0^{\perp}$ we readily get $G_0 \subseteq G_0^{\perp\perp} \subseteq H_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}$. The third and the forth inclusion now follow by the symmetry of the situation.

(b) For brevity's sake let us number the inclusions consecutively from (i) to (iv). First we prove (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Assume that $G_0^{\perp} \subseteq H_{\mathrm{f}}$ and take any $\gamma \in G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp} \subseteq G_0^{\perp} \subseteq H_{\mathrm{f}}$. Then $\phi(\gamma) \in \mathbb{F}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \mathbb{I}^*\mathbf{G}^{\perp}$, i.e., $\phi(\gamma)$ is $S$-continuous. As $H_0 = \phi^{-1}\big[\mathbb{I}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big]$ and $\mathbb{I}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{G}^{\perp}$,

in order to establish that $\gamma \in H_0$, we are to show that $(\phi\,\gamma)(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx 1$ for each $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Ns}(^*\mathbf{G})$. Since there is an $x \in G_\mathrm{f}$ such that $\boldsymbol{x} \approx \eta(x)$, we have indeed

$$(\phi\,\gamma)(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx (\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,x) \approx \gamma(x) \approx 1\,.$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) can be proved analogously by a symmetry argument.

Next we show (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). From $G_\mathrm{f}^{\downarrow} \subseteq H_0$ we get $H_0^{\downarrow} \subseteq G_\mathrm{f}^{\downarrow\downarrow} = G_\mathrm{f}$ by the Triplet Duality Theorem 2.1.5 (see also Lemma 2.1.7). By symmetry we have (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), as well, closing the circle of implications.

In other words, under the assumptions of the Lemma, the HFI approximations $\eta$ and $\phi$ form an adjoint pair if and only if anyone (hence all) of the inclusions from (b) is true. We are inclining to consider the first inclusion $G_0^{\downarrow} \subseteq H_\mathrm{f}$ from (b) as intuitively the most appealing and fundamental one. In "unzipped form" it states that, for all $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$,

$$(\forall\, x \in G_0)\big(\gamma(x) \approx 1\big) \;\Rightarrow\; (\forall\, \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{I}^*\mathbf{G})\big((\phi\,\gamma)(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx 1\big)\,,$$

and, as $\gamma(x) \approx (\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,x)$, it is equivalent to

$$\big(\forall\, \boldsymbol{x} \in \eta[G_0]\big)\big((\phi\,\gamma)(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx 1\big) \;\Rightarrow\; (\forall\, \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{I}^*\mathbf{G})\big((\phi\,\gamma)(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx 1\big)\,.$$

The remaining inclusions in (b) can be "unzipped" in similar way.

The standard meaning of the above accounts and results can be formulated in terms of approximating systems. The equivalence of both formulations could be established by referring to Nelson's translation algorithm [Ne]. However, this would obscure some connections — that's why we offer a more detailed exposition, revealing some insights.

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a Hausdorff LCA group, $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{\Gamma} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ be compact sets, and $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{\Omega} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ be neighborhoods of the neutral elements in $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively. Let further $0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3$. Then the pairs $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ are called *$\alpha$-adjoint* if

$$\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{\Gamma}) \subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{\Omega}) \subseteq \mathbf{K}\,,$$
$$\mathbf{\Omega} \subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{K}) \subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{U}) \subseteq \mathbf{\Gamma}\,.$$

The reader should notice that in such a case we necessarily have $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{U})$ and $\mathbf{K} = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{\Omega})$, so that the $\alpha$-adjoint pairs $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ are uniquely determined by their second items $\mathbf{U}$, $\mathbf{\Omega}$. They give rise to a couple of $\alpha$-adjoint pairs if and only if $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{\Omega})$, or, equivalently, $\mathbf{\Omega} \subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{U})$; this is to say that

$$|\arg \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{x})| \leq \alpha$$

for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{U}$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbf{\Omega}$.

Two directed double bases $\big((\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$ in $\mathbf{G}$ and $\big(\mathbf{\Gamma}_i, \mathbf{\Omega}_i)_{i\in I}$ in $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ over an upward directed set $(I, \leq)$ are called *$\alpha$-adjoint* if, for each $i \in I$, the pairs $(\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)$, $(\mathbf{\Gamma}_i, \mathbf{\Omega}_i)$ are $\alpha$-adjoint.

Let us start with the following easy but useful observation.

**2.5.4. Lemma.** *Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a Hausdorff LCA group and $0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3$. Then there exist $\alpha$-adjoint directed double bases $\big((\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$ in $\mathbf{G}$ and $\big(\mathbf{\Gamma}_i, \mathbf{\Omega}_i)_{i\in I}$ in $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ over some upward direct partially ordered set $(I, \leq)$. Besides $\mathbf{\Gamma}_i = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{U}_i)$, $\mathbf{K}_i = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{\Omega}_i)$*

*which are automatically satisfied, one can additionally require that* $\mathbf{U}_i = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{\Gamma}_i)$, $\mathbf{\Omega}_i = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{K}_i)$ *for each* $i \in I$, *as well.*

*Proof.* In view of the Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality Theorem, the statement becomes obvious once we realize that, for each $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and any DD base $\big((\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$ in $\mathbf{G}$, the sets

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_i = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{U}_i)\,, \qquad\qquad \mathbf{\Omega}_i = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{K}_i)$$

form a DD base $\big((\mathbf{\Gamma}_i, \mathbf{\Omega}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$ in $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$.

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a Hausdorff LCA group and $(I, \leq)$ be an upward directed partially ordered set. Assume that, for each $i \in I$, there are finite abelian groups $G_i$, $H_i$, endowed with mappings $\eta_i\colon G_i \to \mathbf{G}$, $\phi_i\colon H_i \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, such that the families $(\eta_i\colon G_i \to \mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$ and $(\phi_i\colon H_i \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}})_{i\in I}$ form approximating systems of the group $\mathbf{G}$ and of its dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively. The two approximating systems are said to be *dual* to each other or to form an *adjoint pair* if $H_i = \widehat{G}_i$, for each $i \in I$, and the following conditions hold:

1. for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for any compact sets $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{\Gamma} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ there exists an $i \in I$ such that
$$\left|\arg \frac{(\phi_j\,\gamma)(\eta_j\,x)}{\gamma(x)}\right| \leq \varepsilon\,,$$
for all $j \geq i$ in $I$ and $x \in \eta_j^{-1}[\mathbf{K}]$, $\gamma \in \phi_j^{-1}[\mathbf{\Gamma}]$.
2. for some (or, equivalently, for each) $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and for every neighborhood $\mathbf{U}$ of 0 in $\mathbf{G}$ there exist a compact set $\mathbf{\Gamma} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ and an $i \in I$ such that, for all $j \geq i$ in $I$,
$$\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta_j^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]\big) \subseteq \phi_j^{-1}[\mathbf{\Gamma}]\,.$$

A closer connection between adjoint pairs of HFI approximations and adjoint pairs of approximating systems can be established by means of the ultraproduct construction. We use essentially the same notation as that fixed prior to Proposition 1.5.8, extending it also to the dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ and its HFI approximation and approximating system. In particular, the front star $^*$ denotes the utrapower of the corresponding object and $G$, $\widehat{G}$ denote the ultraproducts of the finite groups $G_i$, $\widehat{G}_i$, respectively.

**2.5.5. Proposition.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a Hausdorff LCA group,* $0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3$, *and* $\mathcal{D}$ *be an upward directed ultrafilter over an upward directed partially ordered set* $(I, \leq)$. *Let further* $\big((\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$ *and* $\big((\mathbf{\Gamma}_i, \mathbf{\Omega}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$ *be* $\alpha$*-adjoint DD bases of* $\mathbf{G}$ *and* $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, *respectively. Assume that* $(G_i)_{i\in I}$ *is a system of finite abelian groups endowed with maps* $\eta_i\colon G_i \to \mathbf{G}$, *forming a well based approximating system of the group* $\mathbf{G}$ *with respect to the DD base* $\big((\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$, *and maps* $\phi_i\colon \widehat{G}_i \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, *forming a well based approximating system of the dual group* $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ *with respect to the DD base* $\big((\mathbf{\Gamma}_i, \mathbf{\Omega}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$. *Then the following conditions hold true:*

(a) *If the approximating systems* $\big(\eta_i\colon G_i \to \mathbf{G}\big)_{i\in I}$, $\big(\phi_i\colon \widehat{G}_i \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)_{i\in I}$ *form an adjoint pair, then the ultraproduct HFI approximations* $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ *form an adjoint pair.*

(b) *If the ultraproduct HFI approximations* $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ *form an adjoint pair, then there is a function* $\tau\colon I \to I$ *such that* $i \leq \tau(i)$ *for each* $i \in I$, *and the finite approximating systems* $\big(\eta_{\tau(i)}\colon G_{\tau(i)} \to \mathbf{G}\big)_{i\in I}$, $\big(\phi_{\tau(i)}\colon \widehat{G}_{\tau(i)} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$, *well based*

*with respect to the $\alpha$-adjoint DD bases $\big((\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$, $\big((\mathbf{\Gamma}_i, \mathbf{\Omega}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$, respectively, form an adjoint pair.*

*Proof.* Let us form the corresponding IMG group triplets $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$, $\big(\widehat{G}, H_0, H_{\mathrm{f}}\big)$, corresponding to the HFI approximations $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$.

(a) Assume that the approximating systems $(\eta_i)_{i\in I}$, $(\phi_i)_{i\in I}$ form an adjoint pair. Then, as easily seen, condition 1 from the definition of adjoint pair of approximating systems implies the relation $(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,x) \approx \gamma(x)$, for $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}$, $\gamma \in H_{\mathrm{f}}$. Condition 2 implies the inclusion $G_0^{\curlywedge} \subseteq H_{\mathrm{f}}$. The argument can be completed by referring to Lemma 2.5.3.

(b) To avoid trivialities, we assume that $(I, \leq)$ has no biggest element. Hence $I$ is infinite and there is a net $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\in I}$ over $(I, \leq)$, such that $0 < \varepsilon_j \leq \varepsilon_i < 2\pi/3$ for $i \leq j$, converging to 0.

As first we realize that, for each $i \in I$, $\eta$ is a $({}^*\mathbf{K}_i, {}^*\mathbf{U}_i)$ approximation of ${}^*\mathbf{G}$ and $\phi$ is a $({}^*\mathbf{\Gamma}_i, {}^*\mathbf{\Omega}_i)$ approximation of ${}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$. This is to say that there is a set $J_1^i \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\eta_j$ is a $(\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}$ and $\phi_j$ is a $(\mathbf{\Gamma}_i, \mathbf{\Omega}_i)$ approximation of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ for each $j \in J_1^i$.

Second, we have $H_0 = G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\curlywedge}$ and $H_{\mathrm{f}} = G_0^{\curlywedge}$, thus in particular,

$$\bigcup_{i\in I} \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta^{-1}[{}^*\mathbf{U}_i]\big) = G_0^{\curlywedge} \subseteq H_{\mathrm{f}} = \bigcup_{k\in I} \phi^{-1}[{}^*\mathbf{\Gamma}_k]\,.$$

Hence there a function $\sigma\colon I \to I$ such that

$$\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta^{-1}[{}^*\mathbf{U}_i]\big) \subseteq \phi^{-1}[{}^*\mathbf{\Gamma}_k]\,,$$

for each $i \in I$ and $k \geq \sigma(i)$. This means that there is a set $J_2^i \in \mathcal{D}$ such that, for any $i \in I$, $k \geq \sigma(i)$ and $j \in J_2^i$,

$$\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta_j^{-1}[{}^*\mathbf{U}_i]\big) \subseteq \phi_j^{-1}[{}^*\mathbf{\Gamma}_k]\,.$$

Finally, $(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,x) \approx \gamma(x)$, for $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}$, $\gamma \in H_{\mathrm{f}}$. As each of the sets $\mathbf{K}_i \times \mathbf{\Gamma}_i \subseteq \mathbf{G} \times \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is compact, it follows that there is a set $J_3^i \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\left|\arg \frac{(\phi_j\gamma_j)(\eta_j x_j)}{\gamma_j(x_j)}\right| \leq \varepsilon_i\,,$$

for $x = (x_j)_{j\in I}/\mathcal{D} \in \eta^{-1}[{}^*\mathbf{K}_i]$, $\gamma = (\gamma_j)_{j\in I} \in \phi^{-1}[{}^*\mathbf{\Gamma}_i]$, whenever $j \in J_3^i$.

Then the set $J^i = J_1^i \cap J_2^i \cap J_3^i$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}$, hence it is cofinal in $(I, \leq)$. Thus there is a function $\tau\colon I \to I$ such that $\tau(i) \in J^i$, $i \leq \tau(i)$ and $\sigma(i) \leq \tau(i)$, for $i \in I$. It is routine to check that the approximating systems $\big(\eta_{\tau(i)}\colon G_{\tau(i)} \to \mathbf{G}\big)_{i\in I}$, $\big(\phi_{\tau(i)}\colon \widehat{G}_{\tau(i)} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)_{i\in I}$ of the LCA groups $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ form an adjoint pair and they are well based with respect to their $\alpha$-adjoint DD bases $\big((\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$, $\big((\mathbf{\Gamma}_i, \mathbf{\Omega}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$, respectively.

*Remark.* It is clear from the proof that Proposition 2.5.5 would remain true if we changed the definition of dual approximating systems by replacing its second condition by an analogous condition corresponding to any of the three inclusions $H_0^{\curlywedge} \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$, $H_{\mathrm{f}}^{\curlywedge} \subseteq G_0$, $G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\curlywedge} \subseteq H_0$, equivalent to $G_0^{\curlywedge} \subseteq H_{\mathrm{f}}$ by Lemma 2.5.3. The reader is invited to formulate them as an exercise.

Proposition 1.5.7, Theorem 1.5.10, Corollary 2.5.2 and Proposition 2.5.5 yield the following consequence, giving more precision to Theorem 3 stated without proof in the Introduction to [Go2].

**2.5.6. Theorem.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a Hausdorff LCA group,* $0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3$*, and* $\big((\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$*,* $\big((\mathbf{\Gamma}_i, \mathbf{\Omega}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$ *be* $\alpha$*-adjoint DD bases of* $\mathbf{G}$ *and its dual* $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$*, respectively. Then* $\mathbf{G}$*,* $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ *admit an adjoint pair of approximating systems* $(\eta_i\colon G_i \to \mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$*,* $(\phi_i\colon \widehat{G}_i \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}})_{i\in I}$ *by finite abelian groups, well based with respect to these DD bases. Moreover, it is possible to arrange that one of these approximating systems is injective.*

*Proof.* Let $(\eta_i\colon G_i \to \mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$ be an approximating system of $\mathbf{G}$, well base with respect to the DD base $\big((\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$, and $\mathcal{D}$ be an upward directed ultrafilter on $(I, \leq)$. Let us form the ultraproduct HFI approximation $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$. Let $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ be the dual approximation to $\eta$ whose existence is guaranteed by Corollary 2.5.2. Then the approximating systems $\big(\eta_{\tau(i)}\colon G_{\tau(i)} \to \mathbf{G}\big)_{i\in I}$, $\big(\phi_{\tau(i)}\colon \widehat{G}_{\tau(i)} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$, described in Proposition 2.5.5, have all the properties required.

So far, so good. However, it is neither the HFI approximations nor the approximating systems but always a single "sufficiently good" pair of finite approximations which is decisive for applications. Let us examine "how good" adjoint finite approximations can be guaranteed to exist.

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a Hausdorff LCA group and $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha \leq \pi/3$.[5] Let further $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{\Gamma} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ be compact sets and $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{\Omega} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ be neighborhoods of the neutral elements in $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively, such that the pairs $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ are $\alpha$-adjoint. Given a finite abelian group $G$ and mappings $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, we say that $\eta$, $\phi$ form a *strongly* $(\alpha, \varepsilon)$*-adjoint pair approximations* of $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively, with respect to $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ if

$$\left|\arg \frac{(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\, x)}{\gamma(x)}\right| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in \eta^{-1}[\mathbf{K}]$, $\gamma \in \phi^{-1}[\mathbf{\Gamma}]$, and there exist neighborhoods $\mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{U}$, $\mathbf{\Upsilon} \subseteq \mathbf{\Omega}$ of the neutral elements in $0 \in \mathbf{G}$, $1 \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively, satisfying the inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]\big) &\subseteq \phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{V})\big]\,, \\ \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\phi^{-1}[\mathbf{\Omega}]\big) &\subseteq \eta^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{\Upsilon})\big]\,, \end{aligned}$$

such that $\eta$ is a $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V})$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}$ and $\phi$ is a $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Upsilon})$ approximation of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$. We also say that the strong $(\alpha, \varepsilon)$-adjointness of $\eta$, $\psi$ is *witnessed* by the sets $\mathbf{V}$, $\mathbf{\Upsilon}$.

Let us remark that the left hand side expressions denote Bohr sets in the finite abelian groups $\widehat{G}$, $G$, while the right hand ones are Bohr sets in the LCA groups $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, $\mathbf{G}$, respectively.

**2.5.7. Lemma.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$*,* $\alpha$*,* $\varepsilon$*,* $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$*,* $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ *and* $G$ *be as above. Let the mappings* $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$*,* $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ *form a strongly* $(\alpha, \varepsilon)$*-adjoint pair of approximations of* $\mathbf{G}$*,* $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$*, respectively, with respect to* $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$*,* $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$*, witnessed by the sets* $\mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{U}$*,* $\mathbf{\Upsilon} \subseteq \mathbf{\Omega}$*. Then for any sets* $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$*,* $\mathbf{\Delta} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$*, such that* $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$*,* $\mathbf{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbf{\Delta} \subseteq \mathbf{\Gamma}$*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha-\varepsilon}(\mathbf{X})\big] &\subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{X}]\big)\,, \\ \eta^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha-\varepsilon}(\mathbf{\Delta})\big] &\subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\phi^{-1}[\mathbf{\Delta}]\big)\,. \end{aligned}$$

[5]The only reason for this restriction is to guarantee that $\alpha - \varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha + \varepsilon < 2\pi/3$.

*If, additionally,* $\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{V}\subseteq\mathbf{K}$, $\boldsymbol{\Delta}\,\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}\subseteq\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$, *then also*

$$\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha-\varepsilon}\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{V}]\big)\subseteq\phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha+\varepsilon}(\mathbf{X})\big]\,,$$
$$\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha-\varepsilon}\big(\phi^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\Delta}\,\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}]\big)\subseteq\eta^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha+\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\Delta})\big]\,.$$

*Proof.* Let us prove the first inclusion; then the second will follow by a symmetry argument. From $\mathbf{U}\subseteq\mathbf{X}\subseteq\mathbf{K}$ we deduce that

$$\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha-\varepsilon}(\mathbf{X})\subseteq\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha-\varepsilon}(\mathbf{U})\subseteq\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{U})=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\,,$$

hence $\big|\arg\big((\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,x)/\gamma(x)\big)\big|\le\varepsilon$ for all $x\in\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{X}]$, $\gamma\in\phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha-\varepsilon}(\mathbf{X})\big]$, and

$$|\arg\gamma(x)|\le|\arg(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,x)|+\left|\arg\frac{\gamma(x)}{(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,x)}\right|\le(\alpha-\varepsilon)+\varepsilon=\alpha\,.$$

Let us assume that $\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{V}\subseteq\mathbf{K}$ and prove the first inclusion in the "additional" part of the Lemma. The inclusions

$$\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha-\varepsilon}\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{V}]\big)\subseteq\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha}\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]\big)\subseteq\phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{V})\big]$$

show that $|\arg(\phi\,\gamma)(\boldsymbol{v})|\le\varepsilon$ for any $\gamma\in\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha-\varepsilon}\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{V}]\big)$, $\boldsymbol{v}\in\mathbf{V}$. For such a $\gamma$, we are to show that $|\arg(\phi\,\gamma)(\boldsymbol{x})|\le\alpha+\varepsilon$, for any $\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbf{X}$. As $\eta$ is a $(\mathbf{K},\mathbf{V})$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}$, there is an $x\in G$ such that $\eta(x)=\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{v}$ for some $\boldsymbol{v}\in\mathbf{V}$; then $x\in\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{V}]$. It follows that $(\phi\,\gamma)(\boldsymbol{x})=(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,x)/(\phi\,\gamma)(\boldsymbol{v})$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}|\arg(\phi\,\gamma)(\boldsymbol{x})|&\le\left|\arg\frac{(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,x)}{\gamma(x)}\right|+|\arg\gamma(x)|+|\arg(\phi\,\gamma)(\boldsymbol{v})|\\&\le\varepsilon+(\alpha-\varepsilon)+\varepsilon=\alpha+\varepsilon\,.\end{aligned}$$

The second "additional" implication follows from the first by symmetry of the situation.

**2.5.8. Strongly Adjoint Finite LCA Group Approximation Theorem.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a Hausdorff LCA group and* $0<\varepsilon<\alpha\le\pi/3$. *Let further* $\mathbf{K}\subseteq\mathbf{G}$, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\subseteq\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ *be compact sets,* $\mathbf{U}\subseteq\mathbf{G}$, $\boldsymbol{\Omega}\subseteq\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ *be neighborhoods of the neutral elements in* $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, *respectively, such that the pairs* $(\mathbf{K},\mathbf{U})$, $(\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ *are* $\alpha$*-adjoint. Then there exist a finite abelian group* $G$ *and mappings* $\eta\colon G\to\mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon\widehat{G}\to\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ *forming a strongly* $(\alpha,\varepsilon)$*-adjoint pair of approximations of* $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, *respectively, with respect to* $(\mathbf{K},\mathbf{U})$, $(\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\boldsymbol{\Omega})$. *One can arrange additionally that at least one of the approximations* $\eta$, $\phi$ *is injective.*

*Proof.* Let $G$ be a hyperfinite abelian group, $\eta\colon G\to{}^*\mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon\widehat{G}\to{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ be an adjoint pair of HFI approximations of $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, and $(G,G_0,G_{\mathrm{f}})$, $\big(\widehat{G},H_0,H_{\mathrm{f}}\big)$ be the corresponding IMG group triplets, arising from $\eta$, $\phi$, respectively. Let further $(\mathbf{V}_i)_{i\in I}$, $(\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_i)_{i\in I}$ be some bases of neighborhoods of the neutral elements in $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively. We have

$$\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha}\big(\eta^{-1}[{}^*\mathbf{U}]\big)\subseteq G_0^{\text{\rotatebox{90}{$\models$}}}=H_{\mathrm{f}}=\phi^{-1}\big[\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big]=\phi^{-1}\big[\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}^{\text{\rotatebox{90}{$\models$}}}\big]=\bigcup_{i\in I}\phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_{\varepsilon}({}^*\mathbf{V}_i)\big]\,,$$
$$\mathrm{Bohr}_{\alpha}\big(\phi^{-1}[{}^*\boldsymbol{\Omega}]\big)\subseteq H_0^{\text{\rotatebox{90}{$\models$}}}=G_{\mathrm{f}}=\eta^{-1}[\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbf{G}]=\eta^{-1}\big[\mathbb{I}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}^{\text{\rotatebox{90}{$\models$}}}\big]=\bigcup_{i\in I}\eta^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_{\varepsilon}({}^*\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_i)\big]\,.$$

Therefore, by the virtue of *saturation*, there exist neighborhoods $\mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{U}$ of $0 \in \mathbf{G}$, and $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ of $1 \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, belonging to those bases, such that

$$\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta^{-1}[{}^*\mathbf{U}]\big) \subseteq \phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_\varepsilon({}^*\mathbf{V})\big]\,,$$
$$\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\phi^{-1}[{}^*\boldsymbol{\Omega}]\big) \subseteq \eta^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_\varepsilon({}^*\boldsymbol{\Upsilon})\big]\,.$$

Then the pairs $({}^*\mathbf{K}, {}^*\mathbf{U})$, $({}^*\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, {}^*\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ are $\alpha$-adjoint, $\eta$ is a $({}^*\mathbf{K}, {}^*\mathbf{V})$ approximation of ${}^*\mathbf{G}$ and $\phi$ is a $({}^*\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, {}^*\boldsymbol{\Upsilon})$ approximation of ${}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$. Now it is clear, that $\eta$, $\phi$ form a strongly $(\alpha,\varepsilon)$-adjoint pair of approximations, witnessed by ${}^*\mathbf{V}$, ${}^*\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}$. By the *transfer principle* there exists a strongly $(\alpha,\varepsilon)$-adjoint pair of finite approximations of $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively, with respect to $(\mathbf{K},\mathbf{U})$, $(\boldsymbol{\Gamma},\boldsymbol{\Omega})$, witnessed by $\mathbf{V}$, $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}$. If at least one of the starting HFI approximations $\eta$, $\phi$ were injective, then one can guarantee the same property for the corresponding finite approximation, as well.

The following strengthening of Theorem 2.5.6 can be proved in essentially the same way as was Proposition 2.5.5(b).

**2.5.9. Theorem.** *Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a an infinite Hausdorff LCA group and $0 < \alpha \le \pi/3$. Then there are $\alpha$-adjoint DD bases $\big((\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$, $\big((\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_i,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ and its dual $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively, and a net $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\in I}$ over $(I,\le)$ converging to 0, such that $0<\varepsilon_j\le\varepsilon_i<\alpha$ for $i\le j$ in $I$, as well as an adjoint pair of approximating systems $(\eta_i\colon G_i\to\mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$, $(\phi_i\colon \widehat{G}_i\to\widehat{\mathbf{G}})_{i\in I}$ by finite abelian groups, well based with respect to these DD bases, such that each particular pair $\eta_i\colon G_i\to\mathbf{G}$, $\phi_i\colon \widehat{G}_i\to\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is strongly $(\alpha,\varepsilon_i)$-adjoint with respect to $(\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)$, $(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_i,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i)$.*

*Proof.* Let's start with any adjoint pair $(\eta_i\colon G_i\to\mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$, $(\phi_i\colon \widehat{G}_i\to\widehat{\mathbf{G}})_{i\in I}$ of approximating systems, well based with respect to some $\alpha$-adjoint DD bases $\big((\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$, $\big((\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_i,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$ over some upward directed partially ordered poset $(I,\le)$. As $\mathbf{G}$ is infinite, it cannot be both compact and discrete, hence $I$ cannot have the biggest element. Thus there is a net $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\in I}$ converging to 0. Then one can find a function $\tau\colon I\to I$ satisfying $i\le\tau(i)$ and $\tau(i)\le\tau(j)$ for $i\le j$, such that each particular pair of mappings $\eta_{\tau(i)}\colon G_{\tau(i)}\to\mathbf{G}$, $\phi_{\tau(i)}\colon \widehat{G}_{\tau(i)}\to\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is strongly $(\alpha,\varepsilon_i)$-adjoint with respect to $(\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)$, $(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_i,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i)\big)$, with witnessing sets $\mathbf{V}_i=\mathbf{U}_{\tau(i)}$, $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_i=\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\tau(i)}$.

The "HFI parts" of the following three examples are due to Gordon [Go2]; we are adding the standard counterparts mainly with the aim to illustrate the strong adjointness phenomenon. As we shall see in these fairly important cases, some conditions of the strong $(\alpha,\varepsilon)$-adjointness are satisfied, so to say, automatically and in a stricter form than required by the definition.

In the first example, building on items (a), (b) of Example 1.5.9, we construct certain pairs of adjoint approximations for the group $\mathbb{T}$ and its dual group $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}\cong\mathbb{Z}$, where $\gamma(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{x}^\gamma$ for $\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{T}$, $\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, the (hyper)finite cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is identified with its dual group $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_n$ via the pairing $\gamma(a)=\mathrm{e}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}a\gamma/n}$, for $a,\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}_n$. Let us recall that $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is still represented as the group of absolutely smallest remainders modulo $n$.

**2.5.10. Example.** If $n\in{}^*\mathbb{N}_\infty$, then the internal homomorphism $\eta\colon\mathbb{Z}_n\to{}^*\mathbb{T}$, $\eta(a)=\mathrm{e}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}a/n}$, and the inclusion map $\phi\colon\mathbb{Z}_n\to{}^*\mathbb{Z}$ give rise to the mutually dual IMG group triplets $(\mathbb{Z}_n,G_0,\mathbb{Z}_n)$, $\big(\mathbb{Z}_n,\{0\},\mathbb{Z}\big)$, with normalizing multipliers $d=n^{-1}$, $\hat{d}=1$, respectively, where

$$G_0=\Big\{a\in\mathbb{Z}_n;\,\frac{a}{n}\approx 0\Big\}=\mathbb{Z}^{\downarrow}\,.$$

For $a, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ we even have

$$(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,a) = \left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}a}{n}}\right)^{\gamma} = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}a\gamma}{n}} = \gamma(a)\,,$$

while the infinitesimal nearness $\approx$ in place of the second equality and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ would suffice to establish the adjointness of the HFI approximations $\eta$, $\phi$ of the mutually dual groups $\mathbb{T}$, $\mathbb{Z}$, respectively.

Passing to the standard situation, let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < r \leq \alpha \leq \pi/3$ be such that $n > \pi/r$ and $1 \leq k < n/4$. We put

$$\mathbf{U} = \big\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{T};\, |\arg \boldsymbol{x}| \leq r\big\}\,, \qquad\qquad \mathit{\Gamma} = \big\{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z};\, |\gamma| \leq k\big\}\,.$$

Then we have

$$\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{U}) = \Big\{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z};\, |\gamma| \leq \frac{\alpha}{r}\Big\}\,, \qquad\qquad \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathit{\Gamma}) = \Big\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{T};\, |\arg \boldsymbol{x}| \leq \frac{\alpha}{k}\Big\}\,,$$

hence the pair $(\mathbb{T}, \mathbf{U})$ in $\mathbb{T}$ and the pair $\big(\mathit{\Gamma}, \{0\}\big)$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ are $\alpha$-adjoint if and only if (as the remaining conditions are trivial) $\mathit{\Gamma} = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{U})$, which is equivalent to

$$k = \Big\lfloor \frac{\alpha}{r} \Big\rfloor\,.$$

If $r = \alpha/k$, then also $\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathit{\Gamma}) = \mathbf{U}$. The $(\mathbb{T}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation $\eta\colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{T}$ of $\mathbb{T}$ and the $\big(\mathit{\Gamma}, \{0\}\big)$ approximation $\phi\colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ (both given as in the HFI case) still satisfy $(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,a) = \gamma(a)$ for $a, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. In order to show that they are strongly $(\alpha, \varepsilon)$-adjoint for an $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$ with respect to the pairs $(\mathbb{T}, \mathbf{U})$, $\big(\mathit{\Gamma}, \{0\}\big)$ we need to point out some witnessing sets. $\mathit{\Upsilon} = \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is plain. A simple computation shows that

$$\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]\big) = \Big\{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_n;\, |\gamma| \leq \frac{\alpha}{r}\Big\}\,,$$

and for $\mathbf{V} = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{T};\, |\arg \boldsymbol{x}| \leq s\}$, where $0 < s \leq r$, we have

$$\phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{V})\big] = \Big\{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_n;\, |\gamma| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{s}\Big\}\,.$$

Thus the inclusion $\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]\big) \subseteq \phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{V})\big]$ can be guaranteed by choosing any positive

$$s \leq \frac{r\,\varepsilon}{\alpha}\,.$$

In order $\eta$ to be a $(\mathbb{T}, \mathbf{V})$ approximation of $\mathbb{T}$ we need that $n > \pi/s$.

In the next example we describe a pair of adjoint approximations for the self-dual group $\mathbb{R}$, building on item (c) Example 1.5.9. More precisely, $\mathbb{R}$ is identified with its dual group $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ via the pairing $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$, for $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}$. The passage to differently scaled pairings $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathrm{e}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{\gamma}/T}$, with any $T > 0$, is straightforward.

**2.5.11. Example.** Let $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N}_\infty$, and $d, d'$ be positive infinitesimals such that both the hyperreal numbers $nd$, $nd'$ are infinite. Then the internal mappings $\eta\colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$, $\eta(a) = ad$, and $\phi\colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$, $\phi(\gamma) = \gamma d'$, are HFI approximations of the group $\mathbb{R}$, inducing IMG group triplets $(\mathbb{Z}_n, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$, $(\mathbb{Z}_n, H_0, H_\mathrm{f})$, where

$$G_0 = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n;\, ad \approx 0\}\,, \qquad G_\mathrm{f} = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n;\, |a|\, d < \infty\}\,,$$
$$H_0 = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_n;\, \gamma d' \approx 0\}\,, \qquad H_\mathrm{f} = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_n;\, |\gamma|\, d' < \infty\}\,,$$

with normalizing multipliers $d$, $d'$, respectively. One can easily verify that these triplets are mutually dual if and only if $ndd' \in \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$. For any $a, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ we have

$$(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,a) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}a\gamma dd'}\,, \qquad \gamma(a) = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}a\gamma}{n}}\,,$$

and the two expressions are infinitesimally close for all $a \in G_\mathrm{f}$, $\gamma \in H_\mathrm{f}$ if and only if

$$ndd' \approx 2\pi\,.$$

Hence this condition is equivalent to the adjointness of the HFI approximations $\eta$, $\phi$. Then the scaling coefficient $\hat{d} = 1/nd$, dual to $d$, is a normalizing multiplier for the triplet $(\mathbb{Z}_n, H_0, H_\mathrm{f})$, as well. Under the particular choice

$$d' = 2\pi\hat{d} = \frac{2\pi}{nd}$$

we even have $(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,a) = \gamma(a)$ for all $a, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

The corresponding standard situation is framed by some $n, k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and positive $d, d', r, \rho, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $1 \le k, m < n/4$, $r, \rho \le \alpha \le \pi/3$, and $d/2 < r \le kd$, $d'2 < \rho \le md'$. We put

$$\mathbf{U} = [-r, r]\,, \qquad \mathbf{K} = [-kd, kd]\,,$$
$$\mathbf{\Omega} = [-\rho, \rho]\,, \qquad \mathbf{\Gamma} = [-md', md']\,.$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{U}) = \Big[-\frac{\alpha}{r}, \frac{\alpha}{r}\Big]\,, \qquad \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{K}) = \Big[-\frac{\alpha}{kd}, \frac{\alpha}{kd}\Big]\,,$$
$$\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{\Omega}) = \Big[-\frac{\alpha}{\rho}, \frac{\alpha}{\rho}\Big]\,, \qquad \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{\Gamma}) = \Big[-\frac{\alpha}{md'}, \frac{\alpha}{md'}\Big]\,,$$

thus the pairs $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ are $\alpha$-adjoint if and only if

$$\rho \cdot kd = r \cdot md' = \alpha\,.$$

The reverse proportionality of the lengths $kd$ and $\rho$, as well as that of $md'$ and $r$ are worthwhile to notice. The $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation $\eta\colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{R}$ and the $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ approximation $\phi\colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathbb{R}$ are given by the same formulas as in the HFI case. Then

$$\left|\arg\frac{(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\,a)}{\gamma(a)}\right| = |a\gamma|\, dd' \left|1 - \frac{2\pi}{ndd'}\right|\,.$$

This expression is $\le \varepsilon$ for an $\varepsilon \in (0,\alpha)$ and all $a \in \eta^{-1}[\mathbf{K}]$, $\gamma \in \phi^{-1}[\mathbf{\Gamma}]$ if and only if

$$kd\, md' \left(1 - \frac{2\pi}{ndd'}\right) \le \varepsilon\,.$$

Putting $d' = 2\pi/nd$ we can even achieve that $(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\, a) = \gamma(a)$ for all $a, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. It remains to describe some intervals $\mathbf{V} = [-s, s]$, $\mathbf{\Upsilon} = [-\sigma, \sigma]$, where $0 < s \le r$, $0 < \sigma \le \rho$, witnessing the strong $(\alpha,\varepsilon)$-adjointness of the approximations $\eta$, $\phi$ with respect to the pairs $(\mathbf{K},\mathbf{U})$, $(\mathbf{\Gamma},\mathbf{\Omega})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]\big) &= \Big\{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_n;\ |\gamma| \le \frac{nd\alpha}{2\pi r}\Big\}\,, \quad \phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{V})\big] = \Big\{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_n;\ |\gamma| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{sd'}\Big\}\,,\\
\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{\Omega}]\big) &= \Big\{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n;\ |a| \le \frac{nd'\alpha}{2\pi\rho}\Big\}\,, \quad \phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{\Upsilon})\big] = \Big\{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n;\ |a| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma d}\Big\}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

the inclusions $\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]\big) \subseteq \phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{V})\big]$, $\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{\Omega}]\big) \subseteq \phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{\Upsilon})\big]$ are equivalent to the inequalities

$$s \le \frac{2\pi\, r\, \varepsilon}{ndd'\alpha}\,, \qquad\qquad \sigma \le \frac{2\pi\, \rho\, \varepsilon}{ndd'\alpha}\,,$$

respectively. If $ndd' = 2\pi$, then they take the simple form

$$s \le \frac{r\,\varepsilon}{\alpha}\,, \qquad\qquad \sigma \le \frac{\rho\,\varepsilon}{\alpha}\,,$$

analogous to that in Example 2.5.10. In order $\eta$ to be a $(\mathbf{K},\mathbf{V})$ approximation and $\phi$ to be a $(\mathbf{\Gamma},\mathbf{\Upsilon})$ approximation we need of $\mathbb{R}$ we need that $d < 2s$ and $d' < 2\sigma$. As $\rho \cdot kd = r \cdot md' = \alpha$, this implies

$$k > \frac{\alpha}{2\rho s}\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad m > \frac{\alpha}{2r\sigma}\,,$$

which is possible only if

$$n > \frac{2\alpha}{\min\{\rho s, r\sigma\}}\,.$$

In the last of our examples we construct adjoint approximations to those from item (d) of Example 1.5.9.

**2.5.12. Example.** Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a Hausdorff LCA group with a DD base $\big((\mathbf{K}_i,\mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$ consisting of compact open subgroups $\mathbf{U}_i \subseteq \mathbf{K}_i$ of $\mathbf{G}$. As $\mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$ coincides with the annihilator $\mathbf{X}^\perp = \mathrm{Bohr}_0(\mathbf{X})$ for $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and any subgroup $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, the system $\big((\mathbf{U}_i^\perp, \mathbf{K}_i^\perp)\big)_{i\in I}$ forms a DD base of the dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ consisting of compact open subgroups, again. Picking an $i \in I$ and putting $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_i$, $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}_i$, and $\mathbf{\Omega} = \mathbf{K}^\perp$, $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \mathbf{U}^\perp$, it is obvious that the pairs $(\mathbf{K},\mathbf{U})$, $(\mathbf{\Gamma},\mathbf{\Omega})$ are $\alpha$-adjoint for each $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$. The quotients $G = \mathbf{K}/\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{\Gamma}/\mathbf{\Omega}$ are finite abelian groups and the latter can be canonically identified with the dual group $\widehat{G}$. Any right inverse map $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ to the canonic projection $\zeta\colon \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{K}/\mathbf{U}$ is a $(\mathbf{K},\mathbf{U})$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}$, and similarly, any right inverse map $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to \mathbf{\Gamma} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ to the canonic projection $\xi\colon \mathbf{\Gamma} \to \mathbf{\Gamma}/\mathbf{\Omega}$ is a $(\mathbf{\Gamma},\mathbf{\Omega})$ approximation of $\widehat{G}$. Then one can easily verify by a straightforward computation that

$$(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\, a) = \gamma(a)$$

for any $a \in G$, $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$. Finally, the equalities

$$\widehat{G} = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]\big) = \phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{U})\big]\,,$$
$$G = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\phi^{-1}[\mathbf{\Omega}]\big) = \eta^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{\Omega})\big]$$

show that, for any $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha \leq \pi/3$, the strong $(\alpha, \varepsilon)$-adjointness of the approximations $\eta$, $\phi$ with respect to the pairs $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ is witnessed by the very sets $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{U}$, $\mathbf{\Upsilon} = \mathbf{\Omega}$.

If $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ are *compact *open subgroups of ${}^*\mathbf{G}$, such that $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbb{I}^*\mathbf{G}$, $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$, then $\mathbf{\Omega} = \mathbf{K}^{\perp\!\!\!\perp} = \mathbf{K}^\perp$, $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \mathbf{U}^{\perp\!\!\!\perp} = \mathbf{U}^\perp$ are *compact *open subgroups of ${}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, such that $\mathbf{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{I}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, $\mathbb{F}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}} \subseteq \mathbf{\Gamma}$. The quotients $G = \mathbf{K}/\mathbf{U}$, $\widehat{G} = \mathbf{\Gamma}/\mathbf{\Omega}$ are mutually dual hyperfinite abelian groups. The HFI approximations $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ can be constructed in essentially the same way as in the standard situation above. The corresponding IMG group triplets $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$, $\big(\widehat{G}, H_0, H_\mathrm{f}\big)$, where

$$G_0 = \zeta[\mathbb{I}^*\mathbf{G}]\,, \qquad G_\mathrm{f} = \zeta[\mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{G}]\,,$$
$$H_0 = \xi\big[\mathbb{I}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big]\,, \qquad H_\mathrm{f} = \xi\big[\mathbb{F}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big]\,,$$

are, clearly, mutually dual, as well. For any internal subgroup $\mathbf{X}$ of $\mathbf{G}$, such that $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbf{G} \subseteq \mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^*\mathbf{G}$, $d = [\mathbf{X} : \mathbf{U}]^{-1}$ and $\hat{d} = (|G|\, d)^{-1} = [\mathbf{K} : \mathbf{X}]^{-1}$ can serve as adjoint normalizing multipliers for the triplets $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ and $\big(\widehat{G}, H_0, H_\mathrm{f}\big)$, respectively. The equality $(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\, a) = \gamma(a)$ holds even for all $a \in G$, $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, while $(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\, a) \approx \gamma(a)$ for $a \in G_\mathrm{f}$, $\gamma \in H_\mathrm{f}$ would be enough to establish the adjointness of $\eta$, $\phi$.

In [Go2] also pairs of adjoint HFI approximations for $\tau$-adic solenoids $\Sigma_\tau$ and their dual groups of $\tau$-adic rationals

$$\mathbb{Q}^{(\tau)} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \Big(\frac{1}{\tau_n}\Big)\,\mathbb{Z}_n = \Big\{\frac{a}{\tau_n};\; a \in \mathbb{Z} \;\&\; n \in \mathbb{N}\Big\}$$

are described. These, as well as the corresponding finite approximations, can be constructed combining some ideas from Examples 2.5.10 and 2.5.12.

In view of the supplements on injectivity after 2.5.2 and in 2.5.8, as well as of the last three examples it is natural to formulate the following

**Conjecture.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a Hausdorff LCA group, and* $\alpha$, $\varepsilon$, $\mathbf{K}$, $\mathbf{U}$, $\mathbf{\Gamma}$, $\mathbf{\Omega}$ *be as above. Then there exist a finite abelian group* $G$ *and* injective *mappings* $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ *forming a strongly* $(\alpha, \varepsilon)$*-adjoint pair of approximations of* $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, *respectively, with respect to* $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$.

Let us close this chapter by a brief discussion of the roles of standard and nonstandard methods in the proof of the Strongly Adjoint Finite LCA Group Approximation Theorem 2.5.8. Our starting point was the proof of existence of arbitrarily good (standard) finite approximations of any single LCA group $\mathbf{G}$ (Theorem 1.5.10) from which we derived the existence of (nonstandard) hyperfinite approximations of $\mathbf{G}$ by means of the *transfer principle* (Corollary 1.5.11). Next we proved another nonstandard result, namely the existence of an adjoint approximation to any HFI approximation of $\mathbf{G}$ (Theorem 2.5.1 and Corollary 2.5.2). Both in their formulation and proof the (inherently nonstandard) Gordon's Conjecture 1 (Theorem 2.1.4) and the Triplet Duality Theorem 2.1.5 were crucial. Finally we turned back, deriving the existence of (standard) arbitrarily good strongly adjoint pairs of finite approximations of $\mathbf{G}$ and its dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ (Theorem 2.5.8) from the nonstandard Corollary 2.5.2, using the *transfer principle* in the "opposite direction".

## 3. Fourier Transform in Hyperfinite Dimensional Ambience

Our last Chapter deals with the second of the main topics of this paper, namely the analysis of the discrete Fourier transform on some subspaces of the hyperfinite dimensional linear space ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, arising from a condensing IMG group triplet $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$, and its application to the Fourier transform on various spaces of functions $\boldsymbol{f}\colon \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined on its observable trace, the Hausdorff LCA group $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$. In particular, we will formulate and prove a generalization of the third of Gordon's Conjectures to approximations of the Fourier transforms $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}_0\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$, $\mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$ and $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{L}^q\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$, for adjoint exponents $1 < p \leq 2 \leq q < \infty$, by the discrete Fourier transform ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$.

Throughout the first three sections of Chapter 3, $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ denotes a condensing IMG group triplet with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$. Its observable trace is denoted by $\mathbf{G} = G^\flat = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$, and it is a Hausdorff LCA group. Then $d$ denotes a normalizing coefficient for the triplet and all the norms $\|\cdot\|_p$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, on the linear space ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ are defined using $d$; similarly, $\boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{m}_d$ denotes the Haar measure on $\mathbf{G}$, obtained by pushing down the Loeb measure $\lambda_d$ on $G$ and the norms $\|\cdot\|_p$ on the Lebesgue spaces $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$ are defined via $\boldsymbol{m}$. Analogous convention is adopted for the dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ and its Haar measure $\boldsymbol{m}_{\hat{d}}$ obtained from the normalizing multiplier $\hat{d} = \big(d\,|G|\big)^{-1}$ for the dual triplet $\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\curlywedge}, G_0^{\curlywedge}\big)$.

### 3.1. A characterization of liftings

In Section 1.4 we just remarked that for a locally compact Hausdorff space $\mathbf{X}$, represented as the observable trace $\mathbf{X} \cong X^\flat = X_{\mathrm{f}}/E$ of an IMG triplet $(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$ with hyperfinite $X$, not every $S$-integrable function $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X$ is lifting of some function $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{X})$, but were not able to describe these liftings more closely. For Hausdorff LCA groups, however, we can give an intuitively appealing characterization of such liftings in terms of certain continuity condition.

Let $\mathsf{N}$ be an arbitrary internal norm on the vector space ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$. An internal function $f\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ is called *$S$-continuous with respect to the norm* $\mathsf{N}$, or, briefly, *$S^{\mathsf{N}}$-continuous* if

$$\mathsf{N}(f_a - f) \approx 0$$

for each $a \in G_0$. In case of the $p$-norms we speak about $S^p$-continuous functions. In particular, $S^\infty$-continuity coincides with the usual notion of $S$-continuity.

The following Lemma is obvious, once we realize that

$$(f * g)_a - f * g = (f_a - f) * g\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathsf{N}(f * g) \leq \mathsf{N}(f)\,\|g\|_1$$

for any functions $f, g \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $a \in G$ and an internal translation invariant norm $\mathsf{N}$.

**3.1.1. Lemma.** *Let $\mathsf{N}$ be an internal translation invariant norm on ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ and $f, g \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$. If $f$ is $S^{\mathsf{N}}$-continuous and $\|g\|_1 < \infty$ then $f * g$ is $S^{\mathsf{N}}$-continuous, as well.*

In analyzing the structure of $S^{\mathsf{N}}$-continuous functions we will make use of a family of internal functions akin to the family $h_{\varrho,r}$ defined in the proof of Proposition 2.3.3. For any valuation $\varrho \in \mathcal{V}$ (see the text preceding Proposition 1.5.1) and $r > 0$ we put

$$\vartheta_{\varrho,r} = \|h_{\varrho,r}\|_1^{-1} h_{\varrho,r}\,.$$

Then each of the functions $\vartheta_{\varrho,r}$ is $S$-continuous, even, nonnegative, and satisfies both $\|\vartheta_{\varrho,r}\|_1 = 1$ and $\|\vartheta_{\varrho,r}\|_\infty < \infty$. Moreover, $G_0 \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\vartheta_{\varrho,r} \subseteq B_\varrho(r)$, thus, in particular, $\vartheta_{\varrho,r} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$.

The family of internal functions $\vartheta_{\varrho,r}$ behaves like an approximate unit for the operation of convolution on the set of all $S^{\mathsf{N}}$-continuous functions in the sense of [HR1], [HR2]. The precise formulation follows.

**3.1.2. Lemma.** *Let* $\mathsf{N}$ *be any internal norm on* ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$. *Then for every* $S^{\mathsf{N}}$*-continuous function* $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ *the system of functions* $\vartheta_{\varrho,r} * f$, *where* $\varrho \in \mathcal{V}$, $0 < r \in \mathbb{R}$, *converges to the function* $f$ *with respect to the norm* $\mathsf{N}$ *in the following sense: for each (standard)* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there is an internal set* $Q$ *such that* $G_0 \subseteq Q \subseteq G$ *and for any* $\varrho$, $r$ *the inclusion* $B_\varrho(r) \subseteq Q$ *implies* $\mathsf{N}(f - \vartheta_{\varrho,r} * f) \leq \varepsilon$. *Consequently, if* $\varrho \in {}^*\mathcal{V}$, $0 < r \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ *are such that* $B_\varrho(r) \subseteq G_0$, *then* $\mathsf{N}(f - \vartheta_{\varrho,r} * f) \approx 0$.

Let us remark, that for each internal set $Q \supseteq G_0$ there are indeed a $\varrho \in \mathcal{V}$ and an $r > 0$ such that $B_\varrho(r) \subseteq Q$, so that the situation described in the Lemma is not merely hypothetical.

*Proof.* The $S^{\mathsf{N}}$-continuity of $f$ means, in standard terms, that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an internal set $Q \supseteq G_0$ such that $\mathsf{N}(f_a - f) \leq \varepsilon$, for $a \in Q$. Now, assume that $B_\varrho(r) \subseteq Q$. As $\vartheta_{\varrho,r}$ is nonnegative, $\|\vartheta_{\varrho,r}\|_1 = 1$, and $\operatorname{supp}\vartheta_{\varrho,r} \subseteq B_\varrho(r)$, we have

$$f - \vartheta_{\varrho,r} * f = \|\vartheta_{\varrho,r}\|_1 f - d\sum_{a\in G} \vartheta_{\varrho,r}(a)\, f_a = d\sum_{a\in B_\varrho(r)} \vartheta_{\varrho,r}(a)(f - f_a)\,,$$

hence

$$\mathsf{N}(f - \vartheta_{\varrho,r} * f) \leq d\sum_{a\in B_\varrho(r)} |\vartheta_{\varrho,r}(a)|\,\mathsf{N}(f - f_a) \leq \|\vartheta_{\varrho,r}\|_1 \max_{a\in B_\varrho(r)} \mathsf{N}(f - f_a) \leq \varepsilon\,,$$

since $\mathsf{N}(f - f_a) \leq \varepsilon$ for $a \in B_\varrho(r) \subseteq Q$.

The infinitesimal supplement is an immediate consequence of the standard statement just proved.

The last of our lemmas deals with a density condition for certain $S^{\mathsf{N}}$-continuous functions. To this end denote $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathsf{N},1}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ the $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{C}$-linear subspace of the internal space ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, consisting of all $S^{\mathsf{N}}$-continuous functions $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ satisfying $\mathsf{N}(f) < \infty$, $\|f\|_1 < \infty$ and $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$. If $\mathsf{N}$ is the $p$-norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ we write $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}^{p,1}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$.

**3.1.3. Lemma.** *Let* $\mathsf{N}$ *be any internal norm on* ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$. *If the subspace* $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ *is contained in the subspace* $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathsf{N},1}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ *then* $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ *is dense in* $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathsf{N},1}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ *with respect to the norm* $\mathsf{N}$.

*Proof.* Taking any function $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathsf{N},1}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$, we know that the system of functions $\vartheta_{\varrho,r} * f$, where $\varrho \in \mathcal{V}$, $r > 0$, converges to $f$ with respect to $\mathsf{N}$ in the sense of Lemma 3.1.2. It remains to show that $\vartheta * f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ for each such a function $\vartheta = \vartheta_{\varrho,r}$.

Clearly,

$$\operatorname{supp}(\vartheta * f) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\vartheta + \operatorname{supp} f \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}\,,$$

and, according to the fact that the max-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is translation invariant,

$$\|\vartheta * f\|_\infty \leq \|\vartheta\|_\infty \|f\|_1 < \infty\,.$$

For the same reason, as the function $\vartheta$ is $S$-continuous and $\|f\|_1 < \infty$, the $S$-continuity of the function $\vartheta * f$ follows from Lemma 3.1.1 applied to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

As all the internal norms $\|\cdot\|_p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, on ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ are translation invariant and satisfy the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}^{p,1}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$, all the Lemmas 3.1.1–3 apply particularly to them.

Further, let us denote

$$\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \big\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G;\ \|f\|_1 < \infty\ \ \&\ \ \big(\forall^{\mathrm{int}} Z \subseteq G \smallsetminus G_{\mathrm{f}}\big)\big(\|f \cdot 1_Z\|_1 \approx 0\big)\big\}$$

the $\mathbb{F}\,{}^*\mathbb{R}$-linear subspace of ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, formerly denoted as $\mathcal{M}(G, G_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$. Notice that displaying $G_0$ and hiding $d$ is fully justified and unambiguous, as $\mathcal{M}(G, G_{\mathrm{f}}, d) = \mathcal{M}(G, G_{\mathrm{f}}, d')$ for any normalizing multipliers $d$, $d'$ of the triplet $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$. The relation of the subspace $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \mathcal{M}(G, G_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ to the Banach space $\mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G})$ of all complex regular Borel measures with finite variation on $\mathbf{G}$ via weak liftings is described in Proposition 1.4.3. Similarly as in Section 1.4 we put

$$\mathcal{M}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \big\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G;\ |f|^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})\big\}\,,$$

for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

We are going to characterize the subspaces $\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ of the internal linear space ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ formed by liftings $f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ of functions $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. The following Theorem resembles an early theorem by Rudin [Rd1], characterizing measures $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G})$ arising from functions $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$ (i.e., $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{f}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}$) as those for which the shift $\boldsymbol{a} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{a}}(\mathbf{B}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{B} - \boldsymbol{a})$ is a continuous function $\mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$, for any Borel set $\mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$.

**3.1.4 Theorem.** *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ be an internal function. Then $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ if and only if $f$ is $S^p$-continuous.*

*Proof.* For brevity's sake, let us denote

$$\mathcal{CM}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \{f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}});\ f \text{ is } S^p\text{-continuous}\}\,.$$

Then we are to prove that $\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \mathcal{CM}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$. Clearly, they both are subspaces of the internal vector space ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ and contain the subspace $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$. We divide the proof into three simpler Claims. Putting them together, the Theorem easily follows.

*Claim* 1. $\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ is closed in ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$.

This, however, is almost obvious. If $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$, converging to a function $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, and each $f_n$ is a lifting of an $\boldsymbol{f}_n \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$, then the sequence $(\boldsymbol{f}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the Bolzano-Cauchy condition, hence it converges to a function $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$. It is routine to check that $f$ is a lifting of $\boldsymbol{f}$, i.e., $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$.

*Claim* 2. $\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}}) \subseteq \mathcal{CM}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})\,.$

It suffices to show that each lifting $f$ of a function $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$ is $S^p$-continuous. It is known that the shift $\boldsymbol{a} \mapsto \boldsymbol{f_a}$ is a uniformly continuous mapping $\mathbf{G} \to \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$ (see [Pd] or [Rd2]). Translating this condition into the language of infinitesimals, one readily obtains the $S^p$-continuity of $f$.

*Claim* 3. $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ is dense in $\mathcal{CM}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$.

According to Lemma 3.1.3 it is enough to show that the subspace $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}^{p,1}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ is dense in $\mathcal{CM}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$. Let $f \in \mathcal{CM}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$. Due to *saturation* there is a sequence of internal sets $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $G_0 \subseteq A_n \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$, $A_n + A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$ and

$$\|f \cdot 1_{G\smallsetminus A_n}\|_p \to 0 \quad \text{for } n \to \infty.$$

Then, for each $n$, there is an $S$-continuous function $g_n \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ such that $g_n(x) = 1$ for $x \in A_n$, $g_n(x) = 0$ for $x \in G \smallsetminus A_{n+1}$ and $0 \le g_n(x) \le 1$ for $x \in A_{n+1} \smallsetminus A_n$. We put $f_n = f \cdot g_n$. From

$$\|f - f_n\|_p \le \|f \cdot 1_{G\smallsetminus A_n}\|_p$$

it follows that $\|f - f_n\|_p \to 0$.

Let us show that $f_n \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}^{p,1}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ for each $n$. Clearly, $\operatorname{supp} f_n \subseteq A_{n+1} \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\|f_n\|_p \le \|f\|_p < \infty$. According to Hölder's inequality,

$$\|f_n\|_1 = \|f\, g_n\|_1 \le \|f\|_p \, \|g_n\|_q < \infty\,,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Taking any $a \in G_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(f_n)_a - f_n\|_p &\le \|f_a((g_n)_a - g_n)\|_p + \|(f_a - f)g_n\|_p \\ &\le \|f_a\|_p \, \|(g_n)_a - g\|_\infty + \|f_a - f\|_p \, \|g_n\|_\infty \approx 0\,,\end{aligned}$$

showing that $f_n$ is $S^p$-continuous. Hence $f_n \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{c}}^{p,1}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$.

The just proved Theorem 3.1.4 together with Proposition 1.4.4 have the following

**3.1.5. Corollary.** *Let $1 \le p < \infty$. Then for any measurable function $\boldsymbol{f}\colon \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

*(i)* $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$*;*

*(ii)* $\boldsymbol{f}$ *has an $S^p$-integrable lifting;*

*(iii)* $\boldsymbol{f}$ *has an $S^p$-continuous lifting $f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$.*

*Remark* 1. Let $1 \le p < \infty$. We adopt a similar and equally justified convention $\mathcal{S}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \mathcal{S}^p(G, G_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ like that for $\mathcal{M}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$. Then, according to Theorem 3.1.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}}) &= \big\{f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}});\ (\forall\, a \in G)\big(a \approx 0 \ \Rightarrow\ \|f_a - f\|_p \approx 0\big)\big\}\,,\\ \mathcal{S}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}}) &= \big\{f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}});\ \big(\forall^{\,\mathrm{int}} A \subseteq G\big)\big(d\,|A| \approx 0 \ \Rightarrow\ \|f \cdot 1_A\|_p \approx 0\big)\big\}\,,\end{aligned}$$

so that the characterization of $\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ differs from the definition of $\mathcal{S}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ just in replacing the condition of absolute continuity by that of $S^p$-continuity. As it follows from 3.1.4 and 3.1.5, $\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$, i.e., for a function $f \in \mathcal{M}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$, $S^p$-continuity implies absolute continuity (but not vice versa). However, one would like to have a more direct proof of this inclusion.

*Remark* 2. Given any condensing IMG triplet $(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ with hyperfinite ambient set $X$ and a nonnegative internal function $d\colon X \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$, the observable trace $\mathbf{X} = X_\mathrm{f}/E$ is a Hausdorff locally compact space, so that it still makes sense to ask which internal functions $f\colon X \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ are liftings of functions $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$, where $\boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{m}_d$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{X}$ obtained by pushing down the Loeb measure $\lambda_d$. However, as long as no group structure on $X$ is involved, $\mathcal{L}^p(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ cannot be characterized in terms of $S^p$-continuity. It would be nice to have some reasonable intrinsic characterization of $\mathcal{L}^p(X, E, X_\mathrm{f})$ within such a more general setting, at least for constant $d(x) = d$ such that $d\,|A| \not\approx 0$ for some and $d\,|A| < \infty$ for each internal set $A \subseteq X_\mathrm{f}$.

*Remark* 3. The characterizing conditions of $\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ make sense also for $p = \infty$. More precisely, the conjunction of $\|f\|_\infty < \infty$, $\|f(x) \cdot 1_Z\|_\infty \approx 0$ for each internal set $Z \subseteq G \smallsetminus G_\mathrm{f}$ and $S^\infty$-continuity defines the subspace $\mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ of $S$-continuous internal functions $f\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ which are finite on the whole $G$ and infinitesimal outside of $G_\mathrm{f}$. Thus we could formally write $\mathcal{L}^\infty(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f}) = \mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$. This, however, would be rather confusing as such an $\mathcal{L}^\infty(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ would be formed by the liftings of functions in $\mathrm{C}_0(\mathbf{G})$ which is a proper closed subspace of the Banach space $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbf{G})$ (cf. Proposition 1.3.1).

### 3.2. The Smoothness-and-Decay Principle

The more smooth is a function $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, the more rapidly its Fourier transform $\widehat{f}\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ decays, and vice versa, the more rapidly a function $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ decays, the smoother is its Fourier transform $\widehat{f}\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$. This vague informal statement is known as the *Smoothness-and-Decay Principle* and — jointly with the *Uncertainty Principle* to which it is closely related — belongs to fundamental heuristic principles of Fourier or time-frequency analysis. It can take the form of various precise mathematical statements some of which generalize from $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}^n$ to arbitrary LCA groups (see, e.g., [Gc], [Ta3] for discussion).

The view through the lenses of an IMG group triplet $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$ and its dual triplet $\big(\widehat{G}, G_\mathrm{f}^{\text{\rotatebox{90}{$\hookrightarrow$}}}, G_0^{\text{\rotatebox{90}{$\hookrightarrow$}}}\big)$ offers an intuitively appealing explanation of this principle for internal functions $f\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$, based on the Fourier inversion formula

$$f(x) = \hat{d} \sum_{\gamma \in \widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(\gamma)\, \gamma(x)$$

in which both $S$-continuous characters $\gamma \in G_0^{\text{\rotatebox{90}{$\hookrightarrow$}}}$ as well as non-$S$-continuous characters $\gamma \in \widehat{G} \smallsetminus G_0^{\text{\rotatebox{90}{$\hookrightarrow$}}}$ occur. If $f$ is smooth or continuous (in some intuitive meaning of these words), then the contribution of the non-$S$-continuous characters to the above expansion of $f$ must be negligible in some sense. This condition causes a kind of quick decay of $\widehat{f}$. The other way round, viewing the elements $x \in G$ as characters of the dual group $\widehat{G}$, the Fourier transform of $f$ can be expressed as their linear combination:

$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = d \sum_{x \in G} f(x)\, \overline{\gamma}(x) = d \sum_{x \in G} f(-x)\, x(\gamma)\,.$$

If $f$ decays quickly, i.e., if the values of $f$ on the infinite elements $x \in G \smallsetminus G_\mathrm{f}$ are somehow negligible, then the values of its Fourier transform are essentially determined by the values of $f$ on the finite elements $x \in G_\mathrm{f}$, which happen to coincide with the $S$-continuous characters of $\widehat{G}$ by the Triplet Duality Theorem 2.1.5. If, additionally,

neither the coefficients $f(x)$, for $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}$, are too big, then we can reasonably expect $\widehat{f}$ to be smooth or continuous in some sense.

The next theorem is a fairly general precise statement of this kind of the *Smoothness-and-Decay Principle*. Both its formulation as well as its proof borrow some ideas from a paper by Pego [Pg].

A pair of internal norms $\mathsf{N}$ on ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ and $\mathsf{M}$ on ${}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is called *Fourier compatible* if the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}\colon {}^*\mathbb{C}^G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is a bounded linear operator with respect to the norms $\mathsf{N}$, $\mathsf{M}$, i.e.,

$$\mathsf{N}(f) < \infty \;\Rightarrow\; \mathsf{M}\big(\widehat{f}\big) < \infty$$

for each $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$. This is equivalent to the $S$-continuity of $\mathcal{F}$, i.e.,

$$\mathsf{N}(f) \approx 0 \;\Rightarrow\; \mathsf{M}\big(\widehat{f}\big) \approx 0\,.$$

**3.2.1. Theorem. [Smoothness-and-Decay Principle]** *Let $\mathsf{N}$, $\mathsf{M}$ be Fourier compatible internal norms on the linear spaces ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, ${}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, respectively. Then for every function $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ the following implications hold:*

*(a) If $\mathsf{M}$ is absolute and $f$ is $S^{\mathsf{N}}$-continuous, then $\mathsf{M}\big(\widehat{f}\cdot 1_{\mathit{\Gamma}}\big) \approx 0$ for every internal set $\mathit{\Gamma} \subseteq \widehat{G} \smallsetminus G_0^{\downarrow}$.*

*(b) If $\mathsf{N}$ is absolute, $\mathsf{N}(f) < \infty$, and $\mathsf{N}(f\cdot 1_X) \approx 0$ for every internal set $X \subseteq G \smallsetminus G_{\mathrm{f}}$, then $\widehat{f}$ is $S^{\mathsf{M}}$-continuous.*

*Proof.* (a) In this part of proof we will once more make use of the families of internal functions $h_{\varrho,r}$ and $\vartheta_{\varrho,r}$ (cf. Lemma 3.1.2 and its proof).

Assume that $\mathsf{N}(f_a - f) \approx 0$ for any $a \in G_0$. We will show that $\mathsf{M}\big(\widehat{f}\cdot 1_{\mathit{\Gamma}}\big) \approx 0$ for every internal set $\mathit{\Gamma} \subseteq \widehat{G} \smallsetminus G_0^{\downarrow}$. Let us fix any (standard) $t \in (0,1)$. By Corollary 2.3.2, $\mathit{\Gamma} \cap \mathrm{Spec}_t(h_{\varrho,r}) = \emptyset$ for every $\varrho \in \mathcal{V}$ and standard $r > 0$. As $\mathcal{V}$ is upward directed, by *saturation* there are a $\tau \in {}^*\mathcal{V}$, satisfying $\varrho \leq \tau$ for all $\varrho \in \mathcal{V}$, and a positive $s \approx 0$, such that $\mathit{\Gamma} \cap \mathrm{Spec}_t(h_{\tau,s}) = \emptyset$ still holds. Let us denote $\mathit{\Delta} = \widehat{G} \smallsetminus \mathrm{Spec}_t(h_{\tau,s})$ and recall that $\vartheta_{\tau,s} = \|h_{\tau,s}\|_1^{-1}\, h_{\tau,s}$. As $h_{\tau,s}$ is even and nonnegative, so is $\vartheta_{\tau,s}$, hence

$$\widehat{\vartheta}_{\tau,s}(1_G) = d\sum_{a\in G}\vartheta_{\tau,s}(a) = \|\vartheta_{\tau,s}\|_1 = 1\,,$$

and for $\gamma \in \mathit{\Delta}$ we have

$$\big|\widehat{\vartheta}_{\tau,s}(\gamma)\big| = \|h_{\tau,s}\|_1^{-1}\,\big|\widehat{h}_{\tau,s}(\gamma)\big| < t\,,$$

hence,

$$1 - t < 1 - \big|\widehat{\vartheta}_{\tau,s}(\gamma)\big| \leq \big|1 - \widehat{\vartheta}_{\tau,s}(\gamma)\big|\,.$$

Further on, $\mathit{\Gamma} \subseteq \mathit{\Delta}$, and as the norm $\mathsf{M}$ is absolute,

$$(1-t)\,\mathsf{M}\big(\widehat{f}\cdot 1_{\mathit{\Gamma}}\big) \leq (1-t)\,\mathsf{M}\big(\widehat{f}\cdot 1_{\mathit{\Delta}}\big) \leq \mathsf{M}\big(\big(1_{\widehat{G}} - \widehat{\vartheta}_{\tau,s}\big)\,\widehat{f}\,\big) = \mathsf{M}\big((f - \vartheta_{\tau,s} * f)\widehat{\ }\big)\,.$$

Due to our choice of $\tau$ and $s$ we have $B_\tau(s) \subseteq G_0$, consequently, $\mathsf{N}(f - \vartheta_{\tau,s} * f) \approx 0$ by the virtue of Lemma 3.1.2. As the norms $\mathsf{N}$, $\mathsf{M}$ are Fourier compatible, this implies that $\mathsf{M}\big((f - \vartheta_{\tau,s} * f)\widehat{\ }\big) \approx 0$, as well. Since $t \not\approx 1$, we can conclude that $\mathsf{M}\big(\widehat{f}\cdot 1_{\mathit{\Gamma}}\big) \approx 0$.

(b) Assume that $\mathsf{N}(f)$ is finite and $\mathsf{N}(f\cdot 1_X)\approx 0$ for each internal set $X\subseteq G\smallsetminus G_{\mathrm{f}}$. We are to show that $\mathsf{M}\bigl(\widehat{f}_\gamma-\widehat{f}\,\bigr)\approx 0$ for any $\gamma\in G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\text{\rotatebox{180}{$\curlywedge$}}}$. First notice that

$$\widehat{f}_\gamma-\widehat{f}=\bigl((\gamma-1_G)\,f\bigr)\widehat{\ }.$$

As $\gamma\in G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\text{\rotatebox{180}{$\curlywedge$}}}$, $\gamma(x)\approx 1$ for each $x\in G_{\mathrm{f}}$. Due to *saturation*, there is an internal set $Y$ such that $G_{\mathrm{f}}\subseteq Y\subseteq G$ and $\gamma(y)\approx 1$ for each $y\in Y$; then $X=G\smallsetminus Y\subseteq G\smallsetminus G_{\mathrm{f}}$. Let us denote

$$\varepsilon=\bigl\|(\gamma-1_G)\cdot 1_Y\bigr\|_\infty=\max_{y\in Y}|\gamma(y)-1|\;;$$

obviously, $\varepsilon\approx 0$. As $\mathsf{N}$ is absolute,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{N}\bigl((\gamma-1_G)\,f\bigr)&\leq\mathsf{N}\bigl((\gamma-1_G)\,f\cdot 1_Y\bigr)+\mathsf{N}\bigl((\gamma-1_G)\,f\cdot 1_X\bigr)\\&\leq\varepsilon\,\mathsf{N}(f)+2\,\mathsf{N}(f\cdot 1_X)\approx 0\,.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathsf{M}\bigl(\widehat{f}_\gamma-\widehat{f}\,\bigr)\approx 0$, as well.

The last Theorem applies to any pair of norms $\|\cdot\|_p$ on ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ and $\|\cdot\|_q$ on ${}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ for $1\leq p\leq 2$ and $q=p/(p-1)$, including $p=1$, $q=\infty$, in which case we have:

**3.2.2. Corollary.** *For every function $f\in{}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ the following conditions hold:*

*(a) If $f$ is $S^1$-continuous, then $\widehat{f}(\gamma)\approx 0$ for all $\gamma\in\widehat{G}\smallsetminus G_0^{\text{\rotatebox{180}{$\curlywedge$}}}$.*

*(b) If $\|f\|_1<\infty$ and $\|f\cdot 1_X\|_1\approx 0$ for every internal set $X\subseteq G\smallsetminus G_{\mathrm{f}}$, then $\widehat{f}$ is S-continuous, i.e., $\widehat{f}(\gamma)\approx\widehat{f}(\chi)$ for all $\gamma,\chi\in\widehat{G}$ such that $\gamma(x)\approx\chi(x)$ for each $x\in G_{\mathrm{f}}$.*

*(c) $\mathcal{F}\bigl[\mathcal{L}^1(G,G_0,G_{\mathrm{f}})\bigr]\subseteq\mathcal{C}_0\bigl(\widehat{G},G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\text{\rotatebox{180}{$\curlywedge$}}},G_0^{\text{\rotatebox{180}{$\curlywedge$}}}\bigr)$.*

Notice that (c) is a hyperfinite dimensional version of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, and (b) can be written as a similar inclusion

$$\mathcal{F}\bigl[\mathcal{M}(G,G_0,G_{\mathrm{f}})\bigr]\subseteq\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}\bigl(\widehat{G},G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\text{\rotatebox{180}{$\curlywedge$}}}\bigr)\,.$$

**3.2.3. Corollary.** *Let $1<p\leq 2$ and $q=p/(p-1)$ be its dual exponent. Then for every function $f\in{}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ the following conditions hold:*

*(a) If $f$ is $S^p$-continuous, then $\bigl\|\widehat{f}\cdot 1_\Gamma\bigr\|_q\approx 0$ for every internal set $\Gamma\subseteq\widehat{G}\smallsetminus G_0^{\text{\rotatebox{180}{$\curlywedge$}}}$.*

*(b) If $\|f\|_p<\infty$ and $\|f\cdot 1_X\|_p\approx 0$ for every internal set $X\subseteq G\smallsetminus G_{\mathrm{f}}$, then $\widehat{f}$ is $S^q$-continuous, i.e., $\bigl\|\widehat{f}_\gamma-\widehat{f}\,\bigr\|_q\approx 0$ for all $\gamma\in G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\text{\rotatebox{180}{$\curlywedge$}}}$.*

*(c) $\mathcal{F}\bigl[\mathcal{L}^p(G,G_0,G_{\mathrm{f}})\bigr]\subseteq\mathcal{L}^q\bigl(\widehat{G},G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\text{\rotatebox{180}{$\curlywedge$}}},G_0^{\text{\rotatebox{180}{$\curlywedge$}}}\bigr)$.*

In the Hilbert space case $p=q=2$ the last Corollary can be slightly strengthened. Applying 3.2.3 both to the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}\colon{}^*\mathbb{C}^G\to{}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ and its inverse $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\colon{}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}\to{}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, for functions satisfying $\|f\|_2<\infty$, we get equivalences in (a), (b) and equality in (c).

**3.2.4. Corollary.** *For every function $f\in{}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ such that $\|f\|_2<\infty$ the following conditions hold:*

*(a) $f$ is $S^2$-continuous if and only if $\bigl\|\widehat{f}\cdot 1_\Gamma\bigr\|_2\approx 0$ for every internal set $\Gamma\subseteq\widehat{G}\smallsetminus G_0^{\text{\rotatebox{180}{$\curlywedge$}}}$.*

*(b) $\|f\cdot 1_X\|_2\approx 0$ for every internal set $X\subseteq G\smallsetminus G_{\mathrm{f}}$ if and only if $\widehat{f}$ is $S^2$-continuous.*

*(c) $\mathcal{F}\bigl[\mathcal{L}^2(G,G_0,G_{\mathrm{f}})\bigr]=\mathcal{L}^2\bigl(\widehat{G},G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\text{\rotatebox{180}{$\curlywedge$}}},G_0^{\text{\rotatebox{180}{$\curlywedge$}}}\bigr)$.*

The following result follows directly from Corollary 3.2.4.

**3.2.5. Corollary.** *For every function $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ such that $\|f\|_2 < \infty$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

*(i)* $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$;

*(ii)* $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^2\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp}\big)$;

*(iii) both* $f$ *and* $\widehat{f}$ *are* $S^2$*-continuous;*

*(iv)* $\|f \cdot 1_X\|_2 \approx 0$ *for every internal set* $X \subseteq G \smallsetminus G_{\mathrm{f}}$ *and* $\big\|\widehat{f} \cdot 1_\Gamma\big\|_2 \approx 0$ *for every internal set* $\Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G} \smallsetminus G_0^{\perp}$.

Corollary 3.2.5 generalizes a result by Albeverio, Gordon and Khrennikov [AGK], where the equivalence of conditions (i), (ii) and (iv) in case there is an internal subgroup $K$ of $G$ such that $G_0 \subseteq K \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$ was proved. This assumption is equivalent to the existence of a compact open subgroup of $G^\flat$. It is also mentioned there without proof that the group of reals $\mathbb{R}$, as well, can be represented as $\mathbb{R} \cong G^\flat = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ for some triplet $(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ satisfying that way abridged version of Corollary 3.2.5.

### 3.3. Hyperfinite dimensional approximation of the Fourier transform: Generalized Gordon's Conjecture 3

Various versions of the *Smoothness-and-Decay Principle* proved in the previous section make it possible to approximate the classical Fourier transform on various functional spaces related to the LCA group $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ by the discrete Fourier transform on the hyperfinite dimensional linear space ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$. For the sake of clear distiction, we denote $\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{f}) = \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}$ the classical Fourier transform of a function $\boldsymbol{f}\colon \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f}$ the discrete Fourier transform of an internal function $f\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$.

The discrete hyperfinite dimensional Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}\colon {}^*\mathbb{C}^G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ approximates the classical Fourier transform $\mathbf{F}\colon \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}_0\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$ in the following sense:

**3.3.1. HFD Fourier Transform Approximation Theorem.** *Let the internal function $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ be a lifting of a function $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^1\big(\mathbf{G}\big)$. Then the internal function $\mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_0\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp}\big)$ is a lifting of the function $\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{f}) = \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}} \in \mathrm{C}_0\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$.*

*Proof.* Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ be a lifting of $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^1\big(\mathbf{G}\big)$. Then $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_0\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp}\big)$ by Corollary 3.2.2(c). Thus it suffices to prove that

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}\big(\gamma^\flat\big) = {}^\circ\widehat{f}(\gamma)$$

for each $\gamma \in G_0^{\perp}$. However, as $\gamma$ is bounded and $S$-continuous, i.e., $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(G, G_0)$, it is routine to check that the internal function $f\,\overline{\gamma} \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ is a lifting of the function $\boldsymbol{f}\,\overline{\gamma}^\flat \in \mathrm{L}^1\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$. Then

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}\big(\gamma^\flat\big) = \int \boldsymbol{f}\,\overline{\gamma}^\flat \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m} = {}^\circ\Big(d \sum_{x \in G} f(x)\,\overline{\gamma}(x)\Big) = {}^\circ\widehat{f}(\gamma)$$

by [ZZ, Proposition 3.5], see also the text preceding Proposition 1.4.3.

For $1 < p \leq 2$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$, the Fourier transform $\mathbf{F}\colon \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{L}^q\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$ is defined as the continuous extension (with respect to the norms $\|\cdot\|_p$ on $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$ and $\|\cdot\|_q$ on $\mathrm{L}^q\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$) of the restriction of the Fourier transform $\mathbf{F}\colon \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}_0\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$ to the dense subspace $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G}) \cap \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G})$ of $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$ (see [HR2] or [Rd2]). For functions in this subspace

everything works like in the proof above. Thus, by a continuity argument, Theorem 3.3.1 together with Corollary 3.2.3(c) give rise to HFD approximations of the classical Fourier transforms $\mathbf{F}\colon \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{L}^q\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$ in a similar way. The case $p = q = 2$ of the Fourier-Plancherel transform $\mathbf{F}\colon \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{L}^2\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$ settles Gordon's Conjecture 3.

**3.3.2. Theorem. [Generalized Gordon's Conjecture 3]** *Let $1 < p \le 2$ and $2 \le q < \infty$ be its dual exponent. Let the internal function $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ be a lifting of a function $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^p\big(\mathbf{G}\big)$. Then the internal function $\mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q\big(\widehat{G}, G_\mathrm{f}^{\curlywedge}, G_0^{\curlywedge}\big)$ is a lifting of the function $\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{f}) = \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}} \in \mathrm{L}^q\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$.*

The HFD Fourier Transform Approximation Theorem 3.3.1 extends to the Fourier-Stieltjes transform $\mathbf{F}\colon \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}_\mathrm{bu}\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$, as well.

**3.3.3. HFD Fourier-Stieltjes Transform Approximation Theorem.** *Let the internal function $g \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ be a weak lifting of a complex regular Borel measure $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G})$. Then the internal function $\mathcal{F}(g) = \widehat{g} \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{bu}\big(\widehat{G}, G_\mathrm{f}^{\curlywedge}\big)$ is a lifting of the function $\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \in \mathrm{C}_\mathrm{bu}\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$.*

*Proof.* Let $g \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ be a weak lifting of $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}\big(\mathbf{G}\big)$. Then $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{bu}\big(\widehat{G}, G_\mathrm{f}^{\curlywedge}\big)$ by Corollary 3.2.2(b). Thus it suffices to prove that

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{g}}\big(\gamma^\flat\big) = {}^\circ\widehat{g}(\gamma)$$

for each $\gamma \in G_0^{\curlywedge}$. For the same reason as in the proof of Theorem 3.3.1 we have

$$\int f^\flat \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mu} = {}^\circ\Big(d \sum_{x \in G} f(x)\, g(x)\Big)$$

for every internal function $f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{b}(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$. For $f = \overline{\gamma} \in G_0^{\curlywedge} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{bu}(G, G_0)$ this gives

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\big(\gamma^\flat\big) = \int \overline{\gamma}^\flat \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mu} = {}^\circ\Big(d \sum_{x \in G} \overline{\gamma}(x)\, g(x)\Big) = {}^\circ\widehat{g}(\gamma)\,.$$

In particular, if $\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G})$, $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{g}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ is a lifting of $\boldsymbol{g}$, then

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{g}}\big(\gamma^\flat\big) = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\big(\gamma^\flat\big) = \int \overline{\gamma}^\flat\, \boldsymbol{g}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m} = {}^\circ\Big(d \sum_{x \in G} \overline{\gamma}(x)\, g(x)\Big) = {}^\circ\widehat{g}(\gamma)\,,$$

for each $\gamma \in G_0^{\curlywedge}$, reproving Theorem 3.3.1. This account indicates that it is Theorem 3.3.3 which is crucial for hyperfinite dimensional approximations of the Fourier transform on LCA groups. Therefore we address the issue raised in Remark closing the introductory part of Section 2.5 primarily for the Fourier-Stieltjes transform.

Assume, for the rest of this section, that $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ is an IMG group triplet with hyperfinite abelian ambient group $G$, arising from an HFI approximation $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$ of the Hausdorff LCA group $\mathbf{G}$. Let us denote $\mathcal{F}_\eta\colon {}^*\mathbb{C}^G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}^{{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}}$ the internal linear operator given by

$$\mathcal{F}_\eta(f)(\boldsymbol{\chi}) = \langle f, \boldsymbol{\chi} \circ \eta\rangle_G = d \sum_{x \in G} f(x)\, \overline{\boldsymbol{\chi}}(\eta\, x)\,,$$

for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $\boldsymbol{\chi} \in {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$. The *modified discrete Fourier transform* $\mathcal{F}_\eta$, defined by means of the internal inner product on ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, can be employed for the approximation of the classical Fourier transform on $\mathbf{G}$, without the need to mention the adjoint HFI approximation $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ of the dual group $\widehat{G}$.

**3.3.4. Theorem.** *Let* $\mathbf{F}\colon \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$ *be the Fourier-Stieltjes transform on* $\mathbf{G}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G})$ *and* $g \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ *be a weak lifting of* $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. *Then, for each* $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$,

$$\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\mu})(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \approx \mathcal{F}_\eta(g)({}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma})\,.$$

*Proof.* As ${}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \eta$ is almost homomorphic and $S$-continuous on $G_{\mathrm{f}}$, by Theorem 2.1.4 there is a $\gamma \in G_0^{\perp}$ such that ${}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\eta\, x) \approx \gamma(x)$ for each $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}$. According to Theorem 3.3.3,

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \approx \widehat{g}(\gamma) = \langle g, \gamma \rangle\,.$$

By the virtue of *saturation*, there is an internal set $X$ such that $G_{\mathrm{f}} \subseteq X \subseteq G$ and ${}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\eta\, x) \approx \gamma(x)$ holds for all $x \in X$. Denoting $Y = G \smallsetminus X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\langle g, {}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \eta\rangle - \langle g, \gamma\rangle\big| &\le \big|\langle g, ({}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \eta - \gamma) \cdot 1_X\rangle\big| + \big|\langle g \cdot 1_Y, {}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \eta - \gamma\rangle\big| \\ &\le \|g\|_1\, \|({}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \eta - \gamma) \cdot 1_X\|_\infty + \|g \cdot 1_Y\|_1\, \|{}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \eta - \gamma\|_\infty \approx 0\,,\end{aligned}$$

as $\|g\|_1$ and $\|{}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \eta - \gamma\|_\infty$ are finite, and $\|({}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \eta - \gamma) \cdot 1_X\|_\infty$ and $\|g \cdot 1_Y\|_1$ are infinitesimal. The needed conclusion $\mathcal{F}_\eta(g)({}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \langle g, {}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma} \circ \eta\rangle \approx \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ is obvious, now.

Theorem 3.3.4, jointly with Theorems 3.3.1 and 3.3.2, respectively, yield the following two corollaries.

**3.3.5. Corollary.** *Let* $\mathbf{F}\colon \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}_0\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$ *be the Fourier transform on* $\mathbf{G}$, $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G})$ *and* $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ *be a lifting of* $\boldsymbol{f}$. *Then, for each* $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$,

$$\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{f})(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \approx \mathcal{F}_\eta(f)({}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma})\,.$$

**3.3.6. Corollary.** *Let* $1 < p \le 2 \le q < \infty$ *be dual exponents and* $\mathbf{F}\colon \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{L}^q\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$ *be the Fourier transform on* $\mathbf{G}$. *Let further* $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$ *and* $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ *be a lifting of* $\boldsymbol{f}$. *Then*

$$\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{f})(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \approx \mathcal{F}_\eta(f)({}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma})$$

*for almost all* $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ *with respect to the Haar measure on* $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$.

## 3.4. Standard consequences: Simultaneous approximation of a function and its Fourier transform

In this section we are going to apply the previous nonstandard results to approximations of functions $\boldsymbol{f}\colon \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ and their Fourier transforms $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}\colon \widehat{\mathbf{G}} \to \mathbb{C}$ by functions $f\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ defined on some finite abelian group $G$ and their discrete Fourier transforms $\widehat{f}\colon \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{C}$. To this end we need to introduce some approximate standard counterparts of various types of liftings.

Assume that $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ is a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ is a compact set of positive Haar measure and $\delta > 0$. Let further $G$ be a finite abelian group and $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ be any mapping such that $\eta(0) \in \mathbf{U}$. Then

(a) given a complex Borel measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on $\mathbf{G}$, a function $f\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ is said to be a *weak* $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ *lifting* of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on $\mathbf{K}$ with respect to $\eta$ if, for each $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}$, with possible exception of a subset $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ of measure $\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{A}) \le \delta$,

$$\left|\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x} + \mathbf{U})}{\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{U})} - \frac{1}{|\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]|} \sum_{a \in \eta^{-1}[\boldsymbol{x} + \mathbf{U}]} f(a)\right| \le \delta\,;$$

(b) given a measurable function $\boldsymbol{f}\colon \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$, a function $f\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be a $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ *lifting* of $\boldsymbol{f}$ on $\mathbf{K}$ with respect to $\eta$ if, for each $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}$, with possible exception of a subset $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ of measure $\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{A}) \le \delta$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{U})} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}+\mathbf{U}} \boldsymbol{f}\, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m} - \frac{1}{|\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]|} \sum_{a \in \eta^{-1}[\boldsymbol{x}+\mathbf{U}]} f(a) \right| \le \delta\,;$$

(c) given a function $\boldsymbol{f}\colon \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$, a function $f\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be a $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ *approximation* of $\boldsymbol{f}$ on $\mathbf{K}$ with respect to $\eta$ if, for any $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}$, $a \in \eta^{-1}[\boldsymbol{x} + \mathbf{U}]$,

$$|\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - f(a)| \le \delta\,.$$

Intuitively, if $\eta$ is a $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}$, then $f$ is a $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ lifting of $\boldsymbol{f}$ on $\mathbf{K}$ with respect to $\eta$ if the mean value $\bigl(\int_{\boldsymbol{x}+\mathbf{U}} \boldsymbol{f}\, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}\bigr)/\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{U})$ of $\boldsymbol{f}$ on the neighborhood $\boldsymbol{x} + \mathbf{U}$ of "$\delta$-almost each" point $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}$ can be approximated by the "almost average" $\bigl(\sum_{a \in \eta^{-1}[\boldsymbol{x}+\mathbf{U}]} f(a)\bigr)/\bigl|\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]\bigr|$ with an error at most $\delta$. Obviously, $f$ is a is a $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ lifting of a function $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G})$ on $\mathbf{K}$ with respect to $\eta$ if and only if it is a weak $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ lifting of the measure $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G})$, such that $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{f}\, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}$, on $\mathbf{K}$ with respect to $\eta$.

If $\eta$ is a $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{f}$ satisfies $|\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y})| \le \delta$ for any $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}$, $\boldsymbol{y} \in \boldsymbol{x} + \mathbf{U}$, then, obviously, the composed function $f = \boldsymbol{f} \circ \eta$ is a $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ approximation of $\boldsymbol{f}$ on $\mathbf{K}$ with respect to $\eta$. The other way round, if $\boldsymbol{f}$ is measurable and $f$ is any $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ approximation of $\boldsymbol{f}$ on $\mathbf{K}$ with respect to $\eta$, then it as a $(\mathbf{U}, \delta_1)$ lifting of $\boldsymbol{f}$, where

$$\delta_1 = \sup_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}} \left( \left( 1 + \frac{\bigl|\eta^{-1}[\boldsymbol{x} + \mathbf{U}]\bigr|}{|\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]|} \right) \delta + \left| 1 - \frac{\bigl|\eta^{-1}[\boldsymbol{x} + \mathbf{U}]\bigr|}{|\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]|} \right| |\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x})| \right)$$

The straightforward verification of this fact is left to the reader. It should be noted that if $\eta$ is a $(\mathbf{K}', \mathbf{V})$ approximation of $\mathbf{G}$, where $\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{K}'$ and $\mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{U}$ is small enough, then the quotients $\bigl|\eta^{-1}[\boldsymbol{x} + \mathbf{U}]\bigr|/\bigl|\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]\bigr|$ can be made arbitrarily close to 1 for $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}$.

Let us additionally introduce some sets of functions $G \to \mathbb{C}$ approximatively related to the linear spaces $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$, $\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$, $\mathcal{C}_\mathrm{bu}(G, G_0)$ and $\mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$, respectively, defined for IMG triplets $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$. Given a finite $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$, a $p \in [1, \infty)$, a neighborhood $\mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{U}$ of $0 \in \mathbf{G}$ and $\delta, \varepsilon > 0$, we denote

$$\mathcal{M}(G, \eta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}, \delta) = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{C}^G;\ \frac{\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{U})}{|\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]|} \sum_{a \in \eta^{-1}[\mathbf{G} \smallsetminus \mathbf{K}]} |f(a)| \le \delta \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}^p(G, \eta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}, \delta, \mathbf{V}, \varepsilon) = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{C}^G;\ |f|^p \in \mathcal{M}\bigl(G, \eta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}, \delta^p\bigr)\ \&\ \bigl(\forall\, a \in \eta^{-1}[\mathbf{V}]\bigr) \left( \frac{\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{U})}{|\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]|} \sum_{x \in G} |f_a(x) - f(x)|^p \le \varepsilon^p \right) \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_\mathrm{bu}(G, \eta, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V}, \varepsilon) = \bigl\{ f \in \mathbb{C}^G;\ \bigl(\forall\, x, y \in \eta^{-1}[\mathbf{K}]\bigr)\bigl(\eta(x) - \eta(y) \in \mathbf{V}\ \Rightarrow\ |f(x) - f(y)| \le \varepsilon\bigr) \bigr\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_0(G, \eta, \mathbf{K}, \delta, \mathbf{V}, \varepsilon) = \bigl\{ f \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{bu}(G, \eta, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V}, \varepsilon);\ \bigl(\forall\, x \in \eta^{-1}[\mathbf{G} \smallsetminus \mathbf{K}]\bigr)\bigl(|f(x)| \le \delta\bigr) \bigr\}.$$

The following two propositions could be proved directly in a standard way, and the corresponding nonstandard results on existence of liftings could be obtained from them. We, in turn, will derive them from their nonstandard counterparts. Though this could be achieved by applying Nelson's translation algorithm, we will provide a more detailed argumentation.

**3.4.1. Proposition.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be an LCA group and* $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G})$ *a complex regular Borel measure on* $\mathbf{G}$*. Let further* $\mathbf{U}$ *be a neighborhood of* $0 \in \mathbf{G}$*,* $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ *be a compact set such that* $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$*, and* $\delta > 0$*. Then there exist a finite abelian group* $G$*, a* $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ *approximation* $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ *and a weak* $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ *lifting* $f\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ *of* $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ *on* $\mathbf{K}$ *with respect to* $\eta$*. If additionally* $|\boldsymbol{\mu}|\,(\mathbf{G} \setminus \mathbf{K}) < \delta$*, then one can guarantee that* $f \in \mathcal{M}(G, \eta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}, \delta)$*.*

*Proof.* Let $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$ be any HFI approximation of $\mathbf{G}$ by a hyperfinite abelian group $G$ and $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ be the IMG group triplet arising from $\eta$. Then there is a weak lifting $f \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. Obviously, $\eta$ is a $({}^*\mathbf{K}, {}^*\mathbf{U})$ approximation of ${}^*\mathbf{G}$ and $f$ is a weak $({}^*\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ lifting of ${}^*\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on ${}^*\mathbf{K}$ with respect to $\eta$. By the *transfer principle*, there exist a $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ and of $\mathbf{G}$ by a finite abelian group $G$ and a weak $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ lifting $f\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on $\mathbf{K}$ with respect to $\eta$.

If, additionally, the variation $|\boldsymbol{\mu}|$ of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is $\delta$-concentrated on $\mathbf{K}$, then it is clear that the lifting $f \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}(G, \eta, {}^*\mathbf{U}, {}^*\mathbf{K}, \delta)$. Then the existence of a finite $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ and a weak $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ lifting $f \in \mathcal{M}(G, \eta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}, \delta)$ of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on $\mathbf{K}$ with respect to $\eta$ follows from the *transfer principle*, again.

**3.4.2. Proposition.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be an LCA group,* $1 \leq p < \infty$ *and* $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$*. Let further* $\mathbf{U}$ *be a neighborhood of* $0 \in \mathbf{G}$*,* $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ *be a compact set such that* $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$*, and* $\delta > 0$*. Then there exist a finite abelian group* $G$*, a* $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ *approximation* $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ *and a* $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ *lifting* $f\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ *of* $\boldsymbol{f}$ *on* $\mathbf{K}$ *with respect to* $\eta$*. If additionally*

$$\|\boldsymbol{f} \cdot 1_{\mathbf{G}\setminus\mathbf{K}}\|_p < \delta \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{a}} - \boldsymbol{f}\|_p < \varepsilon$$

*for all* $\boldsymbol{a}$ *from some neighborhood* $\mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{U}$ *of* $0$ *in* $\mathbf{G}$ *and an* $\varepsilon > 0$*, then one can guarantee that* $\eta$ *is a* $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V})$ *approximation of* $\mathbf{G}$ *and* $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, \eta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}, \delta, \mathbf{V}, \varepsilon)$*, as well.*

*Proof.* The first statement follows right away from Proposition 3.4.1. Moreover, the lifting $f$ of $\boldsymbol{f}$ with respect to the HFI approximation $\eta$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$.

If, additionally, $\boldsymbol{f}$ is $\delta$-concentrated on $\mathbf{K}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $\mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{U}$ is such a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbf{G}$ that $\|\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{a}} - \boldsymbol{f}\|_p < \varepsilon$ for $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbf{V}$, then $\eta$ is even a $({}^*\mathbf{K}, {}^*\mathbf{V})$ approximation of ${}^*\mathbf{G}$ and the lifting $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ obviously belongs to $\mathcal{L}^p(G, \eta, {}^*\mathbf{U}, {}^*\mathbf{K}, \delta, {}^*\mathbf{V}, \varepsilon)$. The existence of a finite $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V})$ approximation $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ and a $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ lifting $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, \eta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}, \delta, \mathbf{V}, \varepsilon)$ of $\boldsymbol{f}$ on $\mathbf{K}$ with respect to $\eta$ follows from the *transfer principle*, once again.

For completeness' sake let us record also the following obvious

**3.4.3. Proposition.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be an LCA group and* $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{C_b}(\mathbf{G})$*. Let further* $\mathbf{U}$ *be a neighborhood of* $0 \in \mathbf{G}$*,* $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ *be a compact set such that* $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ *and* $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ *be a* $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ *approximation of* $\mathbf{G}$ *by a finite abelian group* $G$*. Then, for any* $\delta, \varepsilon > 0$*, we have:*

(a) *if* $|\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y})| \leq \varepsilon$ *for all* $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{K}$*,* $\boldsymbol{y} \in \boldsymbol{x} + \mathbf{U}$*, then the composed mapping* $f = \boldsymbol{f} \circ \eta$ *is a* $(\mathbf{U}, \varepsilon)$ *approximation of* $\boldsymbol{f}$ *on* $\mathbf{K}$ *with respect to* $\eta$ *and belongs to* $\mathcal{C}_\mathrm{bu}(G, \eta, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U}, \varepsilon)$*;*

(b) *if additionally* $|\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x})| \leq \delta$ *for all* $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{G} \setminus \mathbf{K}$*, then even* $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(G, \eta, \mathbf{K}, \delta, \mathbf{U}, \varepsilon)$*.*

Our starting point is the approximation of the Fourier-Stieltjes transform on LCA groups by the discrete Fourier transform on finite abelian groups.

Let $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G})$ be a complex regular Borel measure on $\mathbf{G}$. Then its Fourier-Stieltjes transform $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \in \mathrm{C_{bu}}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$ is a bounded uniformly continuous function on the dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$.

We will approximate $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ by the discrete Fourier transform $\widehat{f}$ of a function $f\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ on some finite abelian group $G$. The "parameters of the approximation" given in advance are: a compact set $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0 \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ of positive Haar measure and an $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is a relatively compact neighborhood $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$ of $1 \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ such that, for any $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$, $\boldsymbol{\chi} \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$,

$$|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\chi})| \le \varepsilon\,.$$

Our goal is achieved once we find a "sufficiently good" pair of adjoint finite approximations $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, such that $\phi$ is a $(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$ approximation of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ for some neighborhood $\boldsymbol{\Omega} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$ of $1 \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ and a compact set $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$, and formulate some "reasonable" conditions making sure that for any function $f\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ which is such a "nice" approximation of the measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, its Fourier transform $\widehat{f}$ is an $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \varepsilon)$ approximation of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ on $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ with respect to $\phi$.

**3.4.4. Finite Fourier-Stieltjes Transform Approximation Theorem.** *Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a Hausdorff LCA group and $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G})$ be a complex regular Borel measure on $\mathbf{G}$. Let further $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < \alpha \le \pi/3$, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0 \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ be a compact set of positive Haar measure and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$ be a relatively compact neighborhood of $1$ in $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ such that $|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\chi})| \le \varepsilon$ whenever $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$, $\boldsymbol{\chi} \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$. Then there exist $\alpha$-adjoint pairs $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$ of subsets in $\mathbf{G}$ and its dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively, such that $\boldsymbol{\Omega} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$, a $\delta \in (0, \alpha)$, a finite abelian group $G$, and a strongly $(\alpha, \delta)$-adjoint pair of approximations $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ of $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively, with respect to $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$, such that, for any function $f \in \mathcal{M}(G, \eta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}, \delta)$ which is a weak $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ lifting of the measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on $\mathbf{K}$ with respect to $\eta$, its Fourier transform $\widehat{f}$ is an $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \varepsilon)$ approximation of the Fourier-Stieltjes transform $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ on $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ with respect to $\phi$.*

*Proof.* Let $\big((\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$, $\big((\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_i, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$ be $\alpha$-adjoint DD bases in $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively, over some upward directed partially ordered set $(I, \le)$, such that $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_i$ for each $i \in I$. Let further $(G_i)_{i\in I}$ be finite abelian groups and $(\eta_i\colon G_i \to \mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$, $(\phi_i\colon \widehat{G}_i \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}})_{i\in I}$ be a pair of adjoint approximating systems of $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively, well based with respect to the DD bases $\big((\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$, $\big((\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_i, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$. Excluding the trivial case when $(I, \le)$ has the biggest element, there is a net $(\delta_i)_{i\in I}$ over $(I, \le)$ converging to 0, such that $0 < \delta_j \le \delta_i < \alpha$ for $i \le j$. According to Theorem 2.5.9, we can assume that each particular pair $\eta_i$, $\phi_i$ is strongly $(\alpha, \delta_i)$-adjoint with respect to $(\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)$, $(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_i, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_i)$.

Let's assume, for contradiction, that the conclusion of the Theorem is not true. Then, for each $i \in I$, there is an $f_i \in \mathcal{M}(G_i, \eta_i, \mathbf{U}_i, \mathbf{K}_i, \delta_i)$ which is a weak $(\mathbf{U}_i, \delta_i)$ lifting of the measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on $\mathbf{K}_i$ with respect to $\eta_i$, however, $\widehat{f}_i$ is not an $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i, \varepsilon)$ approximation of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ on $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ with respect to $\phi_i$, i.e., there are a $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_i \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ and a $\chi_i \in \widehat{G}_i$, such that $\phi_i(\chi_i) \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}_i\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i$, but

$$\big|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_i) - \widehat{f}_i(\chi_i)\big| > \varepsilon\,.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be some upward directed ultrafilter on $(I, \le)$. Forming the ultraproducts $G = \prod_{i\in I} G_i/\mathcal{D}$ and ${}^*\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}^I/\mathcal{D}$, we can identify $\widehat{G} = \prod_{i\in I} \widehat{G}_i/\mathcal{D}$ and ${}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \widehat{\mathbf{G}}^I/\mathcal{D}$. By Proposition 2.5.5(a), we obtain an adjoint pair $\eta = (\eta_i)_{i\in I}/\mathcal{D}$, $(\phi_i)_{i\in I}/\mathcal{D}$ of HFI approximations $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ of $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively, giving rise to dual IMG triplets $(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$, $\big(\widehat{G}, G_\mathrm{f}^{\curlywedge}, G_0^{\curlywedge}\big)$. Then, as easily seen, the internal function $f = (f_i)_{i\in I}/\mathcal{D}\colon G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ is a weak lifting of the measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and belongs to $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$. By Theorem 3.3.3, its Fourier transform $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_\mathrm{bu}\big(\widehat{G}, G_\mathrm{f}^{\curlywedge}\big)$ is an $S$-continuous lifting of the function $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \in \mathrm{C}_\mathrm{bu}\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$, i.e., $\widehat{f}(\chi) \approx \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ whenever $\chi \in G$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ satisfy $\phi(\chi) \approx \boldsymbol{\gamma}$. At the

same time, for the particular choice of $\chi = (\chi_i)_{i\in I}/\mathcal{D} \in \widehat{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = {}^\circ\big((\boldsymbol{\gamma}_i)_{i\in I}/\mathcal{D}\big) \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$, we have $\phi(\chi) \approx \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and $\big|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \widehat{f}(\chi)\big| \geq \varepsilon$, which is a contradiction.

If $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G})$, then the last Theorem applies to the measure $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G})$ such that $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{f}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}$. However, as $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}} \in \mathrm{C}_0(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$, there is a compact set $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0 \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ such that $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}$ is $\varepsilon$-concentrated on $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, i.e.,

$$\big|\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{\chi})\big| < \varepsilon$$

for $\boldsymbol{\chi} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}} \setminus \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$. Thus it is possible to give a "globalized" version of Theorem 3.4.3 in this case.

**3.4.5. Finite Fourier Transform Approximation Theorem.** *Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a Hausdorff LCA group and $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G})$. Let further $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq \pi/3$, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0 \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ be a compact set of positive Haar measure such that $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}$ is $\varepsilon$-concentrated on $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$ be a relatively compact neighborhood of $1$ in $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ such that $\big|\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{\chi})\big| \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$, $\boldsymbol{\chi} \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$. Then there exist $\alpha$-adjoint pairs $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$ of subsets in $\mathbf{G}$ and its dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively, such that $\boldsymbol{\Omega} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$, a $\delta \in (0, \alpha)$, a finite abelian group $G$, and a strongly $(\alpha, \delta)$-adjoint pair of approximations $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ of $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, respectively, with respect to $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$, such that, for any function $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, \eta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}, \delta, \mathbf{U}, \delta)$ which is a $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ lifting of $\boldsymbol{f}$ on $\mathbf{K}$ with respect to $\eta$, its Fourier transform $\widehat{f}$ is an $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \varepsilon)$ approximation of the Fourier transform $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}$ on $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ with respect to $\phi$, and $\big|\widehat{f}(\chi)\big| \leq \varepsilon$ for $\chi \in \phi^{-1}\big[\widehat{\mathbf{G}} \setminus \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}\big]$.*

*Proof.* Let $\big((\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{U}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$, $\big((\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_i, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_i)\big)_{i\in I}$, $(\eta_i\colon G_i \to \mathbf{G})_{i\in I}$, $(\phi_i\colon \widehat{G}_i \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}})_{i\in I}$ and $(\delta_i)_{i\in I}$ be as in the proof Theorem 3.4.4. Assume that the conclusion of Theorem 3.4.4 fails. Then, for each $i \in I$, there is a function $f_i \in \mathcal{L}^1(G_i, \eta_i, \mathbf{U}_i, \mathbf{K}_i, \delta_i, \mathbf{U}_i, \delta_i)$ which is a $(\mathbf{U}_i, \delta_i)$ lifting of $\boldsymbol{f}$ on $\mathbf{K}_i$ with respect to $\eta_i$, however, $\widehat{f}_i$ either is not an $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i, \varepsilon)$ approximation of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}$ on $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ with respect to $\phi_i$, i.e., there are a $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_i \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ and a $\chi_i \in \widehat{G}_i$, such that $\phi_i(\chi_i) \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}_i\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i$, but

$$\big|\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_i) - \widehat{f}_i(\chi_i)\big| > \varepsilon\,,$$

or there is a $\chi_i \in \phi_i^{-1}\big[\widehat{\mathbf{G}} \setminus \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i\big]$ such that $\big|\widehat{f}_i(\chi_i)\big| > \varepsilon$. Let $I_1$, $I_2$ denote the subsets of $I$ consisting of those $i$ for which the first or the second alternative takes place, respectively.

Let us take any upward directed ultrafilter $\mathcal{D}$ on $(I, \leq)$ and form the ultraproducts, as well as the adjoint HFI approximations $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.4.4. Then the internal function $f = (f_i)_{i\in I}/\mathcal{D}$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f})$ and it is a lifting of $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G})$ with respect to $\eta$. By Theorem 3.3.1, $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_0\big(\widehat{G}, G_\mathrm{f}^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow}\big)$ and it is an $S$-continuous lifting of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}$, i.e., $\widehat{f}(\chi) \approx \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ if $\phi(\chi) \approx \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, as well as $\widehat{f}(\chi) \approx 0$ if $\phi(\chi) \notin \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \mathrm{Ns}\big({}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$.

As $I_1 \cup I_2 = I$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is an ultrafilter, we have either $I_1 \in \mathcal{D}$ or $I_2 \in \mathcal{D}$. If $I_1 \in \mathcal{D}$, then, for the particular choice $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = {}^\circ\big((\boldsymbol{\gamma}_i)_{i\in I}/\mathcal{D}\big) \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, $\chi = (\chi_i)_{i\in I}/\mathcal{D} \in \widehat{G}$ (with arbitrary $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_i$, $\chi_i$ for $i \in I \setminus I_1$), we have $\phi(\chi) \approx \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ and $\big|\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - f(\chi)\big| \geq \varepsilon$. If $I_2 \in \mathcal{D}$, then, for $\chi = (\chi_i)_{i\in I}/\mathcal{D}$ (with arbitrary $\chi_i$ if $i \in I \setminus I_2$), we have $\phi(\chi) \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}} \setminus \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ and $\big|\widehat{f}(\chi)\big| \geq \varepsilon$. Both possibilities lead to contradictions.

If $1 < p \leq 2 \leq q < \infty$ are dual exponents, then similar accounts lead us to the formulation of the following approximation theorem. Let $\boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}}$ denote the Haar measure on the dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ properly normalized to make the Fourier inversion formula to hold.

**3.4.6. Finite Generalized Fourier Transform Approximation Theorem.** *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be a Hausdorff LCA group,* $1 < p \le 2 \le q < \infty$ *be dual exponents and* $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$. *Let further* $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < \alpha \le \pi/3$, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0 \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ *be a compact set of positive Haar measure such that* $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}$ *is* $\varepsilon$*-concentrated on* $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ *with respect to the norm* $\|\cdot\|_q$, *and* $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$ *be a relatively compact neighborhood of* 1 *in* $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ *such that* $\left\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}\right\|_q \le \varepsilon$ *whenever* $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$. *Then there exist* $\alpha$*-adjoint pairs* $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$ *of subsets in* $\mathbf{G}$ *and its dual group* $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, *respectively, such that* $\boldsymbol{\Omega} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Omega}_0$, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$, *a* $\delta \in (0, \alpha)$, *a finite abelian group* $G$, *and a strongly* $(\alpha, \delta)$*-adjoint pair of approximations* $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ *of* $\mathbf{G}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, *respectively, with respect to* $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$, *such that, for any function* $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, \eta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}, \delta, \mathbf{U}, \delta)$ *which is a* $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ *lifting of* $\boldsymbol{f}$ *on* $\mathbf{K}$ *with respect to* $\eta$, *its Fourier transform* $\widehat{f}$ *is an* $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \varepsilon)$ *lifting of the Fourier transform* $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}$ *on* $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ *with respect to* $\phi$, *and*

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}{|\phi^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\Omega}]|} \sum_{\chi \in \phi^{-1}[\widehat{\mathbf{G}} \smallsetminus \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}]} \left|\widehat{f}(\chi)\right|^q \le \varepsilon^q .$$

*Proof.* Let's start in the same way as in the proofs of Theorems 3.4.4, 3.4.5 and assume that the conclusion of Theorem 3.4.6 fails. Then, for each $i \in I$, there is a function $f_i \in \mathcal{L}^p(G_i, \eta_i, \mathbf{U}_i, \mathbf{K}_i, \delta_i, \mathbf{U}_i, \delta_i)$ which is a $(\mathbf{U}_i, \delta_i)$ lifting of $\boldsymbol{f}$ on $\mathbf{K}_i$ with respect to $\eta_i$, however, $\widehat{f_i}$ either is not an $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i, \varepsilon)$ lifting of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}$ on $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ with respect to $\phi_i$, i.e., (due to the regularity of $\boldsymbol{n}$) there is a compact set $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_i \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ such that $\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_i) > \varepsilon$ and

$$\left| \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i)} \int_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{n} - \frac{1}{\left|\phi_i^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i]\right|} \sum_{\chi \in \phi_i^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\gamma}\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i]} \widehat{f_i}(\chi) \right| > \varepsilon$$

for each $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \boldsymbol{\Delta}_i$, or

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i)}{\left|\phi_i^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i]\right|} \sum_{\chi \in \phi_i^{-1}[\widehat{\mathbf{G}} \smallsetminus \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i]} \left|\widehat{f_i}(\chi)\right|^q > \varepsilon^q .$$

Again, let $I_1$, $I_2$ denote the subsets of $I$ consisting of those $i$ for which the first or the second alternative takes place, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an upward directed ultrafilter on $(I, \le)$ and $\eta\colon G \to {}^*\mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ be the adjoint HFI approximations as in the proof of Theorem 3.4.4. Then the internal function $f = (f_i)_{i \in I}/\mathcal{D}$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ and it is a lifting of $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$ with respect to $\eta$. By Theorem 3.3.2, $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow}\big)$ and it is a lifting of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}$, i.e., $\widehat{f}(\chi) \approx \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ whenever $\phi(\chi) \approx \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, for almost all $\chi \in G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}$ with respect to the Loeb measure $\lambda_{\hat{d}}$, where $\hat{d}$ is any normalizing multiplier for the triplet $\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow}\big)$; at the same time

$$\hat{d} \sum_{\chi \in \phi^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\Theta}]} \left|\widehat{f}(\chi)\right|^q \approx 0$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\Theta} \subseteq {}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}} \smallsetminus \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$.

If $I_1 \in \mathcal{D}$, then we form the internal sets

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta} = \prod_{i \in I} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_i/\mathcal{D} \subseteq {}^*\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0 = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0^I/\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{F}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\,,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \prod_{i \in I} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_i/\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{I}\,{}^*\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$$

(with $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_i \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ arbitrary for $i \in I \smallsetminus I_1$), as well as the observable trace ${}^\circ\boldsymbol{\Delta} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$. Further we put $\Delta_i = \phi_i^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\Delta}_i\, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_i] \subseteq G_i$ for each $i \in I$, and form the internal set

$$\Delta = \prod_{i\in I} \Delta_i/\mathcal{D} = \phi^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\Delta}] \subseteq G_0^{\downarrow}\,.$$

Then ${}^\circ\boldsymbol{\Delta} = \Delta^\sharp$, hence ${}^\circ\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ is Borel and

$$\boldsymbol{n}({}^\circ\boldsymbol{\Delta}) = \lambda_{\hat{d}}\big(\Delta^\sharp\big) \geq \varepsilon\,,$$

where $\hat{d}$ is a particular normalizing multiplier on $\widehat{G}$ for which the last formula is valid. For any $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ let us form the hypercomplex numbers

$$A(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i)} \int_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{n}\right)_{i\in I} \Big/ \mathcal{D}\,,$$

$$B(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{1}{\big|\phi_i^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i]\big|} \sum_{\chi\in\phi_i^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\gamma}\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_i]} \widehat{f}_i(\chi)\right)_{i\in I} \Big/ \mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{|\phi^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\Omega}]|} \sum_{\chi\in\phi^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\gamma}\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}]} \widehat{f}(\chi)\,.$$

By Łos theorem, $|A(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - B(\boldsymbol{\gamma})| \geq \varepsilon$ for each $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in {}^\circ\boldsymbol{\Delta}$. On the other hand, for $\boldsymbol{n}$-almost all $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ we have $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \approx A(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$. At the same time, for $\lambda_{\hat{d}}$-almost all $\chi \in G_0^{\downarrow}$ we have $f(\chi) \approx \widehat{f}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ whenever $\phi(\chi) \approx \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$. Since also

$$\frac{\big|\phi^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\gamma}\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}]\big|}{|\phi^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\Omega}]|} \approx 1$$

for $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, we finally get $B(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \approx \widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$ for almost all $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, which is a contradiction.

If $I_2 \in \mathcal{D}$, then the function $f = (f_i)_{i\in I}/\mathcal{D} \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ satisfies

$$\frac{{}^*\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}{|\phi^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\Omega}]|} \sum_{\chi\in\phi^{-1}[\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\smallsetminus\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}]} \big|\widehat{f}(\chi)\big|^q > \varepsilon^q\,,$$

contradicting that $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q\big(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow}\big)$ is a lifting of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}} \in \mathrm{L}^q\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}$ is $\varepsilon$-concentrated on $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_q$.

In view of the massive formulations of Theorems 3.4.1–3 we cannot spare some remarks.

*Remark* 1. It seems that all of the last three Theorems contain some "overkill." It is well possible that in many concrete cases not all properties of the objects guaranteed to exist will prove to be useful. One of the candidates to be reduced is the strong $(\alpha, \varepsilon)$-adjointness of the approximations $\eta$, $\phi$ with respect to the pairs $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$.

*Remark* 2. All the three Theorems are purely existential and give neither any estimation of the objects to be found in terms of the given ones nor a hint how to find them. This is the common disadvantage of many "soft" results obtained by means of nonstandard analysis. On the other hand, they indicate that it makes sense to look for the corresponding "hard" counterparts, for instance for estimates of $\delta$ in terms of $\varepsilon$ (or vice versa), and the like.

In order to illustrate what we have in mind in the above Remarks, we formulate two fairly general accompanying examples to Theorems 3.4.4 and 3.4.5, in which the "soft" (i.e., existential) parts are reduced to the standard equivalents of Theorem 3.3.4 and its Corollary 3.3.5, and some explicit bounds are given, as well. The second of these examples will be representative enough to partly take care of Theorem 3.4.6, as well.

Instead of emphasizing that our constructions work for every $\alpha \in (0, \pi/3]$ or even for $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$, as we used to do, we will take the advantage of choosing $\alpha > 0$ as small as we please, now. In the first of our examples, instead of using the strong $(\alpha, \varepsilon)$-adjointness of the approximations $\eta$, $\phi$, we will even manage with a weaker property.

Given a Hausdorff LCA group $\mathbf{G}$, an $\alpha \in (0, \pi/3]$ and $\alpha$-adjoint pairs of sets $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ in $\mathbf{G}$ and $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ in $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, we say that a $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ and a $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ approximation $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ are *$\alpha$-adjoint* if

$$\left| \arg \frac{(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\, a)}{\gamma(a)} \right| \leq \alpha$$

for all $a \in \eta^{-1}[\mathbf{K}]$, $\gamma \in \phi^{-1}[\mathbf{\Gamma}]$.

For every finite $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ we denote $\mathcal{F}_{\eta,d}\colon \mathbb{C}^G \to \mathbb{C}^{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}}$ the linear operator, called the *modified Fourier transform*, given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\eta,d}(f)(\boldsymbol{\chi}) = \langle f, \boldsymbol{\chi} \circ \eta \rangle_d = d \sum_{a \in G} f(a)\, \overline{\boldsymbol{\chi}}(\eta\, a)\,,$$

for $f \in \mathbb{C}^G$, $\boldsymbol{\chi} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, where $d > 0$ is some scaling coefficient to be determined subsequently. In this context we always assume that

$$d = \frac{\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{U})}{|\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]|}\,,$$

where $\boldsymbol{m}$ is a fixed Haar measure on $\mathbf{G}$.

**3.4.7. Example.** Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a Hausdorff LCA group, $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G})$ be a complex regular Borel measure on $\mathbf{G}$ with finite variation $\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|$, $\mathbf{\Gamma}_0 \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ be a compact set of positive Haar measure and $\alpha \in (0, \pi/3]$. Translating Theorem 3.3.4 into standard terms it follows that there exist a compact set $\mathbf{K}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, a neighborhood $\mathbf{U}_0$ of $0$ in $\mathbf{G}$ and a $\delta > 0$ such that, for any compact set $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ and a neighborhood $\mathbf{U}$ of $0 \in \mathbf{G}$, the inclusions $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{U}_0$, $\mathbf{K}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ imply that, for every finite $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ and each weak $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ lifting $f \in \mathcal{M}(G, \eta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}, \delta)$ of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on $\mathbf{K}$ a we have

$$\left| \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\chi}) - \mathcal{F}_{\eta,d}(f)(\boldsymbol{\chi}) \right| \leq \alpha$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\chi} \in \mathbf{\Gamma}_0$. Let $\mathbf{\Omega}_0 = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{K}_0)$.

Due to Lemma 2.5.6, there exist $\alpha$-adjoint pairs $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ such that $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{U}_0$, $\mathbf{K}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{K}$, as well as $\mathbf{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbf{\Omega}_0$, $\mathbf{\Gamma}_0\, \mathbf{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{\Gamma}$. By Proposition 3.4.1 there is indeed a weak $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ lifting $f \in \mathcal{M}(G, \eta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}, \delta)$ of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on $\mathbf{K}$. According to Proposition 1.4.3 we can assume that

$$\|f\|_1 = d \sum_{a \in G} |f(a)| \leq \|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|\,.$$

Now, assume that $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is a $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ approximation of the dual group $\widehat{G}$, $\alpha$-adjoint to $\eta$. Let $\boldsymbol{\chi} \in \mathbf{\Gamma}_0$, $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ be such that $\phi(\gamma) \in \boldsymbol{\chi}\,\mathbf{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbf{\Gamma}$. Then for each $a \in \eta^{-1}[\mathbf{K}]$ we have $\eta(a) \in \mathbf{K} = \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha(\mathbf{\Omega})$, consequently,

$$\left|\arg \frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}(\eta\, a)}{\gamma(a)}\right| \leq \left|\arg \frac{\boldsymbol{\chi}(\eta\, a)}{(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\, a)}\right| + \left|\arg \frac{(\phi\,\gamma)(\eta\, a)}{\gamma(a)}\right| \leq 2\alpha\,,$$

hence $|\boldsymbol{\chi}(\eta\, a) - \gamma(a)| \leq 2\sin\alpha < 2\alpha$. Further, we have

$$\left|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\chi}) - \widehat{f}(\gamma)\right| \leq \left|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\chi}) - \mathcal{F}_{\eta,d}(f)(\boldsymbol{\chi})\right| + \left|\mathcal{F}_{\eta,d}(f)(\boldsymbol{\chi}) - \widehat{f}(\gamma)\right|,$$

and the first summand is $\leq \alpha$. Denoting $K = \eta^{-1}[\mathbf{K}]$, we get for the second summand

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathcal{F}_{\eta,d}(f)(\boldsymbol{\chi}) - \widehat{f}(\gamma)\right| &= \left|\langle f, \overline{\boldsymbol{\chi}} \circ \eta - \overline{\gamma}\rangle_d\right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_1 \,\|(\boldsymbol{\chi} \circ \eta - \gamma)\cdot 1_K\|_\infty + \|f \cdot 1_{G\smallsetminus K}\|_1 \,\|\boldsymbol{\chi} \circ \eta - \gamma\|_\infty \\ &\leq 2\alpha\,\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| + 2\delta\,.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, as we obviously can choose $\delta \leq \alpha$,

$$\left|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\chi}) - \widehat{f}(\gamma)\right| < \big(2\,\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| + 1\big)\alpha + 2\delta \leq \big(2\,\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| + 3\big)\alpha\,.$$

If we were given an $\varepsilon > 0$ in advance, it is always possible to arrange that the right-hand expression is $\leq \varepsilon$ by choosing $\alpha$ small enough.

**3.4.8. Example.** If $\mathbf{G}$ is a Hausdorff LCA group, $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G})$, $\mathbf{\Gamma}_0 \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is a compact set of positive Haar measure and $\alpha \in (0, \pi/3]$, then the previous Example applies directly to the measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ such that $\boldsymbol{f} = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mu}/\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}$. Let us additionally assume that $\left|\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{\chi})\right| \leq \varepsilon$ for some "small" $\varepsilon > 0$ given in advance and $\boldsymbol{\chi} \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}} \smallsetminus \mathbf{\Gamma}_0$. To avoid trivialities we assume that $\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_1 \neq 0$, i.e., $\boldsymbol{f}$ is not equal to 0 almost everywhere.

However, using Corollary 3.3.5 instead of Theorem 3.3.4 and translating it into standard terms it follows that there exist a compact set $\mathbf{K}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, a neighborhood $\mathbf{U}_0$ of 0 in $\mathbf{G}$ and a $\delta > 0$ such that, for any compact set $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ and a neighborhood $\mathbf{U}$ of $0 \in \mathbf{G}$, the inclusions $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{U}_0$, $\mathbf{K}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ imply that, for every finite $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$ approximation $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$ and each $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ lifting $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, \eta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}, \delta, \mathbf{U}, \delta)$ of $\boldsymbol{f}$ on $\mathbf{K}$ we have

$$\left|\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{\chi}) - \mathcal{F}_{\eta,d}(f)(\boldsymbol{\chi})\right| \leq \alpha$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\chi} \in \mathbf{\Gamma}_0$. Obviously, $\delta$ can be chosen as small as we please.

Let $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{U})$, $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ be $\alpha$-adjoint pairs obtained in the same way as in Example 3.4.7. Using Proposition 3.4.2 we can ensure that if $\mathbf{U}$ is small enough and $\mathbf{K}$ is big enough, then there is some $(\mathbf{U}, \delta)$ lifting $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, \eta, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{K}, \delta, \mathbf{U}, \delta)$ of $\boldsymbol{f}$ on $\mathbf{K}$.

If $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is a $(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ approximation of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$, $\alpha$-adjoint to $\eta$, then, in the same way as in the previous Example, we could show that

$$\left|\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{\chi}) - \widehat{f}(\gamma)\right| < \big(2\,\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_1 + 1\big)\alpha + 2\delta < \big(2\,\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_1 + 3\big)\alpha$$

for $\boldsymbol{\chi} \in \mathbf{\Gamma}_0$, $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, whenever $\phi(\gamma) \in \boldsymbol{\chi}\,\mathbf{\Omega}$, and $\delta \leq \alpha$. However, in order to derive some estimate for $\widehat{f}(\gamma)$ when $\phi(\gamma) \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is "remote" in a sense, we have to make use of some additional tools.

Let's start by fixing some $t \in (0,1)$. According to Proposition 1.4.3 and Lemma 4.1 from [ZZ], we can choose $f$ subject to $t \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_1 < \|f\|_1 \leq \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_1$. Since

$$\|f_a - f\|_1 \leq \delta$$

for $a \in \eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]$, from Corollary 2.2.6 we get the inclusion $\mathrm{Spec}_t(f) \subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]\big)$, whenever

$$\delta \leq 2t \|f\|_1 \sin\frac{\alpha}{2}\,.$$

Due to our choice of $f$, the last inequality is guaranteed for

$$\delta \leq 2t^2 \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_1 \sin\frac{\alpha}{2}\,.$$

By the virtue of Theorem 2.5.8 we can assume that the approximations $\eta$, $\phi$ form a strongly $(\alpha,\delta)$-adjoint pair, witnessed by some neighborhoods $\mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{U}$, $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Omega}$. Then

$$\mathrm{Spec}_t(f) \subseteq \mathrm{Bohr}_\alpha\big(\eta^{-1}[\mathbf{U}]\big) \subseteq \phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_\delta(\mathbf{V})\big]\,,$$

thus for $\gamma \in \widehat{G} \smallsetminus \phi^{-1}\big[\mathrm{Bohr}_\delta(\mathbf{V})\big]$ we have $\gamma \notin \mathrm{Spec}_t(f)$, hence

$$\big|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big| < t\|f\|_1 \leq t\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_1\,.$$

Choosing $\alpha$, $t$, and subsequently also $\delta$ small enough, we can guarantee that

$$\big|\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{\chi}) - \widehat{f}(\gamma)\big| \leq \varepsilon$$

for $\boldsymbol{\chi} \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0$, $\gamma \in \phi^{-1}\big[\boldsymbol{\chi}\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}\big]$, and

$$\big|\widehat{f}(\gamma)\big| \leq \varepsilon$$

for $\gamma \in \phi^{-1}\big[\widehat{\mathbf{G}} \smallsetminus \mathrm{Bohr}_\delta(\mathbf{V})\big]$. Taking $\mathbf{V}$ small enough, we can arrange that $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ or even $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ is contained in $\mathrm{Bohr}_\delta(\mathbf{V})$. In any case, however, we cannot exclude that the set $\boldsymbol{\Delta} = \mathrm{Bohr}_\delta(\mathbf{V}) \smallsetminus \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is nonempty. Then the values $\widehat{f}(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \phi^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\Delta}]$ (if any) are out control of the present approach. This contrasts the purely existential Theorem 3.4.5, assuring that, at least in principle, it is possible to maintain full control of $\widehat{f}$.

*Final remarks.* As the subspace $\mathrm{C_c}(\mathbf{G})$ is dense in $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G})$, it would be sufficient in some sense to deal with continuous functions $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G})$ in the last Example 3.4.8. In that case the composition $f = \boldsymbol{f} \circ \eta\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ can be taken as the approximate lifting of $\boldsymbol{f}$.

If $1 < p \leq 2 \leq q < \infty$ are dual exponents, then the continuous functions $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G}) \cap \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$ form a dense subspace in $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$ and their Fourier transforms $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}} \in \mathrm{L}^q\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$ are continuous, as well. Thus Example 3.4.8 can be used for the sake of approximation of the generalized Fourier transform $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{L}^q\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$, at the same time. That's why there's no need to elaborate a similar explicit accompanying example to Theorem 3.4.6, here. Realizing how cumbersome and laborious it would inevitably be in such a general setting, we believe that the reader will forgive us.

If $\eta\colon G \to \mathbf{G}$, $\phi\colon \widehat{G} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ are "sufficiently well adjoint" approximations, then the function $\boldsymbol{f}$ and its Fourier transform $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}$, once both continuous, admit "simultaneous" approximate liftings: $\boldsymbol{f}$ by means of the composition $f = \boldsymbol{f} \circ \eta\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}$ by its discrete Fourier transform $\widehat{f}\colon \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ which is "close" to the composition $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}} \circ \phi$, forming another approximate lifting of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{f}}$.

As pointed out by Gordon [Go2] for the Fourier-Plancherel transform $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{L}^2\big(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}\big)$, the class of functions $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{G})$ liftable by the composition $\boldsymbol{f} \circ \eta$ contains even more general functions, namely the *Riemann integrable* ones, i.e., functions continuous almost everywhere with respect to the Haar measure on $\mathbf{G}$.

## References


[AFHL] S. Albeverio, J. E. Fenstad, R. Høegh-Krohn, T. Lindstrøm, *Nonstandard Methods in Stochastic Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, Academic Press, London-New York-Orlando, 1986.

[AGK] S. Albeverio, E. I. Gordon, A. Yu. Khrennikov, *Finite-dimensional approximations of operators in the Hilbert spaces of functions on locally compact abelian groups*, Acta Appl. Math. **64** (2000), no. 1, 33–73.

[AGG] M. A. Alekseev, L. Yu. Glebsky, E. I. Gordon, *On approximations of group, group actions and Hopf algebras*, J. Math. Sci. **107** (2001), 4305–4332.

[ACH] L. O. Arkeryd, N. J. Cutland and C. W. Henson (eds.), *Nonstandard Analysis, Theory and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1997.

[BGT] E. Breuillard, B. Green, T. Tao, *The structure of approximate groups*, Publ. Math. IHÉS **116** (2012), 115–221.

[Ct] N. J. Cutland, *Nonstandard measure theory and its applications*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **15** (1983), 529–589.

[Dv] M. Davis, *Applied Nonstandard Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, New York-London, 1977.

[Ep] C. L. Epstein, *How well does the finite Fourier transform approximate the Fourier transform?*, Communications Pure Appl. Math. **58** (2005), 1–15.

[GR] J. Gilbert, Z. Rzeszotnik, *The norm of the Fourier transform on finite abelian groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **60** (2010), 1317–1346.

[GG] L. Yu. Glebsky, E. I. Gordon, *On approximations of topological groups by finite quasigroups and finite semigroups*, Illinois J. Math. **49** (2005), 1–16.

[GGH] L. Yu. Glebsky, E. I. Gordon, C. W. Henson, *On finite approximations of topological algebraic systems*, J. Symbolic Logic **72** (2007), 1–25.

[GGR] L. Yu. Glebsky, E. I. Gordon, C. J. Rubio, *On approximations of unimodular groups by finite quasigroups*, Illinois J. Math. **49** (2005), 17–31.

[Gb] R. Goldblatt, *Lectures on the Hyperreals, An Introduction to Nonstandard Analysis*, Springer, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1998.

[Gn1] I. Goldbring, *Hilbert's fifth problem for local groups*, Ann. of Math. **172** (2010 1269–1314).

[Gn2] I. Goldbring, *Nonstandard hulls of locally uniform groups*, arXiv:1203.6593v1 [math.LO] (2012).

[Go1] E. I. Gordon, *Nonstandard analysis and locally compact abelian groups*, Acta Appl. Math. **25** (1991), 221–239.

[Go2] E. I. Gordon, *Nonstandard Methods in Commutative Harmonic Analysis*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 164, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1997.

[GV] E. I. Gordon, A. M. Vershik, *Groups that are locally embeddable in the class of finite groups*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **9** (1998), 49–67.

[GR] B. Green, I. Ruzsa, *Freiman's theorem in an arbitrary abelian group*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **75** (2007), 163–175.

[Gc] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 2001.

[GZ] J. Guričan, P. Zlatoš, *Biequivalences and topology in the alternative set theory*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **26** (1985), 525–552.

[Hn1] C. W. Henson, *The nonstandard hulls of a uniform space*, Pacific. J. Math. **43** (1972), 115–137.

[Hn2] C. W. Henson, *Foundations of nonstandard analysis: A gentle introduction to nonstandard extensions*, in [ACH], pp. 1–49.

[HM] C. W. Henson, L. C. Moore, *Nonstandard analysis and the theory of Banach spaces*, in A. E. Hurd (ed.), Nonstandard Analysis, Recent Developments, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1983, pp. 27–112.

[HR1] E. Hewitt, K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, Springer, Berlin-Götingen-Heidelberg, 1963.

[HR2] E. Hewitt, K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis II*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.

[Hi] J. Hirschfeld, *The nonstandard treatment of Hilberts fifth problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **321** (1990), 379-400.

[Hr] E. Hrushovski, *Stable group theory and approximate subgroups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), 189-243.

[LR] D. Landers, L. Rogge, *Universal Loeb measurability of sets and of the standard part map with applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **304** (1987), 229–243.

[Lb1] P. A. Loeb, *Conversion from nonstandard to standard measure spaces and applications to probability theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **211** (1975), 113–122.

[Lb2] P. A. Loeb, *Nonstandard analysis and topology*, in [ACH], pp. 77–89.
[Lx1] W. A. J. Luxemburg (ed.), *Applications of Model Theory to Algebra, Analysis and Probability*, Proceedings of an International Symposium on Non-standard Analysis, Hort, Reinhart and Winston, New York, 1969.
[Lx2] W. A. J. Luxemburg, *A general theory of monads*, in [Lx1], pp. 18–86.
[Lx3] W. A. J. Luxemburg, *A nonstandard analysis approach to Fourier analysis*, in W. A. J. Luxemburg, A. Robinson (eds.), Contributions to Non-Standard Analysis, North-Holland, Amsterdam-London, 1972, pp. 15–39.
[MZ] M. Mačaj, P. Zlatoš, *Approximate extension of partial $\varepsilon$-characters of abelian groups to characters with application to integer point lattices*, Indag. Math. **16** (2) (2005), 237–250.
[Ne] E. Nelson, *Internal set theory. A new approach to nonstandard analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1977), 1165–1198.
[Mo] S. A. Morris, *Pontryagin duality and the structure of locally compact abelian groups*, Cambridge University Press, London-New York-Melbourne, 1977.
[Pk] R. Parikh, *A nonstandard theory of topological groups*, in [Lx1], pp. 279–284.
[Pd] G. K. Pedersen, *Analysis Now*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1995, (2nd ed.).
[Pg] R. L. Pego, *Compactness in $L^2$ and the Fourier transform*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1985), 252–254.
[Pn] L. S. Pontryagin, *Nepreryvnye gruppy*, (4th ed.), Nauka, Moskva, 1984, (Russian); English translation, *Topological Groups*, Gordon & Breach, New York, 1986.
[Rb] A. Robinson, *Non-Standard Analysis*, (revised ed.), Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1996.
[Ro] J. Roe, *Lectures on Coarse Geometry*, University Lecture Series, vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 2003.
[Rs] D. A. Ross, *Loeb measure and probability*, in [ACH], pp. 91–120.
[Rd1] W. Rudin, *Measure algebras on abelian groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **65** (1959), 227–247.
[Rd2] W. Rudin, *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Interscience, John Wiley and Sons, New York-London, 1962.
[Rd3] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, MacGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.
[SB] K. D. Stroyan, J. M. Bayod, *Foundations of Infinitesimal Stochastic Analysis*, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1986.
[ST] P. Šťovíček, J. Tolar, *Quantum mechanics in a discrete space-time*, Rep. Math. Phys. **20** (1984), 157–170.
[Ta1] T. Tao, *Soft analysis, hard analysis, and the finite convergence principle*, `http://terrytao.wordpress.com/2007/05/23/`.
[Ta2] T. Tao, *Ultrafilters, nonstandard analysis, and epsilon management*, `http://terrytao.wordpress.com/2007/06/25/`.
[Ta3] T. Tao, *Higher Order Fourier Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 142 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 2012.
[TV] T. Tao, V. Vu, *Additive Combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, etc., 2006.
[Tr] A. Terras, *Fourier Analysis on Finite Groups and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, etc., 1999, reprinted 2001.
[Yo] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, Springer, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1968.
[ZZ] M. Ziman, P. Zlatoš, *Hyperfinite dimensional representations of spaces and algebras of measures*, Monatsh. Math. **148** (2006), 241–261.
[Zl1] P. Zlatoš, *Nerazlichimost' i dostizhimost' (Indiscernibility and accessibility)*, Appendix in P. Vopěnka, Al'ternativnaya teoria mnozhestv, Novyĭ vzgl'ad na beskonechnost' (Alternative Set Theory, A New View of Infinity), Izdatel'stvo Instituta matematiki, Novosibirsk, 2004, pp. 520–600, (Russian).
[Zl2] P. Zlatoš, *Stability of group homomorphisms in the compact-open topology*, J. Logic & Analysis **2:3** (2010), 1–15.

Faculty of Mathematics Physics and Informatics, Comenius University,
Mlynská dolina, 842 48 Bratislava, Slovakia
*E-mail address*: `zlatos@fmph.uniba.sk`